

RECIPROCATING INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINES



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INS	SPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS.	1, INS2) 🛛 COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	RY (CI)			
	RE-INSPECTIO	ON (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO	:			
FA	CILITY: Nielsen Media Research		DISTRICT:			
DB	A/Site Name: Nielsen Media		Southwest			
AD	DRESS: 501 Brooker Creek Boule	evard	CONTACT PHONE:			
<u> </u>	Oldsmar, FL		8133663023			
AR	MS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 8/24/2013			
	1030533		Renewal Date:	7/25/2013		
		1030533-001-AG				
			Test Date:			
	IISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: KC, DQKC, DQKC, DQKC), 1 in 20	T				
	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STAT	`	,		
7/	/26/13	☐ ?In Compliance; ☐? Minor Non-Com	npliance;	nt Non-Compliance		
1	D's D'I. Di	PART I: General Review:		71x7 NIO		
1. 2.	Permit File Review Introduction and Entry			Yes No		
۷.	Introduction and Endry		<u>V</u>	Ales Livo		
	Comments: I met with Frank Krejnicky who provided me with fuel records, answered questions, and escorted me through facility.					
3.	Is the Authorized Representative st	ill: Sharon St. Jean?		Yes No		
	Comments:					
1	The e-mail address is: Sharon.St.Jean@nielsen.com					
4.	4. Is the facility contact still: Frank Krejnicky? Comments:			∐Yes ∐No		
The e-mail address is: frank.krejnicky@nielsen.com						
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?						
[62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]						
	PART II: <u>OPERATING/RI</u>	ECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Ru	ile 62-210.310(4)(d), F.A.	C.		
(check? appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)						
1. Is the facility using any other general permits at this location?						
[62-	210.310(4)(b).1b., F.A.C.]	c applicable requirement?		☐Yes ⊠No		
	210.300(3), F.A.C., or Rule 62-4.040, F	ssion unit that is not exempt from permitting pure 7.A.C.?		□Yes ⊠No		
	210.310(2)a., F.A.C.]					
	4. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according?					
	a) The visible emission test resulted in a	an opacity of% for the highest six r	minute average.			
	b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the = 20% opacity standard?[62296.320(b)1., F.A.C.]-					

1. Is the total combined fuel consumption by all reciprocating internal combustion engines at the facility less than or equal to the fuel usages listed below?				
a) 20,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons= % of allowed b) 250,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons= % of allowed c) 1.15 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons= % of allowed d) 40 million standard ft³ natural gas – usage equals cubic feet= % of allowed	Yes			
e) Is the sum of the fuel percentages for all fuels burned by the facility less than or equal to 100 percent of the equivalent prorated amounts*?	□Yes □No			
[62-210.310(4)(b)2.a. and b., F.A.C.]	⊠Yes □No			
2. Is the facility maintaining records to document the fuel <u>consumption</u> , by type, on an annual basis?[62-210.310(4)(b)2.c., F.A.C.]				
3. Are the records available for Department inspection and available for a period of at least five (5) years or to				
the beginning of operation?[62-210.310(4)(b)2.c., F.A.C.]				
4. Is the facility complying with the objectionable odor prohibition of subsection 62-296.320(2), F.A.C.? An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:				
Downwind odor level detected (1-10)0; Wind direction - E Upwind odor level detected0 [296.320(2), F.A.C.]				
PART III: Special Conditions And Procedures				
(check? appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or				
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. Did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the administrative change?				
Permit Effective Period				
3. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year entitlement period?				
4. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?[62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]				
New or Modified Process Equipment / Change in Ownership				
5. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C]	Yes No			
a) Installation of any new process equipment?				
b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	☐Yes ⊠No ☐Yes ⊠No			
c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?d) A change in ownership?	□Yes ⊠No			
e) If any of the answers to $1a - 1$ d are Yes , a new registration form and appropriate fee should have been				
submitted 30 days prior to the change. Was a new registration form properly submitted?	☐Yes ☐No			
<u>Noncompliance Notice:</u> - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]				
6. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any	□Yes ⊠No			
condition or limitation of the air general permit?	Dv. Dv.			
If the answer is <u>Yes</u> , proceed to a) and b). a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department?	Yes No			
b) Did the notification include:	☐Yes ☐No			
1. A description of and cause of noncompliance?				
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the				
noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance?	☐Yes ☐No			
recurrence of the noncompliance.				
Maintenance:	⊠Yes □No			
1. Does the owner or operator maintain the permitted facility, emission unit, or activity in good condition? [62-210.310(3)(g), F.A.C.]				
10				

The facility's DQKAB (2008) engine appears compliant with Subpa info.	art IIII. EPA Certification # CEX-NRCI-08-21 per initial registration
and non-emergency usage appear to be required. Maintenance re "emergency" engine need to be understood by the facility and PC usage up to 50 hours need to be verified. Agency policy review ar facility is still in compliance with Subpart ZZZZ at this time.	difications per § 63.6645. Additional operating limitations and ay 3, 2013. Reports are due for that facility beginning in 2016 for compliance with Subpart ZZZZ. Hour meter records of emergency ecords need to be kept. Requirements to be considered an CAQD. Assurances of specific requirements for non-emergency and source follow-up need to be conducted. It appears that the
I spoke with Mr. Sharon St. Jean on 8/5/13 via phone and emailed	
of time that the facility has been asked to operate off the grid has the agreements in the program were unavailable at this time. The	a demand response program with EnerNOC and TECO. The amount is been approximately 4 hours in the last year. Specific details about a facility has not performed any emissions testing at this time Cummings and they are recommending the installation of a catalyst
Brennan Farrington Inspector's Name	
	~7/2014
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030533 84799.doc