

(check \square only one box for each question) \sqrt{TS}

ERAL PROCESSING



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 7775543 DATE: <u>2/17/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>9:58 AM</u> DEPART: <u>11:16 AM</u>						
FACILITY NAME: SAWGRASS QUARRY-RELOC ROCK CRUSHER						
FACILITY LOCATION: 14005 NW 186TH ST						
HIALEAH 33018-6451						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: TIM FOX Email: CONTACT NAME: OSVALDO FLORES Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/16/2008 / 11/16/2013 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (561)790-64 Mobile: (561)602-24 PHONE: (305)829-07 Mobile:	84					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one					
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>TIM FOX</u>	box for each question)					
Brief Notes:						
2. Is the Authorized Representative still TIM FOX? If no, who is?:	⊠ Yes □No					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still OSVALDO FLORES?	Yes □No ⊠ Yes □No					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?						

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-crusher w/diesel pwr , screen & stacker -300 t/hr

		(check ☑	only one
	t	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Stone, Granite (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlos and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.)	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	1
	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Yes	□No
3.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?		□No □No □No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
6.	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	∐ Yes	⊠No
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	⊠ Yes	□No
δ.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No

$\underline{1-NMMP}$ Plant-crusher w/diesel pwr , screen & stacker -300 t/hr

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	il	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? \[\sum N/A \]	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_	
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes Yes	☐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the } $		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	∐No

$\underline{1}$ –NMMP Plant-crusher w/diesel pwr , screen & stacker -300 t/hr

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	☐ Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? 	⊠ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	⊠No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

$\underline{1-NMMP}$ Plant-crusher w/diesel pwr , screen & stacker -300 t/hr

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi	issions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor					
initial startup of the EU?		🛛 N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi	ich there is mechanicall	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building	g air carrying particular	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}		•			
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?			Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta					
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through the	2		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m					
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	-				
and	,				
b. a device for the continuous measur	ement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrul	ber and th	e	
device has been calibrated on an	annual basis in accorda	ance with manufacturer's instru-	ctions?	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m	nust be certified by the i	manufacturer to be accurate witl	nin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow:	rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conducte	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s		U been tested within the past 5	years?	∐ Yes	∟No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart				_ **	
i. has the EU been tested during	geach of the past 4 cale	ndar years?		∐ Yes	∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	ır year?		Yes Yes	∐No
25 Was a VE test conducted by the ann	u au/au augtau fau thia w	uit duning this site visit?		□ Vas	⊠No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				∐ Yes	=
Rate:	ocess rate that is represe	mative of the normal rate?		∐ Yes	∐No
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to FDA Method 02			⊠ Yes	□No
c. The VE test conducted accords					\\0
d. Did the VE test demonstrate complete				X Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp.	nunce with the opacity	mint: (See chart below).		Z 103	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	nector for this unit du	ring this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				Yes	□No
Rate:	1			_	_
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9? -			☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	□No
	VE Ongo	ita I imita			
	•	ity Limits	0.1	000 FH	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	: 000 EU	,
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modifi	-
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed on	or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	

Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-crusher, relocatable, diesel power unit, 335 hp

1. 2. 3. 4.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock S (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlor and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Tale and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? Is the EU ocated above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)? Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983? Is the EU one of the following? Crusher grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station; crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite, ulite; Yes	No No No No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
6. 7.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☑ Yes☐ Yes	□No□No□No□No
			<u> </u>

<u>2 –NMMP Plant-crusher, relocatable, diesel power unit, 335 hp</u>

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? ————————————————————————————————————	l ng	⊠No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	⊠No
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
su	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	 {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? 	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

2 –NMMP Plant-crusher, relocatable, diesel power unit, 335 hp

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,			_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A		Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Ш	Yes	∐No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		* 7	
instructions?	· Ш	Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	2		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Vec	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	Ш	103	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
of design serubbing fiquid flow rate.			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, 			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? 			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, 		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests:		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	NoNoNo
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNo

<u>2 –NMMP Plant-crusher, relocatable, diesel power unit, 335 hp</u>

22. If the EU is a building enclosing ar	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with em				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo	rmed on each vent conti	rol device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU?			N/A Yes	s No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh				
purpose of exhausting from a buildin	ig air carrying particula	tte matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}				
b. Was the EU found to be in compl				
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	om non-vent building op	penings less than or equal to 7%	opacity? \(\subseteq \text{Yes}	sNo
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the EU?	·		s ПNo
If yes, does the owner/operator main				
a. a device for the continuous measu		oss of the gas stream through the	ne	
scrubber and the device has bee				
instructions?				s \square No
{Note: The monitoring device				
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro		1		
and	- · ,			
b. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	g liquid flow rate to the wet scru	abber and the	
device has been calibrated on a				s \square No
{Note: The monitoring device is				
of design scrubbing liquid flow				
24. When was the last VE test conduct	ed by the owner/opera	tor for this EU?		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60	subpart OOO, has the E	EU been tested within the past 5	years? Yes	s 🔲No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		•	_	_
i. has the EU been tested durin		endar years?	Yes	s 🔲No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	ithin the current calenda	ar year?	Yes	s 🔲No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the o μ				s 🛛No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?	Yes	s 🔲No
Rate:				
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ding to EPA Method 9?		Yes	s 🔲No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp			Yes	s 🔲No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>in</i> .				s 🛛No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?	Yes	s 🔲No
Rate:				
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ding to EPA Method 9?		Yes	s 🔲No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high	nest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	pliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)	Yes	s 🔲No
	VE On a	nitu. Timita		
		city Limits	G 1 + 000 1	DY.
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOO 1	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed, mo	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconstructe	d on or
	_	to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%	
Crusher with no capture system All other affected EUs	20%	15% 10%	12% 7%	

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	⊠ Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles? \square\ N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY 1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit.	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	□No □No □No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	or	⊠No
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠No

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		No No No No No
GENERAL CONDITIONS 1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?		⊠No
 a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access 	⊠ Yes	□No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□No
RELOCATABLE PLANT 1. The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☒ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 	6)]	□No
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	- Yes	□No

Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone n associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar materials.	relocation of the facility or any emissions units or ninor administrative change at the facility? Yes	h question) ⊠No
 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersh 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? ————————————————————————————————————	yes blacement?	□No □No □No □No □No
FRANK DELGADO Inspector's Name (Please Print)	2/17/2012 Date of Inspection	
hispector's ivaline (i lease i fint)	2/2013	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: WILLIAM ARLINGTON PERFORMED FOUR (4) VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS ON THE PORTABLE CRUSHER, SCREEN, STACKER AND ONE CONVEYOR. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE VE TESTS.

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 3:56 pm, Mar 26, 2012