



# Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office  
630-3 Capital Circle NE  
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Charlie Crist  
Governor

Jeff Kottkamp  
Lt. Governor

Michael W. Sole  
Secretary

August 6, 2009

SENT VIA E-MAIL

[frank@residualelevators.com](mailto:frank@residualelevators.com)

Frank Panzarino  
Production Manager  
Residential Elevators  
P.O. Box 1298  
Crawfordville, Florida 32326

Dear Mr. Panzarino:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The Air Program identification number for this facility is **1290099**. The permit **expires on September 8, 2013**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

Based on the facility inspection results, the Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of **In-Compliance** for your facility. Note that your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. You are encouraged to review the enclosed inspection checklist and its comments section. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at 850/488-3704 or [tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us](mailto:tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us).

Sincerely,

*Marlane Castellanos*

Marlane Castellanos  
Branch Manager

MC/tw  
Enclosures

cc: Rick Bradburn, FDEP, Pensacola  
Mary Beth Curle, FDEP  
Erica Mitchell, FDEP



# SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS

## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



**INSPECTION TYPE:** ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)  COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)   
 RE-INSPECTION (FUI)  ARMS COMPLAINT NO:

**AIRS ID#:** 1290099 **DATE:** 7/22/2009 **ARRIVE:** \_\_\_\_\_ **DEPART:** \_\_\_\_\_

**FACILITY NAME:** REI PLANT

**FACILITY LOCATION:** 20 RESIDENTIAL DR  
CRAWFORDVILLE 32327-1983

**OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:** FRANK PANZARINO **PHONE:** (850)926-6022

**CONTACT NAME:** \_\_\_\_\_ **PHONE:** \_\_\_\_\_

**ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:** 9/8/2008 / 9/8/2013  
(effective date) (end date)

**PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS** (check  only one box)

IN COMPLIANCE  MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE  SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

**PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.**  
(check  appropriate box(es))

- Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.)  Yes  No
- Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?-----  Yes  No
- Does the owner/operator retain, and make available for Department inspection, these records for a period of at least five years?-----  Yes  No
- Is the total quantity of VOC's in such coatings 44 lbs/day or less, averaged monthly?-----  Yes  No
- Does the amount of coatings used, include solvents and thinners used in the process including those used for cleanups?-----  Yes  No

**PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.**  
(check  appropriate box(es))

- Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.)-----  Yes  No
- Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.)-----  Yes  No

**PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)**

(check  appropriate box(es))

3. Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:
- a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?  Yes  No
  - b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?-----  Yes  No
  - c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?  Yes  No
  - d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?-----  Yes  No
  - e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:
    - 1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning cycles?-----  Yes  No
    - 2) recycling cleaning solvents?-----  Yes  No
    - 3) using water based cleaners?-----  Yes  No

**PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.**

**A. New or Modified Process Equipment**

1. Since the last inspection has there been
- a) installation of any new process equipment?-----  Yes  No
  - b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?-----  Yes  No
  - c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?-----  Yes  No
  - d) If you answered **YES** to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?-----  Yes  No

Tracy White

7/22/2009

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

*Tracy White*

6-12 months

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:**

I arrived at the site and did not note any objectionable odors.

I met with Frank Panzarino, Production Manager. The spray booth building stack was observed. The booth was in intermittent operation. I did not note any strong odors or excessive emissions in the area.

The spray booth room was observed. No changes were noted. Some new ventilation fans appeared to be installed in a back production room. This room is connected to the hallway that leads to the spray booth room. Some odor was noted from the exhaust fans, but the odor did not appear to be objectionable. The fan draft was directed to the back of the property.

Afterwards the chemical storage sheds were observed. The shed stored the chemicals used in the operation: KEM 400; Sherwood T60 hibild; Sherwood T-70. Most frequently used chemicals were packaged in 5 gallon containers. Containers were sealed.

The facility had mostly paved areas for traffic flow. No excessive fugitive emissions were observed.

The recordkeeping system was observed. Records were maintained and available. Monthly usage in gallons and VOC emissions appeared to be calculated and maintained.

Recommendations:

The VOC report lists "Total lbs VOC per month." In addition to this total, please include the average daily emission rate (lbs/day-averaged monthly).