Sales.	
- 7	
	-]

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 7775529 DATE: 05/15/2009 ARRIVE: 1:15 pm DEPART: 3:42pm
FACILITY NAME: DAVENPORT YARD
FACILITY LOCATION: 4000 SAND MINE RD
DAVENPORT 33897
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MICHAEL MAHONEY PHONE: (561)478-9980
CONTACT NAME: Melinda Hill and Steve Harter PHONE: (863)420-2000
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/17/2008 / 1/17/2013 (effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REOUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
 New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 20 days often beginning energiting?
 a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? □Yes □Yes No
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? Xest Completed? Xest Completed? Xest Completed? Xest Completed?

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check \square appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable ⊠; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and reloc concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊿only one box.</i>)	atable
 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral proplants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is Y</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i>), <i>below.</i>)	ES, Yes No Yes No r Yes No Yes No
 3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis?	Xes DNo

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions?
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? Xes No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No

Wendy D. Simmons

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

05/2012

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

05/15/2009

COMMENTS: Pre-Inspection Review: This facility had Visible Emissions (VE) testing on January 30, 2009. Need to get start-up date for this facility. Inspection Findings: Roger Duggins and Melinda Hill answered checklist questions and Roger escorted me to facility. There is a 1 HP vacuum system dust collector that is used when unit is loading a truck. This small vacuum system does vent to the ambient air and therefore should be tested. According to conversations with Mr. Mike Mahoney of Prestige this equipment was initially registered, in September 2008, after a 300bbl silo from this site was moved to a West Palm Beach (7775366) location. Facility representatives on site during my inspection were not sure of the exact start-up date for this unit and Ms. Hill suggested that I contact Mr. Steve Harter for the exact start-up date. VE Testing conducted January 30, 2009 included only one emission unit instead of two. Emission Units were entered into ARM's to correctly reflect the number of emission units at the facility. See attached ARM's screen shot. The 550 bbl silo at this location has never been located in Ocala or W. Palm Beach. Facility will need to test two emission units during the during the 2010 testing. Photos were taken during my visit at the site and are attached to this inspection report.