

## FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

NORTHWEST DISTRICT OFFICE 470 HARRISON AVENUE PANAMA CITY, FLORIDA 32401 RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR

JENNIFER CARROLL LT. GOVERNOR

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR. SECRETARY

November 30, 2012

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL ttaylor@coxpools.com

Ms. Tami Taylor Beach Commerce Park (CoxBuilding) 17687 Ashley Drive Panama City Beach, Florida 32407

Dear Ms. Taylor:

On November 16, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Cox Building Corporation Concrete Batch Plant ID 7770091. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or by email at *mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us*.

Sincerely,

Clifford D. Wilson III, P.E.

**Assistant Director** 

CDW/ms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>) Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>)



# **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISC  ARMS COMPLAIN	• • •						
AIRS ID#: 7770091 DATE: <u>11/16/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>7:30</u>	DEPART:	<u>8:45</u>					
FACILITY NAME: BEACH COMMERCE PARK LOT #5								
FACILITY LOCATION: 17687 ASHLEY D	)R							
PANAMA CITY I	BEACH 32407							
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Tami Taylor Email: ttaylor@coxpools.com CONTACT NAME: Tami Taylor Email: ttaylor@coxpools.com Entitlement Period: 10/19/2008 / 10/19/2013 (effective date) (end date)  PHONE: (850)249-329  Mobile:  PHONE:  Mobile:  PHONE: (850)249-329  Mobile:								
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)								
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-O	COMPLIANCE SIGNI	FICANT Non-COMPLI	ANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Tami Taylor	<del></del> -		(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
Brief Notes: The concrete silo had its annual Me	ethod 9 VE testdon by Henry I	Hernandez at the time of	this inspection.					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still Tami Taylo If no, who is?: NA	or?		⊠ Yes □No					
If different, did the facility provide an administra  3. Is the facility contact still Tami Taylor? If no, who is?: NA	tive update within 30 days?	N/A	YesNo YesNo					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le			<ul><li>☐ Yes</li><li>☐No</li><li>☐ Yes</li><li>☐No</li></ul>					

### **Emissions Unit Section**

#### 1 – CCB Plant-silo portable trailer (gunite)w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION							
	Date of last inspection: 8/21/2012  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No					
P/	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.						
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>							
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:						
	a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	☐ No					
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? Yes  3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	⊠ No					
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	⊠ No					
	particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No					
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? $\boxtimes$ N/A $\square$ Yes	☐ No					
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	⊠ No □ No					

#### **Facility Section (continued)**

~			1
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
4.	$\frac{0 \text{ gal diesel/yr} + 0 \text{ gal gasoline/yr} + 0 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} + 0 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} \leq 1.00?$ $275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}  23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr}  44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}  1.3 \text{ MM gal propane}$ Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption	□ No
	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?  b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- X Yes	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	🔀 Yes	☐ No

<u>R</u>	RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one					
1.	Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable ⊠; or consisting of concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plan		box for each ag question 2.)	question)		
	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	ow. )  nt or Local Air Program by telephone, s day prior to changing location? N/ otification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900( ousiness days following a relocation? Education Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6))	A ☐ Yes [6]] [N/A☐ Yes [5]]	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>		
3.	If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separand the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routin If YES, what was the purpose?  b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how located at the permitted facility?	s unit in that separate permit: e purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage ong it was	e)? ⊠ N/A ⊠ N/A			
<u>C</u> 1	<u>CHANGES</u> (check ✓ only one					
	Iministrative Changes		box for each			
1. 2. <u>No</u>	Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  Yes No  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? N/A Yes No  New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been  a. Installation of any new process equipment?					
4.	d. A change in ownership? ☐ Yes ☐ No  4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted					
	30 days prior to the change?	N/A	Yes	☐ No		
C.	Mark Sumner	11/16/2012				
	Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
/	Mark Sen	November 2012				
	Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inc	spection			

**COMMENTS:** The 2011 VE test was performed on 8/26/2011 by Henry Hernandez. The report was reviewed by the Department on 8/29/2011. The 2012 VE test was performed on 11/16/2012 by Henry Hernandez. The report was reviewed by the Department on 11/20/2012. The Department was notified of the test on 10/31/2012 to allow witnessing. The facility does not use any Diesel, gasoline, natural gas, or propane to power any of the emission units listed at this site. Records have been maintained to track the amount of material processed through the cement silo. Since the last inspection this facility has received approximately four deliveries of around 25-27 tons of cement. Please note: The General permit for this facility will expire on October 19. 2013, and the renewal due date is 30 days prior to this expiration date.