	NUMERTALP	OTECTION	Sile	
Mag	-	A	Seale	
E F	ORIE	A		
1				

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS RE-INSPECTION		COMPLAINT/D		(CI)		
AIRS ID#: 7775516 DATE: <u>1/29/13</u>		ARRIVE: <u>1345</u>		DEPART: <u>151(</u>)	
FACILITY NAME: STEEL CITY PRO	DUCTS & SERV	VICES, INC.				
FACILITY LOCATION: 2400 NV	W 16th St					
РОМРА	NO BEACH 3	3069-1541				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENT Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/7/2008 (effective date	/ 6/7/2013	3PH REUL	PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(954)957-9490		
Facility Section						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANC						
IN COMPLIANCE IMIN	OR Non-COMP	LIANCE SIC	BNIFICANT	Non-COMPLIAN	CE	
l						
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY</u>	MEETING					only one
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):				juestion)		
Brief Notes:						
 Is the Authorized Representative still J If no, who is?: 	OSEPH REUL?			🖾	Yes	No
If different, did the facility provide an	administrative up	odate within 30 days?	,		Yes	🖾No

3. Is the facility contact still ? ----- ☐ Yes ☐..No If no, who is?: _____
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? ----- ☐ Yes Ø..No If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance? ----- ☐ Yes Ø..No

Emissions Unit Section Subject to 5% Opacity Limit

		1
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Date of last inspection:		question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	_	_
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		∐ No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	- Yes	No No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
d. Date of last VE test:		
 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour 		□ No □ No
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? 	- Yes	□ No □ No
 j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	Yes	🗌 No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	,	•
	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		∐ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo c		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \Box Yes \Box No \Box N/A – silo not load		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		∐ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1 - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1 - g.3$ and go to	\square Yes	🗌 No
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching r duration?	\Box Yes	□ No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? min		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector	llector	
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration		🗌 No
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minu		
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?		∐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	- 🗌 Yes	∐ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	□ . .	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	- 🗌 Yes	∐ No
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.		

Emissions Unit Section <u>Subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

		1
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>		
1. Date of last inspection:		
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?		
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	_	∐ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions $< 20\%$ opacity? \square N/A [Yes	∐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Uncerfined Emissions from Truck Looding and Unloading Honneys Storage and		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Boods, Parking Areas, Stock Pilos, and Vards		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	1	
emissions by:	•	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the fol	llowing:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes	🗌 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	_	_
control emissions?[Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	□ Vaa	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	res	∐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes	□ No
	105	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	□ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	D No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	No No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 o	nly one
	box for each q	2
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 		🗌 No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		🗌 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yr+MM gal prop275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propa		,
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consur for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	~
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		
devices?	🗋 Yes	∐ No
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		🗌 No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	_	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	1	(check 🗹) box for each d		
1. Is the facility: stationary ; relocatable ; or consisting of both s concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (stationary and relocatable		fuestion)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		Yes	🗌 No	
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or 1 e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day		Yes	🗌 No	
 b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica 	ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6) ess days following a relocation?	Yes	□ No	
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	business days prior to relocation?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No	
 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pur If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it 	in that separate permit: pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?		🗌 No	
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		Yes Yes	□ No □ No	
CHANGES		(check 🗹) box for each d		
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 				
 Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?			□ No □ No □ No □ No	
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted 30 days prior to the change? Yes No				
Art Pennetta	1/29/13			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	TBD			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	ection		
COMMENTS: This facility is not correctly permitted, this facility is NOT a mobil concrete batch plant. This facility makes parking stops.				