(check \square only one box for each question) $\frac{\mathbf{ERAL} \mathbf{PROCESSING}}{\mathbf{NTS}}$	Environmental Compliance
COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST	
INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 7775552 DATE: <u>10/30/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:30 AM</u> DEPART	F: <u>11:40 AM</u>
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-MIAMI-NW 137TH ST FACILITY	
FACILITY LOCATION: 1200 NW 137TH ST	
MIAMI 33182-1803	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MICHAEL BELTRAN PHONE: (239)398-2 Email: flcustomes@aol.com Mobile: PHONE: (239)398-2 CONTACT NAME: PHONE: PHONE: Mobile: Email: 12/20/2008 12/20/2013 Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/20/2008 12/20/2013 Image: Control of the second seco	193
Facility Section	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)	
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMP	PLIANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check 🗹 only one
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):	box for each question)
Brief Notes:	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still MICHAEL BELTRAN?	YesNo
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 –NMMP Plant-reloc. crusher w/diesel power, 275 T/hr capacity</u>

		(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	question)
	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processis {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the major is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Grant Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermit (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ity te, l Gravel; Salt; oride, , Kernite,	
	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant	_	_
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Yes	L.No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes Yes	No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		∐No □No
	 □ crusher, □ grinding mill, □ bucket elevator, □ belt conveyor, □ bagging operation, □ storage bin, □ enclosed truck loading station □ enclosed railcar loading station; □ crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; □ screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) □ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} 		
	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to opart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	□ V	
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	□No
	<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>		103	10
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	d		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material			
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing			
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wett			
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	cu		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	\square	Yes	No
	88			
	<i>(Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>			
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by			
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
If	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
11				
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,			
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	\square	Yes	No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14	.Initial Tests:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	_		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	_	Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Ц	Yes	L.No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Ц	Yes	L.No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	No
15				
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		Vac	
	initial startup of the EU? \Box N/A	\Box	Yes	∐ No
	$\{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the number of subsurface from a building air comprise particulate matter (DM) emissions from$			
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
Í	one or more affected EUs.}		Var	
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	=	Yes	L.No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?		Yes	No
Í	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	\Box	Yes	LNo

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturir as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance) 		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	No No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	_	_
instructions?	Yes	L.No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	<u> </u>	
	∐ Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	□ Yes	□No
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		□No
recorded in the written of electronic togobok as required by 40 CrK 00.070(0)?		
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following		
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
questions and go allectly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	T Yes	No
rioodis, runs, dumpers, etc.) to cupture and transport particulate matter to a conditional root.		
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	T Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	\square Yes	\square No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Tes Yes	\square No
and jes, was all opacity less that of equal to 770 opacity.		

Yes N O EU O EU
YesN YesN YesN YesN YesN
YesN YesN YesN YesN YesN
YesN YesN YesN YesN YesN
Yes □N Yes □N Yes □N Yes □N
Yes □N Yes □N Yes □N
Yes □N Yes □N Yes □N
YesN YesN
YesN
YesN
YesN
Yes 🗌N
Yes \squareN
YesN
103 <u> </u>
YesN
YesN
YesN
YesN
Yes 🗍N
YesN
Yes 🗌 N

	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%

Emissions Unit Section
2 -NMMP Plant-crusher, 520 hp diesel IC engine/hydraulic power

		(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	question)
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processi		1 /
1. 2. 3.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Punice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	□No □No □No □No
If	 □ crusher or grinding mill at not mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; □ screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) □ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} 		
sul	opart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. The answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	🗌 Yes	No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No
0.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted mate </i>		NO
which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and		
at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral		
with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from p		
of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material the		
solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this defin		
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Ves	No
{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to		
any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmeta	llic	
mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient s		
moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the mat		
through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solu	ely by	
wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
If men and a new of the sin Or estimute 5, 10, shows is "West" then the EU is not exhibited to		
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	? \[Yes	No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A		
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/ds c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?		∐No ∏No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?		\square No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than of equal to 7% opacity?		
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the }$		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions fro	т	
one or more affected EUs.}		
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/ds		No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	No
d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% openings	acity? 🗌 Yes	No

<u>2 –NMMP Plant-crusher, 520 hp diesel IC engine/hydraulic power</u>

-			
ľ	16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
	If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or	_	
I	none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
	17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
I	were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? 🗌 N/A	Yes	🗌 No
	18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
I	If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
	a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	No
	{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
	 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate. } 		No
	19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
I	If yes:		
I	a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
I	the discharge spray nozzles?		
I	b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
I	corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
	c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		□No
I	recorded in the written of electronic togoook as required by 40 Cr K 00.070(0)?		NO
	If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	20 Dece the FIL have a monthematic motion and the section of the section of the section of the		
	20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
I	21. Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? \square N/A	Yes	🗌 No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No
Ш			

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	□ No
$\{A \text{ ``vent'' is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the } $		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	TYes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacit		\square No
e. Were mittal fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than of equal to 7% opacit	y [] 103	
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	TYes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufactu	ror's	
instructions?		
	Yes	L.No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +2	50	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber a		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions		L.No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5	%	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	🗌 Yes	L.No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:	—	
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?		L.No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Ves	No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?		L.No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	No
Rate:		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	L.No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	—	—
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Ves	L.No
	— ••	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		L.No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	🗌 Yes	No
Rate:	_	_
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	L.No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_	_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	No
VE Opacity Limits		
	nort OOO FU	

	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%

Emissions Unit Section
3 -NMMP Plant-radial stacker/conveyor w/74or85hp motor,74'x36'

I

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processi		
	<i>Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?</i>	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	□No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	No
3. ₄	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	L.No
	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	No
	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	No
/.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No

-				
9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	□No
	<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>		105	
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	d		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material			
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processir			
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wette			
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
10	. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	□No
	<i>{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>			
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by			
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
If	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
11				
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	No
<i>If</i>	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,			
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14	. Initial Tests:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	🗌 No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	_	Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?		Yes	No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	No
1 -				
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	No
	A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		105	
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
	one or more affected EUs.}			
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	=	Yes	No
Í	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	=	Yes	No
1			1	

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
 a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	No
 and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		DNo
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
21 Initial Testa		
 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an		and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with em				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor	med on each vent control	ol device within 180 days of	_	_
initial startup of the EU?			A Yes	l No
$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through where } A$				
purpose of exhausting from a building	g air carrying particula	e matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}			_	_
b. Was the EU found to be in complia				L.No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	m non-vent building ope	enings less than or equal to 7% of	opacity? 🗌 Yes	LNo
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?		Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta				
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through the	<u>م</u>	
scrubber and the device has been				
instructions?			Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device n				
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre				
and				
b. a device for the continuous measur	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrub	ober and the	
device has been calibrated on ar				No
{Note: The monitoring device n				
of design scrubbing liquid flow	-			
	,			
24. When was the last VE test conducte	ed by the owner/operat	or for this EU?		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s	subpart OOO, has the E	U been tested within the past 5	years? 🗌 Yes	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart	000:			
i. has the EU been tested during	g each of the past 4 cales	ndar years?	Yes	No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	r year?	Yes	No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>ow</i> .	ner/onerator for this w	nit during this site visit?	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the own				No
Rate:	cess rate that is represe			
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9? -		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp			Yes	No
a. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	nance with the opacity	mint: (Bee chart below).		
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>ins</i>	<i>pector</i> for this unit du	ing this site visit?	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted as a pro-				No
Rate:				
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9? -		Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp			Yes	No
1	1 9	· /		
	VE Opac	ity Limits		
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOO EU	
			Subpart OOO EO	

EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008
20%	15%	12%
20%	10%	7%
-	40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO 20%	40 CFR 60constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/200820%15%

Emissions Unit Section	
4-NMMP Plant-74 or 85 hp motor pwr for radial/stacker conve	eyor

Ic	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processir	o Plants?	
13	<i>{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit</i>		
	is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit		
	Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and		
	(3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock S	Salt;	
	(5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo	ride,	
	and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax,	Kernite,	
	and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice	ulite;	
	(17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}		
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Yes	No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	No
	\Box crusher, \Box grinding mill, \Box bucket elevator, \Box belt conveyor, \Box bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. $\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through}\}$		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
	an carrying particulate matter (1 M) emissions from one of more affected EOS.		
	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	_	_
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	_	_
_	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	L.No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
0	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	Yes	L.No
0.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	TYes	□No
	equal to > megagiume nour (10 tone nour).		

-				
9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	\square	Yes	□No
	<i>Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>			
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	ed		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material			
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing			
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wett	ed		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
10	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	\square	Yes	□No
				_
	<i>{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>			
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by			
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
If	answer to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
If	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13	. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,			
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14	. Initial Tests:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU? 🔲 N/A		Yes	No No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Ц	Yes	L.No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	No
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
Í	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			
Í	initial startup of the EU? 🔲 N/A		Yes	No No
Í	$\{A ``vent'' is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the $			
Í	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
Í	one or more affected EUs.}		X 7	
Í	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	=	Yes	L.No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes Yes	□No □No
1	a. Were initial regime emissions from non-vent building optimings less than of equal to 7% opacity?	\Box	105	

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	_	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
 a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 	Yes	No
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
<i>and</i> b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	Yes	No
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		□No
		NO
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
21. Initial Tests:		
 a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No □No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	∐ Yes	LNo

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	□ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	_	_
instructions?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	Yes	L.No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Yes	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	□No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	No
Rate:		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	No
	_	_
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	L.No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	L.No
Rate:	□ ••	
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	Yes	
d Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the second $(0, -1)$		L.No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		

<u>RI</u>	EASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check \blacksquare box for each	only one question)
1.	 Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? N/A 	□ Yes	
	If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?		
	 b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control 	Yes Yes	□ No □ No
	of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	Yes	🗌 No
	particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	YesYes	□ No □No

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY (check \square only one box for each question) 1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? ----- Yes ...No b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? ------...No c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? ------ TYes ...No 2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? ------ Yes ...No If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? ----- Yes ...No If YES, what other general permit units or activities?

3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
	a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? YesNo	
	b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline? YesNo	
	c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? YesNo	
	d) 1.3 million gallons of propane? YesNo	
	e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? YesNo	
() gal diesel/yr + () gal gasoline/yr + () MM SCF nat. gas/yr + () MM gal propane/yr ≤ 1.00 ?	
27	75,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption	
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? YesNo	

G	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	-
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	box for each	question)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	□No
2.	Does the owner or operator:	_	
	a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- 🗌 Yes	LNo
_	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		No
3.	. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	SS	
	permit and Department rules?	- 🗌 Yes	No

	ELOCATABLE PLANT The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
2.	 For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	6)]	□No □No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		□No
	 b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes Yes	□No □No

	HANGES dministrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)		
1.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	nits or	No		
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	Yes	No		
Ne	New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:				
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been				
	a) Installation of any new process equipment?		No		
	b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	🗌 Yes	No		
	c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?		No		
	d) A change in ownership?	🗌 Yes	No		
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su	bmitted			
	30 days prior to the change?		No		

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

Date of Inspection

10/30/2012

COMMENTS: THE PORTABLE CRUSHER IS NO LONGER LOCATED AT 1200 NW 137 AVE. I TRIED CONTACTING THE OWNER BY PHONE AND E-MAIL BUT WAS UNABLE TO GET IN TOUCH WITH HIM.