

(check \square only one box for each question) \sqrt{TS}

ERAL PROCESSING



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 7775543 DATE: <u>8/19/2013</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:07 AM</u> DEPAR	Г: <u>11:23 АМ</u>			
FACILITY NAME: SAWGRASS QUARRY-RELOC ROCK CRUSHER				
FACILITY LOCATION: 14005 NW 186TH ST				
HIALEAH 33018-6451				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: TIM FOX Email: CONTACT NAME: TIM FOX Email: tfox@cacorp.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (561)790-6 Mobile: (561)790-6 Mobile: (561)790-6 Mobile:	484			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): OSVALDO FLORES	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
Brief Notes:				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still TIM FOX?	YesNo			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still TIM FOX? If no, who is?:	YesNo - YesNo			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?				

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-crusher w/diesel pwr , screen & stacker -300 t/hr

		(check ☑	only one
	ŀ	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granity Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlorand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	1
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
2	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes☐ Yes	∐No □No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	□No
	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	No
su If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
δ.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

$\underline{1-NMMP}$ Plant-crusher w/diesel pwr , screen & stacker -300 t/hr

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	ica	
	solely by well suppression systems is not considered to be saturated for purposes by this definition.		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
10	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	grinding film of storage off in the production fine:		
	(Note: Wet mining aparation means a mining or dradging aparation designed and aparated to extract		
	(Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
7.0			
	answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
١			
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	∐ Yes	∐No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
10			
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	∐ Yes	∐No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	_	_
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	<u></u> No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	☐ Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	□No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the	_	_
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		□No
	a. Were mittal rugitive emissions from non-vent outlaing openings less than of equal to 7% opacity?	1 es	

–NMMP Plant-crusher w/diesel pwr , screen & stacker -300 t/hr

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
☐ follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,			_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A		Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Ш	Yes	∐No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		* 7	
instructions?	- Ш	Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Vec	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	Ш	103	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
of design serubbing fiquid flow rate.)			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? 			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests:		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	NoNo
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNo

$\underline{1-NMMP}$ Plant-crusher w/diesel pwr , screen & stacker -300 t/hr

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor	med on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?		N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi	ch there is mechanicall	y induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particular	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}		•			
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?				Yes	□No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?			☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta				_	_
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through the	•		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device n	oust be certified by the i	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +250	_	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre					
and	•				
b. a device for the continuous measur	ement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrub	ber and th	e	
device has been calibrated on an	annual basis in accorda	ance with manufacturer's instru	ctions?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device n	oust be certified by the i	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24.337					
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?					
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years? YesNo			□No		
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart				□ v	□ N-
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? YesNo ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? YesNo			=		
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? YesNo					
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own	nor/onorator for this w	nit during this site visit?		☐ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				Yes	□No
Rate:	cess rate that is represe	mative of the normal rate:		Tes	
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo			□No		
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				Yes	□No
1	1 7	,		_	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	<i>pector</i> for this unit du	ring this site visit?		☐ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:				_	_
b. Was the VE test conducted accord				Yes Yes	☐No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity		<u> </u>		_	_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	iance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
	VE Onac	ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	_	cted, modifi	ed.
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed on	-
	Bubpart OOO	to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		OI
Crushan with no continuo avieta-	200/		arter 4/2	12%	
Crusher with no capture system All other affected EUs	20% 20%	15% 10%		7%	
An other affected EUS	ZU%0	10%		1 70	

Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-crusher, relocatable, diesel power unit, 335 hp

1. 2. 3.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gra (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Ker and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermiculite (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	vel; nite,	□No □No □No □No
	crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station; crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFP part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	□ No
6.	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	ies	No
7	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	Yes	□No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

<u>2 –NMMP Plant-crusher, relocatable, diesel power unit, 335 hp</u>

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? ————————————————————————————————————	l ng	□No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
su	answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes	☐ No
	one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

<u>2 –NMMP Plant-crusher, relocatable, diesel power unit, 335 hp</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s 🔲No
If yes, the owner operator:		
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);		
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or		
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	□ x z	□ x ₁
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? \[\] N/A	∐ Yes	s 📙 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s 🗀No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	1 Cs	5
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	∏ Yes	s \square No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	_	_
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		_
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	☐ Yes	s ∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	□ Vac	s ПNo
If yes:	103	
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?	☐ Yes	s □No
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	s □No
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	s 🗀No
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	s 🗀No
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	s □No
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		s
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	S □No S □ No S □No
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐	S
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	S

<u>2 –NMMP Plant-crusher, relocatable, diesel power unit, 335 hp</u>

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor	med on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of			_
initial startup of the EU?			/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi					
purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}					
b. Was the EU found to be in compliant				∐ Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	n non-vent building op	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	☐ Yes	□No
22 Is a suct someth or used to control or	.:			□ V.	□ N-
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en If yes, does the owner/operator mainta				☐ Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measur	•	oss of the gas stream through th	0		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m					
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	•	manufacturer to be accurate with	11111 1230		
and	33410.				
b. a device for the continuous measur	ement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scru	bber and th	e	
device has been calibrated on an				Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m				_	_
of design scrubbing liquid flow	•				
24. When was the last VE test conducted					
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s		U been tested within the past 5	years?	Yes Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart				_	_
 has the EU been tested during 				∐ Yes	∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? YesNo					
25 Was a VE tast and acted by the and	/			□ V.	□ N-
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>own</i>				∐ Yes	□No
 a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro Rate: 	cess rate that is represe	mative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ng to EPA Method 92.			Yes	□No
c. The VE test conducted according					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp.				Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp.	nance with the opacity	mint: (See chart below).			
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	pector for this unit du	ring this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				Yes	□No
Rate:	1			_	_
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ng to EPA Method 9?			Yes Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				_	_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	iance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	□No
	VE On a	:4 T ::4			
		ity Limits	C1 4	000 EU	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	000 EU	,
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modifi	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed on	or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?	☐ Yes	☐ No
If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?		
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	Yes	☐ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		only one
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	box for each o	_
a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	No No No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) o Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	r	□No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□No
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		

<u>(</u>	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		No No No No
	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices? Does the owner or operator:	☐ Yes	□No
	 a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 	☐ Yes	□No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□No
	ELOCATABLE PLANT	(check ☑ box for each o	only one
1.	The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>)		question
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]	□No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		□No
	b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes Yes	□No □No

CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days 	on of the facility or any emissions units or inistrative change at the facility? YesNo
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement' c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subs d) A change in ownership?	??
FRANK DELGADO	8/19/2013
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	8/2014
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
COMMENTS: THE PORTABLE CRUSHER WAS TAKEN TO THE APPROXIMATELY TWO (2) MONTHS AGO. A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WAS PERFORMED BY WILLIAM	

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 3:00 pm, Sep 06, 2013