ICHIPITAL PROTECTION
an V
FLORIDA

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) Image: COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) Image: Complaint No:				
AIRS ID#: 0251287 DATE: 2/18/2009 ARRIVE: 12:45 pm DEPART: 1:15 pm FACILITY NAME: LANDING GEAR SERVICES FACILITY LOCATION: 8750 NW 100th St MEDLEY 33178-1454 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: NED ANGENE PHONE: (305)882-3928 CONTACT NAME: PHONE: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/23/2008 / 8/23/2013 (effective date) (end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑ No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?				
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No 2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No				

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employ	yees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:	
		0

a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	Kres I No
b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:	
1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning	
cycles?	Yes 🗌 No
2) recycling cleaning solvents?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
3) using water based cleaners?	Yes No

3)	using v	vater	hased cl	aners?		Vec	
5)	using v	value	Daseu CI			103	

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
	Yes	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
recent notification form?	Yes	No
d) If you answered \underline{YES} to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	Yes	No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

2/18/2009

Date of Inspection

2/2010

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: THERE IS ONE FLOOR TYPE PAINT SPRAYBOOTH WITH SIXTEEN (16) EXHAUST FILTERS. ALL THE EXHAUST FILTERS WERE IN PLACE AND IN GOOD SHAPE. THE BOOTH IS USED EVERY OTHER DAY. THE PARTS ARE CLEANED PRIOR TO PAINTING WITH MINERAL SPIRITS. THE SPRAY GUNS ARE CLEANED WITH ACETONE. THE HOUSEKEEPING IS GOOD.

THERE ARE NO OBJECTIONABLE ODORS INSIDE OR OUTSIDE THE FACILITY.