

RECIPROCATING INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINES



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
FACILITY: Nielsen Media Research DISTRICT:					
	A/Site Name: Nielsen Media				
		avard	Southwest CONTACT PHONE:		
ADDRESS: 501 Brooker Creek Boul Oldsmar, FL		evaru			
A D		PERMIT NO:	8133663023	8/24/2013	
ARMS NO:		PERMIT NO:	±	7/25/2013	
1030533		400000000000000000000000000000000000000	Telle war Bate.	72372013	
		1030533-001-AG			
			Test Date:		
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Five diesel reciprocating internal combustion engines. 4 purchased in 2002 (DQKC, DQKC, DQKC, DQKC), 1 in 2008 (DQKAB).					
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATE	US (check ? only one box		
	/19/12	☐ ?In Compliance; ☐? Minor Non-Comp	,	Non-Compliance	
		PART I: General Review:	<u> </u>		
1.	Permit File Review			Yes No	
2. Introduction and Entry				Yes No	
Comments: Met with Frank Krejnicky who provided records and escorted inspector to view units.					
3.	Is the Authorized Representative still: Sharon St. Jean?			Yes No	
	Comments:				
1	The e-mail address is:	1 0			
4.	4. Is the facility contact still: Frank Krejnicky?			Yes No	
The e-mail address is:					
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Yes No [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]					
PART II: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(4)(d), F.A.C. (check? appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
1. Is the facility using any other general permits at this location?					
2. Is the facility subject to any unit-specific applicable requirement?					
3. Does the facility operate any other emission unit that is not exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection 62-210.300(3), F.A.C., or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? [62-210.310(2)a., F.A.C.] ☐Yes ☒No					
4. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according? Yes No					
If the answer to 4. is No. skip a)-b)					
a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.					
	b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the = 20% opacity standard? [62296.320(b)1., F.A.C.]-				

1. Is the total combined fuel consumption by all reciprocating internal combustion engines at the facility less than				
or equal to the fuel usages listed below? a) 20,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons= % of allowed b) 250,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons= % of allowed c) 1.15 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons= % of allowed d) 40 million standard ft³ natural gas – usage equals cubic feet= % of Total*	Yes			
e) Is the sum of the fuel percentages for all fuels burned by the facility less than or equal to 100 percent of the equivalent prorated amounts*?				
[62-210.310(4)(b)2.a. and b., F.A.C.] 2. Is the facility maintaining records to document the fuel consumption, by type, on an annual basis? [
2. Is the facility maintaining records to document the fuel <u>consumption</u> , by type, on an annual basis?[62-210.310(4)(b)2.c., F.A.C.]				
3. Are the records available for Department inspection and available for a period of at least five (5) years or to				
the beginning of operation?[62-210.310(4)(b)2.c., F.A.C.]				
4. Is the facility complying with the objectionable odor prohibition of subsection 62-296.320(2), F.A.C.? An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:				
Downwind odor level detected (1-10)- <u>0</u> ; Wind direction - <u>SW</u> Upwind odor level detected- <u>0</u> [296.320(2), F.A.C.]				
PART III: Special Conditions And Procedures				
(check? appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?				
2. Did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the administrative change?[62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]				
Permit Effective Period Yes No				
3. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year entitlement period?				
4. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?[62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]				
New or Modified Process Equipment / Change in Ownership				
5. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C]	☐Yes ⊠No			
a) Installation of any new process equipment?	☐Yes ⊠No			
b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	□Yes ⊠No			
c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?				
d) A change in ownership?				
e) If any of the answers to $1a - 1$)d are \underline{Yes} , a new registration form and appropriate fee should have been				
submitted 30 days prior to the change. Was a new registration form properly submitted?				
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 6. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any	□Yes ⊠No			
condition or limitation of the air general permit?				
If the answer is <u>Yes</u> , proceed to a) and b).				
a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department?				
b) Did the notification include:	☐Yes ☐No			
1. A description of and cause of noncompliance?				
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the	☐Yes ☐No			
noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance?				
Maintenance:				
1. Does the owner or operator maintain the permitted facility, emission unit, or activity in good condition? [62-210.310(3)(g), F.A.C.]				

PART IV: Comments - List comments that provide detail to any violations or clarifies the inspection			
The facility and generators are very well maintained.			
The fuel and maintenance record are kept in an organized manner.			
There were no instances of non-compliance since last inspection. F	uel use was well under the limitations.		
Mr. Krejnicky provided a fuel analysis data sheet via an email attackince the last inspection.	chment. This was requested due do a change in their fuel supplier		
Post Francisco	0/10/12		
Brennan Farrington Inspector's Name	<u>9/19/12</u> Date of Inspection		
-			
Inspector's Signature	~ 7/ 2013 Approximate Date of Next Inspection		