

# **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	SPECTION TYPE:	CTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)					
		RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS C	OMPLAINT NO:			
ΑI	<b>RS ID#:</b> 7775516 <b>DA</b> 7	ΓΕ: <u>8/24/12</u>	ARRIVE:	<u>1335</u>	DEPART: <u>1510</u>		
FA	FACILITY NAME: STEEL CITY PRODUCTS & SERVICES, INC.						
FA	CILITY LOCATION	2400 NW 16th St					
		POMPANO BEACH	Н 33069-1541				
CO	WNER/AUTHORIZEI Email: DNTACT NAME: Email: VTITLEMENT PERIC	<b>DREPRESENTATIVE: DD:</b> 6/7/2008 / 6/7/201 (effective date) (end date)	13	PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(954)957-9490		
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
	Name(s) of facility repr Brief Notes:	resentative(s):			(check ✓ box for each	1 only one ch question)	
2.	Is the Authorized Representation of the Authorized Representation	esentative still JOSEPH RE	UL?		X Yes	□No	
3.	If different, did the facilist the facility contact st If no, who is?:	ility provide an administrati till ?	ve update within 3	0 days?	Yes Yes	⊠No □No	
4.		ting VE test(s) during today nce authority notified at lea				⊠No ⊠No	

## Emissions Unit Section Subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION  1. Date of last inspection:  2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:  a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?  b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?  c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	only one question)  No No No No No No No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	□ No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	☐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes	□ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		pection.  No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?		☐ No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ ) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	h	□ No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching ra	ite and	
duration?3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minu	ites	☐ No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector.		
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut	? Yes	☐ No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	☐ No
<ul><li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li><li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.</li></ul>	Yes	☐ No
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	Yes	□ No

#### Emissions Unit Section Subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION			
1. Date of last inspection:  2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.			
Unconfined Emissions from Tweek Leading and Unloading Hanners Storage and			
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>			
Conveying Editorior Stop 2 control 2			
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:</li> </ol>			
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:			
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes	☐ No		
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	□ Na		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	∐ No		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne			
particulate matter? Yes	☐ No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes	☐ No		
2. If reasonable precautions not being taken:			
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	☐ No		
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ No		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	☐ No		
c. what caused the problem(s) (ii known)?			

## **Facility Section (continued)**

CC	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		
<u></u>	ATTRIBUTION OF GENERAL TERRIT EDIGIDIETT	(check 🗹	•
		box for each	question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:		
	a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No
	b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?		☐ No
	c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	☐ No
2.	Does this facility include:		
	a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception	of	
	units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or		
	Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	- L Yes	∐ No
	If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
	h. Any amissions units or activities authorized by another air general normit where such other air general	rol	
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		☐ No
	If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		□ No
	if TE3, what other general permit units of activities:		
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:		
	a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	- Yes	☐ No
	b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?		☐ No
	c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?	- Yes	☐ No
	d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?		☐ No
	e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes	☐ No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propa		)?
	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propan	ie/yr	
1	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum	ntion	
4.	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		□ No
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 3 years?	- <u> </u>	□ No
_			
<u>GI</u>	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	
1	Here the assument of appropriate allowed the significant of any signallytical control device, an allowed		
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		
	devices?	Yes	☐ No
2	Does the owner or operator:	103	□ 140
l	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- Yes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- Yes	☐ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access	s	_
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		
	permit and Department rules?	Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:  1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable □; or consisting of be concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants.	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement a soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below	Yes No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No.</li> </ul>	day prior to changing location? Yes No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five by c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Not	usiness days following a relocation? Yes No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separ and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine If YES, what was the purpose?  b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how lor co-located at the permitted facility?	unit in that separate permit:  purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?  Yes No  ng it was  Yes No
CHANGES  Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocution operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 documentary New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	ation of the facility or any emissions units or dministrative change at the facility? Yes No lays of the change? Yes No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacem c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is s d. A change in ownership?	substantially different? Yes No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new regist 30 days prior to the change?	
Art Pennetta	8/24/12
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	TBD
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection