

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISC  ARMS COMPLAIN	COVERY (CI) L		
			1110.		
AIRS ID#: 0330063 DA	TE: <u>4/18/2006</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPART:		
FACILITY NAME: MA	AIN SITE, PLANT #2				
FACILITY LOCATION	<b>N:</b> 3008 Highway 95A				
	CANTONMENT 325	533			
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	CIAL: TONY HATCHER	PH	HONE: (850)477-2899		
CONTACT NAME: Noah McBride		PH	<b>PHONE:</b> 477-2899		
REMITTANCE YEAR:	: ENTIT	TLEMENT PERIOD: 2/13 (effect	3/2006 / 2/13/2011 tive date) (end date)		
<u></u>	N COMPLIANCE STATUS (	<u> </u>			
IN COMPLIAN	ICE MINOR Non-COM	MPLIANCE SIGNIF	FICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RE	ECORDKEEPING REQUIRI	<u>EMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.41	14, F.A.C.		
	ite box(cs))				
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emis	ssions tests conducted during th	nis site visit according to EP			
			rage and conveying equipment		
2. Are emissions fro controlled to the e	om silos, weigh hoppers (batche extent necessary to limit visible	ers), and other enclosed storate emissions to 5 percent opac	age and conveying equipment System Yes No		
<ul><li>2. Are emissions fro controlled to the e</li><li>3. During visible em at a rate that is rep</li></ul>	om silos, weigh hoppers (batche extent necessary to limit visible nissions tests of the silo dust corpresentative of the normal silo.)	ers), and other enclosed stora e emissions to 5 percent opace elector exhaust points was the loading rate, or at least at the	rage and conveying equipment acity? \(\simeg\)Yes \(\simeg\) No he loading of the silo conducted he minimum 25 tons per hour rate,		
<ul><li>2. Are emissions fro controlled to the e</li><li>3. During visible em at a rate that is required unless such rate is</li></ul>	om silos, weigh hoppers (batche extent necessary to limit visible nissions tests of the silo dust corpresentative of the normal silo is unachievable in practice?	ers), and other enclosed stora e emissions to 5 percent opace ellector exhaust points was the loading rate, or at least at the	rage and conveying equipment city?		
<ol> <li>Are emissions fro controlled to the e</li> <li>During visible em at a rate that is repunless such rate is</li> <li>Are emissions fro to this question is</li> </ol>	om silos, weigh hoppers (batche extent necessary to limit visible nissions tests of the silo dust corpresentative of the normal silo is unachievable in practice?om the weigh hopper (batcher) of "Yes", then continue on to que	ers), and other enclosed storate emissions to 5 percent opace emissions to 5 percent opace emissions are points was the loading rate, or at least at the operation controlled by the sestions 4.a) and 4.b) below.	rage and conveying equipment  city?  Yes No  he loading of the silo conducted he minimum 25 tons per hour rate,		
<ol> <li>Are emissions fro controlled to the e</li> <li>During visible em at a rate that is repunless such rate is</li> <li>Are emissions fro to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b)</li> </ol>	om silos, weigh hoppers (batche extent necessary to limit visible nissions tests of the silo dust compresentative of the normal silots unachievable in practice?om the weigh hopper (batcher) of "Yes", then continue on to question 5.)	ers), and other enclosed storate emissions to 5 percent opace emissions to 5 percent opace emissions are points was the loading rate, or at least at the operation controlled by the sestions 4.a) and 4.b) below.	rage and conveying equipment  city?  Yes No  he loading of the silo conducted he minimum 25 tons per hour rate,		
<ol> <li>Are emissions fro controlled to the example.</li> <li>During visible emat a rate that is required unless such rate is</li> <li>Are emissions fro to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b)</li> <li>a) Was the batch by During the vis</li> </ol>	om silos, weigh hoppers (batche extent necessary to limit visible nissions tests of the silo dust corpresentative of the normal silo is unachievable in practice?om the weigh hopper (batcher) of "Yes", then continue on to question 5. and continue on to question 5. and continue on to question 5. and continue on to question 5. as we was the bat silose emissions test, was the bat	ers), and other enclosed storate emissions to 5 percent opace emissions to 5 percent opace elector exhaust points was the loading rate, or at least at the operation controlled by the sestions 4.a) and 4.b) below.	rage and conveying equipment city?		
<ol> <li>Are emissions froe controlled to the editions.</li> <li>During visible emat a rate that is required unless such rate is.</li> <li>Are emissions froe to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b)         <ul> <li>Was the batched by During the visible duration?</li> </ul> </li> <li>If emissions from</li> </ol>	om silos, weigh hoppers (batche extent necessary to limit visible missions tests of the silo dust compresentative of the normal silods sunachievable in practice?om the weigh hopper (batcher) of "Yes", then continue on to question 5.3 and continue on to question for the weigh hopper (batcher) of sible emissions test, was the batant the weigh hopper (batcher) op	ers), and other enclosed storate emissions to 5 percent opace emissions to 5 percent opace elector exhaust points was the loading rate, or at least at the operation controlled by the sestions 4.a) and 4.b) below.	age and conveying equipment  city?		
<ol> <li>Are emissions froe controlled to the end of the end o</li></ol>	om silos, weigh hoppers (batche extent necessary to limit visible missions tests of the silo dust compresentative of the normal silods sunachievable in practice?	ers), and other enclosed storate emissions to 5 percent opace emissions test at the operation controlled by the sections 4.a) and 4.b) below.  In the visible emissions test teching rate representative of operation are controlled by a disions tests of the weigh hopp	age and conveying equipment  city?		

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)					
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es)					
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)   ☑ Yes ☐ No					
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?					
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?  Yes □ No  Test Paperts (Pules 62-213-440, F.A.C. and 62-297-310(8)(b), F.A.C.)					
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?   Yes □ No					
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☐ appropriate box(es))					
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i> )					
2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)					
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a logbook or books to account for:  a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?					
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)  1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:  a) management of roads, parking areas, stockpiles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?					
emissions?  Yes No  nemoval of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?  Yes No  reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?  Yes No					
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?  \Big Yes \Big No					

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDU</u> A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	<u>RES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment?				
Charles Norman	4/14/2006			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_		
	April 2007			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_		

**COMMENTS:** Mr. McBride and I toured the facility. It consists of two silos, one for cement and one for fly ash. Emissions from the loading of each silo are controlled by a baghouse. The weigh hopper has a small dust collector which exhausts internally into the drop point for the cement mixer truck. A spray bar controls emissions from the drop point. This plant is adjacent to Plant #1, permit 0330071-008-AG.

## Part II. Permit Terms and Conditions

**Permit Condition Comments** 

- (4)(a) Visible emissions limits from silos, weigh hoppers and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment shall be controlled to limit the opacity to 5%. Loading operations for several cement mixer trucks were observed. The silos were not being loaded at the time of the inspection. No visible emissions were observed.
- (4)(b)1 Reasonable precautions shall be taken to control unconfined emissions from hoppers, storage and conveying equipment, conveyor drop points, tuck loading and unloading roads, parking area, stock piles and yards. The yard is paved and a water trailer is available to wet down the yard when needed to control dust. Material piles have sprinklers to control fugitive emissions. The yard was wet at the inspection. No fugitive emissions were seen from yard operations.
- (4)(b)2 Controlling fugitive dust from the drop point into the cement mixer truck. A spray bar controls these emissions. No emissions were seen from truck loading.
- (4)(c), (d) & (e) Annual VE Testing. The anniversary date of Permit 0330063-004-AG is January 13, thus annual VE testing is required to be performed in the 60-day period prior to January 13. The facility last tested 11/17/2005 for renewal. The next visible emissions testing is required in the 60-day period prior to January 13, 2007 (14 Nov 2006—13 Jan 2007).