

## **HUMAN CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<b>INSPECTION TYPE:</b>	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	∠ COMPLAINT/DISCOV	VERY (CI)	
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:	
AIRS ID#: 1270055 D	ATE: <u>12/08/09</u>	ARRIVE: 1:50pm	DEPART: <u>2:20pr</u>	<u>n</u>
FACILITY NAME: D	DUDLEY FUNERAL HOME			
FACILITY LOCATIO	ON: 1490 TURNBULL B	AY RD		
	NEW SMYRNA BE	ACH 32069		
OWNER/AUTHORIZ	ED REPRESENTATIVE: K	XEN DUDLEY PHO	<b>NE:</b> (386)428-6414	
CONTACT NAME:	MARK NELSON	РНО	<b>NE:</b> (386)428-6414	
ENTITLEMENT PER	RIOD: 9/9/2007 / 9/9/2012 (effective date) (end date			
PART I: INSPECTIO	ON COMPLIANCE STATUS  NCE MINOR Non-CO	_	ANT Non-COMPLIANCE	I
DADT II. TECTING/	DECODD/FEDING DECUM	DEMENTS   Dula 42 204 401	EAC	
PART II: TESTING/F		<u>REMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401,	F.A.C.	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate appropr</li></ol>	iate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected? missions test conducted during t	his site visit according to EPA l	 Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes ⊠ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate appropriate)</li> <li>Were there any of the control of th</li></ol>	iate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected? nissions test conducted during t ? onstrate individual source comp	his site visit according to EPA l	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapternissions test conducted 60	☐ Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate appropr</li></ol>	iate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected? nissions test conducted during t ? onstrate individual source comp e AGP Notification form submit 01(5)(i), F.A.C.)	his site visit according to EPA lance, was an annual visible ension, and within 60 days prior	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter nissions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date?	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate appropr</li></ol>	iate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected? missions test conducted during t ? onstrate individual source comp e AGP Notification form submit 01(5)(i), F.A.C.) onstrate individual source comp nin 60 days prior to the AGP Notoxide (CO) emissions equal to	his site visit according to EPA landsce, was an annual visible enssion, and within 60 days prior lance were the remaining application form submission? (Ruor below the requirements of 10	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter nissions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? cable standards testing ale 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by	☐Yes ⊠ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate</li> <li>Were there any control of the con</li></ol>	iate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected? missions test conducted during t ? onstrate individual source comp e AGP Notification form submit 01(5)(i), F.A.C.) onstrate individual source comp in 60 days prior to the AGP Notoxide (CO) emissions equal to cois, corrected to 7% O <sub>2</sub> on an hore er 62-297, F.A.C.)?	his site visit according to EPA landsce, was an annual visible enssion, and within 60 days prior soliance were the remaining application form submission? (Ruor below the requirements of 10 burly average basis and tested according to EPA landscenarios.	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate appropriate in the component of the component in the component in the component in the component in the complete in the com</li></ol>	objectionable odor(s) detected? missions test conducted during t?	his site visit according to EPA landsce, was an annual visible enssion, and within 60 days prior soliance were the remaining appliantification form submission? (Ruor below the requirements of 10 purly average basis and tested acceptable of 10 tested as a landscenario (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, sequal to or below the requirements of 10 tested as a landscenario (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, sequal to or below the requirements)	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter  missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date?  cable standards testing ale 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) O parts per million by coording to EPA Method  F.A.C.)? ments of 0.080 grains per	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate appropr</li></ol>	objectionable odor(s) detected? missions test conducted during term onstrate individual source complex AGP Notification form submited (Solution 1) (15)(i), F.A.C.)——————————————————————————————————	his site visit according to EPA Indiance, was an annual visible ensistion, and within 60 days prior soliance were the remaining applipatification form submission? (Rubor below the requirements of 10 purly average basis and tested accordingly to 10 to 1	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter  missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date?  cable standards testing ale 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) O parts per million by coording to EPA Method  F.A.C.)? ments of 0.080 grains per g to EPA Method 5	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate</li> <li>Were there any control of the con</li></ol>	objectionable odor(s) detected? missions test conducted during t?	his site visit according to EPA landsce, was an annual visible ensistion, and within 60 days prior soliance were the remaining application form submission? (Rubert below the requirements of 10 burly average basis and tested according to 10	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter  missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date?  cable standards testing alle 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.)  parts per million by ecording to EPA Method  F.A.C.)?  nents of 0.080 grains per g to EPA Method 5  arrers recommended  didentical crematory unit?  I compliance test?	Yes No     Yes No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there <b>Continuous Emissions Monitoring System</b> (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re	cording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	∐Yes ∐ No
2) Monitoring device	☐Yes ☐ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	
6) Adjustments	∐Yes ∐ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one <b>☑</b> box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	⊠Yes ☐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1400°F</b>	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes □ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	⊠Yes □ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	<b>△10 △10</b>
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠Yes □ No
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions:	
4. If constructed <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tire	
@ 1800° F?	☐Yes ☐ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic	
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	⊠Yes □ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration o	f
their use and for at least two years after their use?	⊠Yes □ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
this location?	□Yes ⊠ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	Yes No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes □ No
1 1 J	

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR A. New or Modified Process Equipment	RES – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.
<ol> <li>Since the last inspection has there been</li> <li>a) installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>b) alterations to existing process equipment with</li> <li>c) replacement of existing equipment substantial</li> </ol>	out replacement?
recent notification form?d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office?	the owner submit a new and complete 2-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or
<ul><li>2. If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent was required, have all operators been retrained to a</li><li>3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a required, has the owner submitted copies of all operators.</li></ul>	operate the modified unit? Yes No a Department air construction permit was
a) submitted within the 15 day required window f	
Danielle D. Owens	December 8, 2009
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** On December 8, 2009 Ms. Danielle Owens and Ms. Wanda Parker-Garvin of FDEP visited the subject facility to perform a level 2 compliance inspection. Contact was made with Mr. Mark Nelson, crematory operator, who provided assistance during the inspection.

- 1. An inspection of the unit (Crawford C100 Deluxe, serial # 1K32-0883-S) was conducted. The unit was not in operation at the time of the inspection.
- 2. The location of the thermocouple was identified and documented as being located on the left side of the unit approximately 4.5 feet from the rear (when facing the front of the unit).
- 3. Maintenance records, temperature charts, and MSDS sheets for cremation bags were reviewed. The maintenance records indicated the thermocouple was replaced on August 6, 2008 and a repair was done for a hotspot on August 28, 2009 (invoice for \$1,500 was observed). The temperature charts were reviewed and indicated cremation were consistently performed at 1950 degress F.
- 4. Mr. Nelson stated the bodies were placed in cardboard boxes and all bags were from the local medical examiner. He further stated additional records/documentation is kept at the funeral home office located at 1108 N. Dixie Freeway, New Smyrna Beach, 32168 and the email address is dudleyf.h.@bellsouth.net.
- 5. Question 4 in Part II, questions 1b and 4 in Part III and questions 1d, 2, 3 in Part IV are not applicable.