

### NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING PLANTS



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE ARMS COMPLAINT NO	
AIRS ID#: 7775539 DA	.TE: <u>9/4/2008</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:30 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:00 AM</u>
FACILITY NAME: RA	ANDY TRUCK SERVICES, INC.		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 1995 NW 110th Ave		
	MIAMI 33172-1911		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	CD REPRESENTATIVE: GUS	TAVO CARDOSO PHON	E: (305)796-6180
CONTACT NAME:		PHON	Е:
ENTITLEMENT PERI	<b>OD:</b> / (effective date) (end date)		
PART I: INSPECTION	<u>COMPLIANCE</u> STATUS (cho	eck 🗹 only one box)	
IN COMPLIAN	ICE MINOR Non-COMP	LIANCE SIGNIFICA	NT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: <u>DETERMIN</u> (check ☑ only <u>one</u> be	ATION OF FACILITY TYPE/A	APPLICABILITY	
	SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, d ☑ this category, answer <u>all</u> qu		
<u>Subject</u> Facilities: (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, crushers & grinding mills at hot mix asphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent affected facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.)			
	<u>NOT SUBJECT TO</u> : (40 CFR F d ☑ this category, answer <u>all</u> qu		
grinding mills; facilit sand & gravel plants, plants, & crushed stone	ties not subject to subparts F (Port, & crushed stone plants w/capacit	land Cement Plants) or I (Hot ties of 23 megagrams/hr (25 to	creening operations at plants w/o crushers or t Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; <u>fixed</u> ons/hr) or less; <u>portable</u> sand & gravel common clay plants, and pumice plants

PART III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.300(4)(c)5., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
Stack Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C. **1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)? Yes Yes Yes
**2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point:
**a) exceed <u>7</u> % percent opacity? []Yes [] No
**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of <b>0.05 grams</b> per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)? [Yes ] No
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage bin exceed <u>7</u> % percent opacity? [Yes ] No
<u>Visible Emissions</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C. **1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60,
Appendix A)? Xes No
**2. Do visible emissions from any:
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed <u>10</u> % percent opacity?
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding,
screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin,
enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point <b>NOT</b> subject to 40 CFR Part 60,
Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than 20% percent opacity?
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging
operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed
in a building? (If answer to question #4 is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to #4.a))
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (If
answer to this question is <u>NO</u> , then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) & 2). If <u>YES</u> skip to #4.c).) [Yes ] No
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control device is:
1) the particulate matter in excess of <b>0.05 grams</b> per dry standard cubic meter $(g/dscm)$ ? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
2) the opacity greater than <u>7</u> % percent?
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed $\underline{7}\%$ percent opacity? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
<ul> <li>**5. Do visible emissions from any:</li> <li>**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%</li> </ul>
percent opacity? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed <u>15</u> % opacity? [Yes ] No
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening
operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to
the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin? [Yes ] No
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors
in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket
elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin in the production line? [Yes ] No

# PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (*Continued*) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)

**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(e))?  Yes No		
Process Changes		
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (If your		
answer to this question is <u>YES</u> , then answer <u>either</u> a)1) <u>or</u> a)2) below.)	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:		
**1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? (Note: The unsaturated		
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>10% opacity limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(b)		
and the emission test requirements of 40 CFR 60.11 and Subpart OOO.)	□Yes □ No	
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? (Note: The saturated		
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.6		
(If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)	∐Yes ∐ No	
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the		
change?	∐Yes ∐ No	
Notification Requirements		
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities		
submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?	∐Yes ∐ No	
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial		
number of the equipment, if available?	∐Yes ∐ No	
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also		
include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	□Yes □ No	

## PART V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.

(check 🗹	appropriate box(es))
----------	----------------------

1.	this facility a: 1) relocatable ; 2) stationary ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable oncrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check I only one box above.</i> ) <u>NOTE</u> : If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the box for tationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer all elocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.)
	If this is a <b>relocatable facility</b> was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a
	Facility Relocation Notification form submitted within 1 business day following the relocation? [Yes ] No
	If this is a <b>relocatable facility</b> , is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from onsite
	deposits? (If your answer to this question is <u>NO</u> , please proceed to question 1) below.) [Yes ] No
	1) Does the owner or operator of this relocatable facility have a water suppression system with spray
	bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the
	conveyor drop points? [Yes ] No
	If this is a stationary facility, does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water
	suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s),
	the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points? Yes No

# PART V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (*Continued*) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

**2.	Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OC adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed</i> )		
	questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.)	□Yes 🗵	No
**	a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:		-
	**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?	TYes T	No
	**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	TYes T	Ī No
**	b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the		
	manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	TYes T	No
	**1) $\pm 250$ pascals $\pm 1$ inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?		] No
	**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?		] No
3	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with a stationary concrete batching plant using		110
5.	individual concrete batching plant air general permit at the same location? (If your answer to this questio		
	is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 3.a), thru 3.d),) below. If <u>NO</u> , proceed to question #4.)	" Yes 🖂	No
	a) Is there more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant in operation at this location?		No No
	<ul><li>b) If there is more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant at this location, do they all operate under</li></ul>		
	a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit?	Yes [	No
	<ul><li>c) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?</li></ul>	Tes T	
	<ul><li>d) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?</li></ul>	$\square$ Yes $\square$	
4	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with one or more relocatable concrete		
4.	batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this</i>		
	question is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 4.a), thru 4.b) below. If <u>NO</u> , then proceed to question 5.)	□Yes □	] No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?		
	<ul><li>b) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?</li></ul>	Yes	
5	Does the owner or operator of this facility operate multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing		
5.		TYes T	
	<ul><li>plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits at this location?</li><li>a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?</li></ul>		_  No   No
	<ul><li>b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per</li></ul>		
	calendar year?	□Yes □	] No
	c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	Yes	
	d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?		
6	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:		
0.	a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	Yes	No
	<ul><li>b) material processed on a monthly basis?</li></ul>		
	<ul><li>c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?</li></ul>		
7	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility ( <i>no</i>		
7.		n	
	<i>a Title V source</i> ) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant?		1 N.
	a) If <u>YES</u> , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for the	□Yes □	] No
0	operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?		
δ.	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such as		1 N.
	destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility ( <i>not a Title V source</i> )?		] No
	a) If <u>YES</u> , does it operate under the authority of its air general permit?	□Yes □	No

#### PART VI: REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION CONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY - Rule 62-

210.300(4)(c)5.d.(i) and (ii), F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

#### Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

1. Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by:		
a) use of a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the		
crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points? [Yes ] No		
b) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:		
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control		
emissions? Tyes No		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to		
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
particulate matter from stock piles? DYes D No		
5) landscaping and/or the planting of vegetation? [Yes ] No		
6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equipment to contain, capture and/or vent particulate		
matter? Tyes No		
7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems? [Yes ] No		

### PART VII: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

1. Since the last inspection has there been	
a) installation of any new process equipment?	<b>Yes No</b>
b) alteration of existing process equipment without replacement?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?	Yes No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	
local program office?	Yes No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

9/4/2008

Date of Inspection

9/2009

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** ON SEPTEMBER 4, 2008 AT 9:30 A.M., MARUF MALLIK AND I VISITED THIS FACILITY TO WITNESS A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST ON THE CRUSHER. ON SITE WE MET GUSTAVO CARDOSO, THE CRUSHER OWNER AND EUGENE SCHALTENDBRAND, THE VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTER FROM BROOKS AND ASSOCIATES. THE SIXTY MINUTES VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST STARTED AT 10:25 A.M. THE CRUSHER AND ONE CONVEYOR WERE TESTED. WE OBSERVED SPORADIC EMISSIONS FROM THE CRUSHER, BUT THEY WERE WITHIN THE ALLOWED EMISSIONS. THIS CRUSHER IS LOCATED IN H AND R PAVING PROPERTY. FDEP AIR PERMIT #0250608. THIS PERMIT DOES NOT

ALLOW CRUSHING AT THIS SITE. I ISSUE A NOTICE OF VIOLATION TO RAUL GONZALEZ, THE ASPHALT PLANT'S OWNER.