

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐					
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
FACILITY: Florida Highway Maintenance, Inc. DISTRICT:					
DBA/Site Name:	Southwest				
ADDRESS: 5775 126th Avenue		CONTACT PHONE:			
Clearwater, FL		727-561-0509			
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 6/12/2013			
1030531 001	1030531-001-AG	Renewal Date: 5/13/2013 Test Date:			
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: U-Cart Model CB3 Concrete Batch Plant with a 200 barrel cement storage silo. Emissions from the silo are controlled by a Belgrade Steel Company, Model BST-100 Baghouse. Weigh hopper emissions are vented back to the silo.					
INSPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	neck only one box)			
7/20/09		iance; Significant Non-Compliance			
	PART I: General Review:				
1. Permit File Review		∑Yes ☐ No			
2. Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No			
Comments: This inspection was performed in order to determine if facility has been operating within applicable regulations. Mr. Nichols (Owner) was present during the facility inspection of the emission unit. He stated their emission unit has not begun operation. I informed Mr. Nichols he needs to perform VE test no later than 30 days after beginning operation.					
3. Is the Authorized Representative still Comments: Mr. Nichols stills the Auth		⊠Yes □ No			
4. Is the facility contact still Gregory A					
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]					
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
Compliance Demonstration 1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No					
2. \(\sum \) Existing Facilities — (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point tested within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?					
Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit? Yes No The last visible emission test resulted in an opacity ofn/a% for the highest six minute average. [62-296.414(1) F.A.C.]					
4. Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A	A.C.] Yes ☐ No			
5. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)					
6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes No					
7. During visible emissions tests of the silo	7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted				

at a rate that is representative of the normal sito loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is anachievable in practice? [02:296.4143], F.A.C.]	PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
to this question is "Fes", then continue on to questions & Al) and & B. b) below. If answer is "No" them skip to question operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3c)), F.A.C.]		at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,	□ Yes □ No	
at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]	9.	to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9	□ Yes □ No	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Is this facility: 1 a	10.	at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.] Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according?	□ Yes ⊠ No	
1. Is this facility: 1) a				
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concreted batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]		(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]	1.		le	
account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records, available for Department inspection, for a period of at least five (5) years? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]	2.	or nonmetallic mineral processing plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.] b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]	□ Yes ⊠ No	
1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below) — Yes No a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?————————————————————————————————————	3.	account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and <i>are</i> these records, available for Department inspection, for a period of at least	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation? ————————————————————————————————————	1.	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)	Yes No	
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions	2.	Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at	Yes No	
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions	PART IV. Unconfined Emissions - 62-296 414(2)			
 Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions				
 Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control 	1.	Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions	⊠ Yes □ No	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to		 Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	⊠ Yes □ No	

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of				
particulate matter from stock piles?				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \overline{\text{Y}} Yes \overline{\text{No}} No				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions (check appropriate bay(cs) if a sheded bay is checked, this would indicate page appropriate bay(cs).				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) Administrative Changes:				
1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative				
not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions				
units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility \square Yes \boxtimes No				
2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No				
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]				
1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? \overline Yes \overline No				
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 prior to permit expiration? Yes No				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership				
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2]				
a) installation of any new process equipment?				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? \square Yes \boxtimes No				
d) Change in ownership				
If the any of the answers to $1a - 1$ d is <u>Yes</u> to any, a new registration form and appropriate fee should				
have been submitted 30 days prior to the change \square Yes \square No				
<u>Noncompliance Notice:</u> - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]				
1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or				
limitation of the air general permit? \square Yes \boxtimes No				
If the answer is \underline{Yes} , proceed to a) and b).				
a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department?				
b) Did the notification include:				
1. A description of and cause of noncompliance?				
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance? Yes No				
Tes 110				
PART VI: Comments				
O&M Plan				
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M				
plan shall include, but is not limited to:				
(1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;				
(2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;				
(3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;				
(4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant;				
(5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:				
a. When maintenance and observations were performed;				
b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and				
c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.				
d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.				
[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]				
Reviewed records for the months ofn/a				
Comments: Note: The emission unit has not begun operation. I informed Mr. Nichols he needs to perform VE test no later than 30 days after beginning operation. Mr. Nichols stated he stills waiting for City of Clearwater to approve his permit, so				

Comments: Note: The emission unit has not begun operation. I informed Mr. Nichols he needs to perform VE test no later than 30 days after beginning operation. Mr. Nichols stated he stills waiting for City of Clearwater to approve his permit, so he could install the silo on concrete pad.

I was not able to perform visible emissions test, because no pneumatic loading of the silo at the time. Mr. Nichols stated he		
have no record onsite for review, because the emission unit	t has not begun operation.	
Exit Interview: I told Mr. Nichols to let me known when the	ey plan to pneumatic loading the silo.	
Mike Ojo Thomas	7/20/2009	
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\103	30531 001 67034.doc	

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06