

# Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll
Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

August 29, 2012

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL ttaylor@coxpools.com

Ms. Tami Taylor Beach Commerce Park (CoxBuilding) 17687 Ashley Drive Panama City Beach, Florida 32407

Dear Ms. Taylor:

On August 21, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Cox Building Corporation Concrete Batch Plant ID 7770091. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or by email at <a href="mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us">mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us</a>.

Sincerely,

Clifford D. Wilson III, P.E.

Northwest District Branch Administrator

CDW/ms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>)
Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>)



## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI	_	· / <del></del>				
AIRS ID#: 7770091 DATE: 8/21/2012	ARRIVE: <u>1:38</u>	<b>DEPART:</b> 2:45				
FACILITY NAME: BEACH COMMERCE PARK LOT #5						
FACILITY LOCATION: 17687 ASHLEY	DR					
PANAMA CITY	BEACH 32407-					
	PHON Mobil PHON Mobil PHON Mobil 1 date)	NE:				
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING.  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Tami Tay  Brief Notes: The Concrete silo was not being f	<u>vlor</u>	(check ☑ obox for each q	only one uestion)			
Is the Authorized Representative still Tami Tay     If no, who is?: NA	•	X Yes	□No			
If different, did the facility provide an administr  3. Is the facility contact still Tami Taylor? If no, who is?: NA			□No □No			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during too If yes, was the compliance authority notified at			⊠No □No			

### **Emissions Unit Section**

#### 1 – CCB Plant-silo portable trailer (gunite)w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION					
	Date of last inspection: 8/26/2012  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: (NA)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? NA		□ No □ No □ No		
P/	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.				
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards  1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:					
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the fell paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> </ul>	⊠ Yes	□ No		
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?  4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?  N/A	_	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>		
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? $\boxtimes$ 1	N/A Yes	☐ No		
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ( <u>NA</u> )% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  N/A  What caused the problem(s) (if known)? NA	Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No		

### **Facility Section (continued)**

~			1
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
4.	$\frac{0 \text{ gal diesel/yr} + 0 \text{ gal gasoline/yr} + 0 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} + 0 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} \leq 1.00?$ $275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}  23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr}  44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}  1.3 \text{ MM gal propane}$ Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption	□ No
	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?  b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- X Yes	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	🔀 Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ✓ only one box for each question)				
	s the facility: stationary \( \subseteq \); relocatable \( \subseteq \); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \( \subseteq \) oncrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i> )				
	Yes No				
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer quest a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate					
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least of	one business day prior to changing location? Yes No elocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]				
to the Department or Local Air Program no late	r than five business days following a relocation? Yes No Plocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]				
	gram at least five business days prior to relocation? Yes No				
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility and the relocatable batch plant is not included as a	with a separate air construction or air operation permit,				
	non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? \( \sum \) Yes \( \sum \) No				
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to ind	icate how long it was				
co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes No No No				
Note: this plant is stationary the relocatable pro	ovisions in 2 a.b.c. and 3 a.b. are not applicable for this facility.				
CWANGE					
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check only one				
Administrative Changes:	box for each question)				
	hone number of the facility or authorized representative not				
	hysical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or milar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No				
	nilar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No n within 30 days of the change? N/A Yes No				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in O					
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there					
	Yes No				
	out replacement? Yes No				
	ment that is substantially different? Yes No				
	Yes No				
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was 30 days prior to the change?	a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted  N/A Yes No				
C. Mark Sumner	8/21/2012				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
Made Ser					
Mark Der	August 2013				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				

**COMMENTS:** The 2011 VE test was performed on 8/26/2012 by Henry Hernandez. The report was reviewed by the Department on 8/29/2011. The 2012 VE test is due by 12/31/2012. Please notify the deptment 15 days prior to your VE test at nwdair@dep.state.fl.us The facility does not use any Diesel, gasoline, natural gas, or propane to power any of the emission units listed at this site. Records have been maintained to track the amount of material processed through the cement silo. Since the last inspection this facility has received approximately six deliveries of around 25-27 tons of cement. Please note: The General permit for this facility will expire on October 19. 2013, and the renewal due date is 30 days prior to this expiration date.