

$\frac{\text{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\text{PLANTS}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS RE-INSPECTION (F	_	· / 			
AIRS ID#: 7775517 DATE: <u>10/26/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>3:40</u>	DEPART: <u>4:00</u>			
FACILITY NAME: MILITELLO CONTRAC	TING-HOLLY HILL				
FACILITY LOCATION: 1536 NOVA R	^t D				
HOLLY HILL	32117-3005				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: NICHOLAS MILITELLO PHONE: (386)274-2336 Email: Mobile: (386)566-6225 CONTACT NAME: PHONE: Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/1/2008 / 6/1/2013 (effective date) (end date)					
Facility Section					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
Name(s) of facility representative(s): Nichola Name(s) Prior Nation - Facility no longer in operation.	as Militello	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
Brief Notes: <u>Facility no longer in operation.</u> 2. Is the Authorized Representative still NICHO If no, who is?:					
If different, did the facility provide an admini 3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during If yes, was the compliance authority notified					

Emissions Unit Section 1 -NMMP Plant-reloc, no emission control equip't info provided

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		•
4.5	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ty ee, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Yes	No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	☐ Yes	□No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	☐ Yes	☐No
	crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. [A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
_	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
0	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
δ.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

1 -NMMP Plant-reloc, no emission control equip't info provided

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	l	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processis.	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,	_	_
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
7.6			
•	answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
IJ	the unswer to all of the six Questions 3-10 above is No then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
I f	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	_	_
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
I f	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	<u></u> No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes	□No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the	_	
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No

1 -NMMP Plant-reloc, no emission control equip't info provided

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s 🔲No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	s 🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s \[\]No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		s 🔲No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		s
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s \[\]No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	s □No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	s 🗀No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	s

1 -NMMP Plant-reloc, no emission control equip't info provided

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an		and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with en					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo	ormed on each vent contr	col device within 180 days of		¬ •	
initial startup of the EU?			/A [Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through w					
purpose of exhausting from a buildir	ig air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}		-60.05 - /1 (0.022/162	г	7.3 7	□ NT.
b. Was the EU found to be in compl				Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	om non-vent bunding op	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity? [Yes	∐No
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the EU?		[Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator main	tain and operate:				
 a. a device for the continuous measu 	rement of the pressure l	oss of the gas stream through th	e		
scrubber and the device has be	en calibrated on an annu	al basis in accordance with man	ufacturer's		
			_	Yes	□No
	•	manufacturer to be accurate wit	hin +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pr	essure.}				
andb. a device for the continuous measure	irement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet soru	hher and the		
		ance with manufacturer's instru		Yes	□No
		manufacturer to be accurate wit	_		
of design scrubbing liquid flow					
24. When was the last VE test conduct	-			¬	
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60	-	U been tested within the past 5	years? [Yes	∟No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpa		1 0	-	¬ •	□ N7
		endar years?		Yes	∐No
11. has the EU been tested yet w	ithin the current calenda	ar year?	L	Yes	∐No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ov	<i>vner/operator</i> for this u	nit during this site visit?	[Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				Yes	□No
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted accord			[Yes	□No
 c. The VE test resulted in an opacity 			_		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate com	pliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)	L	Yes	∟No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the in	enector for this unit du	ring this site visit?	Г	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>in</i>				Yes	□No
Rate:	occss rate that is represe	charive of the normal rate:	[1 CS	140
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ding to EPA Method 97		Г	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity			L		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate com			Г	Yes	□No
	,	(,	_		
	VF Ongo	rity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart (OO FII	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructe		ed.
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconst	*	· ·
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/22		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
	l	ı	1		

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)		
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur				
(at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	Yes	☐ No		
ir no, where are uncommed emissions occurring.				
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No		
d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	☐ Yes	☐ No		
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □No		
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	,	only one		
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	box for each o	nuestion)		
a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?		No		
b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		□No □No		
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?				
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?				
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□No		
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?				

<u>(</u> 27	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	-	No No No No No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	only one
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	box for each	
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	⊠ Yes	□No
	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	⊠ Yes	□No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	Yes	□No
	The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?)]	⊠No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operat permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose? If YES, what was the purpose? {Note: crushing recycled asphalt pavement (rap) at an asphalt plant is considered routine and so therefore must be authorized in the facility's air construction or operation permit.} b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?		No No No

Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical representation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar micro	relocation of the facility or any emissions units or nor administrative change at the facility? X Yes	only one question)
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes acement? Yes at is substantially different? Yes Yes registration form and the appropriate fee submitted	□No□No□No□No
John Vigliotti Inspector's Name (Please Print)	11/01/2011 Date of Inspection	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: Florida Department of Environmental Protection ("Department") representative John Vigliotti, Engineering Specialists, Conducted a Level II Inspection at the Militello Contracting-Holly Hill Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plant, ("Company") at its facility located at 1536 Nova Road, Holly Hill, Fl., 32117-3005. Mr. Vigliotti found no one at the facility and called Mr. Miltello and explained that the Department is conducting a baseline inspection and providing compliance assistance. Mr. Militello stated that the crusher was no longer at that address and that it had been relocated. The facility has been subject to the following rules: Method 22 V.E. (<7% Opacity). Rule No. EU 40 C.F.R. Part 60 Subpart 000 Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plant. Rule NMMMP-Plant Relocatable with no emiddion control equipment info provided. (Rolling 12- Month fuel consumption). Rule 62-296.414(2) F.A.C. (Unconfined Field Emissions), Based on information forwarded to the Department and field records and file records the facility was found to be in compliance. Crusher no longer at this location. Permit will be forwarded to permitting for evaluation.