

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 7775530 DATE: 11/18/2010 ARRIVE: 2:00 PM DEPART: 4:00 pm						
FACILITY NAME: LEB D&C-RELOCATABLE CRUSHER-FT PIERCE						
FACILITY LOCATION: 3214 Ave D. Ft Pierce						
FT PIERCE 34947-1770						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: RANDY BECKFORD Email: Mobile: (772)216-128 CONTACT NAME: LEE BECKFORD PHONE: (772)229-857 Email: Mobile: (772)216-128 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/1/2008 / 9/1/2013 (effective date) (end date) 9/1/2013	35 75					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Mr. Beckford Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still RANDY BECKFORD? If no, who is?:	⊠ Yes □No					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still LEE BECKFORD? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☐No					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	YesNo YesNo					

Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-reloc crusher, diesel IC engine, 250 hp

		(check ☑	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
Is '	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processir		. ,
1.5	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? ———————————————————————————————————	⊠ Yes	No No No
sul	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	☐ Yes	⊠ N-
6	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	⊥ Yes	⊠No
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No

2 -NMMP Plant-reloc crusher, diesel IC engine, 250 hp

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes Yes	⊠No
	[Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
	answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart 000 so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		_
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	☐ Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	□No
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU?	Yes	☐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the}$	_	_
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?		□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		□No

2 –NMMP Plant-reloc crusher, diesel IC engine, 250 hp

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		es 🗵No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	□ Y	es No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	□ Y	es 🗵No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	☐ Y	es No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}	Y	esNo
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	X Y	esNo
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	□ Y	esNo
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	□ Y	esNo
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Y ☐ Y	es No esNo esNo esNo

2 –NMMP Plant-reloc crusher, diesel IC engine, 250 hp

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any		and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform					
initial startup of the EU?			/A	∐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi					
purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particular	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}	1.1 .1 DM 11 1.	60.05 /1 /0.022 /1.02		□ x ₇	
b. Was the EU found to be in complia				∐ Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	n non-vent building ope	enings less than or equal to 1%	opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control em	issions from the EU?			Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta	in and operate:				
a. a device for the continuous measure					
scrubber and the device has been				_	_
instructions?				☐ Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device m	•	nanufacturer to be accurate with	hin +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	ssure.}				
andb. a device for the continuous measure	amont of the serubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet serul	bor and th	0	
device has been calibrated on an					□No
{Note: The monitoring device m				1 cs	
of design scrubbing liquid flow r		nanuracturer to be accurate with	1111 1370		
or design serviceing inquite its will					
24. When was the last VE test conducted					
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s	ubpart OOO, has the E	U been tested within the past 5	years?	Yes	☐No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart				_	_
i. has the EU been tested during				∐ Yes	⊠No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wit	hin the current calenda	r year?		Yes Yes	∐No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own	ver/onerator for this w	nit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
				⊠ Yes	□No
Rate:					
	b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?				
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of				_	_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl				Yes	□No
				—	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>insp</i>				Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		⊠ Yes	∐No
Rate:	EDA Mada a 1 00			V	□ Na
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi				Yes Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity ofd. Did the VE test demonstrate compl				⊠ Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compr	iance with the opacity	mint? (See chart below)		□ 1es	NO
		ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	t 000 EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modif	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		istructed o	n or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?	Yes	□ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ box for each o	only one
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:		
a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
2. Does this facility include:a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception)	ı of	<u></u>
units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) of Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠No
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		No No No No No ?
GENERAL CONDITIONS	/ 1 1 1 7	1
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠No
2. Does the owner or operator:a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- X Yes	□No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?		□No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□No
DELOCATADI E DI ANT		
 RELOCATABLE PLANT 1. The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.) 	(check ✓ box for each of	only one question)
 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 	5)]	□No
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		□No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?	Yes	□No

strative Changes: e there any changes in the name, address, or phone nur associated with a change in ownership or with a physical re operations comprising the facility; or any other similar min 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within	elocation of the facility or any emissions units or nor administrative change at the facility? Yes	only one question) Simple control of the control o
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: B. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?		
Michelle Robinson-Austin Inspector's Name (Please Print)	November 18, 2010 Date of Inspection	
Inspector's Signature	November 21, 2011 Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: The inspection was hosted by Randy Beckford. No violations were observed. The facilitywas found to be in compliance at the time of the inspection.