

# $\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)					
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 7775531 DATE: <u>8/14/2013</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:12 AM</u> DEPART	: <u>11:00AM</u>				
FACILITY NAME: GENERAL ASPHALT					
FACILITY LOCATION: 4850 NW 72nd Ave					
MIAMI 33166-5619					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ROBERT LOPEZ Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/22/2008 / 9/21/2013 (effective date) (end date)  PHONE: (305)592-34 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	80				
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)					
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMP	LIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check 🗹 only one				
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>LARRY BROSSETT</u>	box for each question)				
Brief Notes:					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still ROBERT LOPEZ?	⊠ Yes □No				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?					

REVIEWED
By Ray Gordon at 2:58 pm, Sep 06, 2013

## Emissions Unit Section 1 -Plant crusher, 250 tph, 200 hp, 365 kW

		(check <b>☑</b>	only one
	ŀ	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlos and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	1 /
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	🔯 Yes	No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	_	□No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	∐ Yes	□No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	_	
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No

#### <u>1 -Plant crusher, 250 tph, 200 hp, 365 kW</u>

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		_
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		⊠No
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processis		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wett		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
su	answer to any of the six Questions $5$ - $10$ above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions $5$ - $10$ above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 8/22/2008		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	⊠ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	<b>.Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes☐ Yes	∐No □No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:		10
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? $\square$ N/A $\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the }$	☐ Yes	∐ No
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes	□No □No
Ì			

#### <u>1 -Plant crusher, 250 tph, 200 hp, 365 kW</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	⊠No
If yes, the owner operator:   conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22;			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	_		_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? 🛛 N/A		Yes	∐ No
	_		<u></u>
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Ш	Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		<b>X</b> 7	
instructions?	Ш	Yes	⊠No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	⊠No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	Ш	105	2 (0
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
of design servicing riquid now rule.			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
		Yes	□No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	□No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			□No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> </ul>			□No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>			
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>			
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>			
<ul> <li>If yes: <ul> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.</li> <li>20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,</li> </ul>		Yes	No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>		Yes	
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?  If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  21. Initial Tests:		Yes	No
<ul> <li>If yes: <ul> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul></li></ul>		Yes Yes	No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	<ul><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li></ul>
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	□No □No □ No □No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li></ul>

#### <u>1 -Plant crusher, 250 tph, 200 hp, 365 kW</u>

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo	rmed on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of			
		🛛 N	/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh					
purpose of exhausting from a buildin	g air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}		60.07 /1 /0.000 /1 0.0			
b. Was the EU found to be in comple				∐ Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	om non-vent building op	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	☐ Yes	∐No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the FII?			☐ Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator main				Tes	<u></u>
a. a device for the continuous measu		oss of the gas stream through the	ρ		
		al basis in accordance with man			
				Yes	⊠No
		manufacturer to be accurate with			<u></u>
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro					
and	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
b. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrul	bber and th	e	
		ance with manufacturer's instru-		Yes Yes	⊠No
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	manufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conduct	ad by the exmenteness	ton for this EU9			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	voore?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		been tested within the past 3	years:		NO
		ndar years?		⊠ Yes	□No
		ar year?		Yes	□No
ii. has the Be been tested yet w	Turni the current curence	a your.		<u></u>	
25. Was a VE test conducted by the on	<i>vner/operator</i> for this u	nit during this site visit?		☐ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes	□No
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted accord				⊠ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	pliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	□No
	. 6 (1) 1/1	. 41. 4 . 40			
26. Was a VE test conducted by the in					⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes	∐No
Rate:b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ding to EDA Mathad 02			☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test conducted accorded to the vector of the vec				□ 1es	NO
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				☐ Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	phanee with the opacity	mint: (See chart below)		1 cs	\\0
		rity Limits		000 557	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	: 000 EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modif	,
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed or	n or
	2001	to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	

### **Facility Section (continued)**

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		-
emissions by:  a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?   N/A  If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	⊠ Yes	□ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A  c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A  d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A  e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	⊠ Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles? \[ \] N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?   b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each of	only one
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	No No No
2. Does this facility include:  a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	or	⊠No
<ul> <li>b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?</li> <li>If YES, what other general permit units or activities?</li> </ul>		⊠No

<u>(</u> 27	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:  a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	ne/yr		No No No No
CI	ENERAL CONDITIONS			
		•		only one uestion)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air		1	· ·
2.	pollution control devices?  Does the owner or operator:	_ ·	Yes	⊠No
	<ul><li>a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li><li>b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all</li></ul>		Yes	□No
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	□No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		Yes	□No
	The facility:  is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	•		only one uestion)
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant:  a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6) to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]	Yes Yes	□No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	· 🔲 ٬	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No
	, <u></u> <u></u> <u></u> <u></u>			

CHANGES  Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	only one n question)
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone massociated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar m</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within</li> </ol>	relocation of the facility or any emissions units or ninor administrative change at the facility? Yes	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersh.  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?	ip:	□No □No □No □No
FRANK DELGADO	8/14/2013	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	8/2014	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

**COMMENTS:** THE CRUSHER WAS OPERATIONAL. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS. A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WILL BE PERFORM BY STAFF FROM KOOGLER AND ASSOCIATES LATER TODAY. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY.