

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

> Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389

Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

May 11, 2011

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL rsistrunk77@bellsouth.net

Mr. Rudy Sistrunk Marine Inland Fabricators 1725 Buchanan Street Panama City, Florida 32409

Dear Mr. Sistrunk:

On May 5, 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Marine Inland Fabricators facility ID 0050092. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or *mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us*.

Sincerely,

rels VI

Sally M. Cooey Panama City Branch Administrator

SMC/ms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>) Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>)

WOTECION WOTECION
Same Martin
FLORIDA

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) [RE-INSPECTION (FUI) [COMPLAINT/E	DISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0050092 DA	TE: <u>5/5/2011</u> ARINE INLAND FABRICAT	ARRIVE: <u>9:49</u>	DEPART:	<u>10:45</u>
FACILITY NAME: MA				
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: rsistrunk77@ CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO		RUDY SISTRUNK 4	PHONE: (850)265-138 Mobile: (850)625-417 PHONE: Mobile:	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check				
PART II: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑ No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used? ☑Yes □ No 3. Does the owner/operator retain, and make available for Department inspection, these records for a period of at least five years? ☑Yes □ No				
4. Is the total quantit5. Does the amount	ty of VOC's in such coatings of coatings used, include solv	44 lbs/day or less, average vents and thinners used in	ged monthly?	e used No
(check 🗹 appropriate	OPERATING/MAINTANA box(es)) e coating operation(s) subject			
emission limiting 2. Does the facility	g standard of Chapter 62-296 cause, suffer, allow or permi odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F	.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-2 it the discharge of air poll	10.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) utants which cause or cont	ribute to

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a)	maintaining spray	coating equipment t	o ensure effective application w	with a minimum of overspray?	⊠Yes	No

b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	∐Yes 🗋 No
c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:	
1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning	
cycles?	- Xes 🗌 No
2) recycling cleaning solvents?	- Xes 🗌 No

3) using water based cleaners?----- TYes X No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	
1. Since the last inspection has there been	
a) installation of any new process equipment?	No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?	No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	
local program office?	□No⊠ NA

C. Mark Sumner

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Mark Sa

Inspector's Signature

May 5, 2011

Date of Inspection

May 2012

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: The facility owner maintains a spread sheed to track the amounts of coating materials, thinners, and solvent used at the facility. A review of these records from January 2010 to April 2011 revealed that the highest usage of coatings was in May 2010 with a daily average 3.83 gallons. According to the provided MSDS sheets this corresponds to 15.42 lbs of VOCs. The owner encourages pollution prevention by maintaining the equipment, monitoring coating thickness with a coating gauge, and

implementing inventory control practices to prevent waste and spillage. All cleaning solvents are recycled back into the coatings as thinner.

According to the owner, the use of low VOC coatings and or waterbased cleaners in not possible for the marine applications required by this facility.

As observed during this inspection, there are currently several vessels being constructed, but no painting was being performed at this time. According to the owner all painting associated with the curent vessels under construction has and will take place inside the curtained enclosure on the east side of ther facility. The enclosure is designed to contain the material that results from the sandblasting and painting operations on the vessels. No signs of sandblast media or paint overspray were observed outside of the curtained enclosure.