

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO: _	
AIRS ID#: 1030529	DATE: <u>8/3/09</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:00 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:00 PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: JR	S Ventures Co.		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 1315 Missouri Avenue		
	Clearwater, FL		
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	CIAL: Jeffrey Seilback	PHONE: 7	727-865-3748
CONTACT NAME: Je	effrey Seilback?	PHONE: 7	727-865-3748
REMITTANCE YEAR:	: <u>N/A</u> ENTITLE	EMENT PERIOD: 5/15/08 (effective date)	/ 5/15/2013 (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION	N COMPLIANCE STATUS (che	ck ☑ only one box)	
IN COMPLIAN	NCE MINOR Non-COMPI	LIANCE SIGNIFICANT	Non-COMPLIANCE

PART II: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

1.	Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units		
	which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or		
	have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.)	Yes	🛛 No

2.	Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings		
	and the quantity of the coatings used?	⊠Yes	No No

3.	Does the owner/operator retain, and make available for Department inspection, these records for a period		
	of at least five years?	⊠Yes	Jo
4.	Is the total quantity of VOC's in such coatings 44 lbs/day or less, averaged monthly?	⊠Yes	Jo

	1 2	U	•	, 0	•				
5.	Does the amount of coatings used	, include solvents and	d thinners	used in	the process ind	cluding those used			
	for cleanups?						⊠Yes	🗌 No	

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check $\mathbf{\overline{\square}}$ appropriate box(es))

1.	Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT)
2.	emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) Yes No Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to
	an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.)

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(cl	hec	k	\checkmark	appropriate	box((es)))	
---	----	-----	---	--------------	-------------	------	------	----	--

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a)	maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	⊠Yes 🗋 No
b)	monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
c)	considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
d)	implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
e)	implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:	
	1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning	
	cycles?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	2) recycling cleaning solvents?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	3) using water based cleaners?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	- Yes	🛛 No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	- Yes	🛛 No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
recent notification form?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP		
local program office?	Yes	No

Mike Ojo Thomas

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Inspector's Signature

Date of Inspection

8/3/2009

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: See the attached Pinellas County inspection report form for additional information

Surface Coating Operations – General Permits

	GII			D ID 00.40	
FA	FACILITY: JRS Ventures Co. Per_ID: 2862			DISTRICT:	
			MAACO Collision Repai	r and Auto Painting	Southwest
AD	DR	ESS	: 1315 Missouri Avenue		CONTACT: Jeffrey Seilbach
			Clearwater, FL		Phone No: 727-865-3748
AR	MS	No.	:	PERMIT NO.:	EXPIRATION DATE:
1	030)529		1030529-001-AG	5/15/2013
EM	1ISS	5 10 1	N UNIT DESCRIPTION: Au	tomobile Repair and Spray painting	
IN	SPE	CTI	ON DATE:	ARMS INSPECTION TYPE:	COMPLIANCE STATUS:
	3/3/0			⊠ INS2 orINS	\square IN \square MNC \square SNC
	Typ	be of	Inspection: Initial	Re-inspection Comple	aint Drive-by Quarterly
				A. General Review:	
1.	_		nit File Review		Yes No
2.]	Intro	duction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No
				performed in order to determine if faci r) was present during the facility inspe	lity has been operating within applicable
		0			
3.			e Authorized Representative sti		Yes D No
4.	_		e facility contact still: Jeffrey S	Ils the Authorized Representative eilbach?	Xyes 🗌 No
т.			ments: Jeffrey Seilbach is sti		
	Μ				
I N	N C	N C		B. Specific Conditions	
			A facility comprising one (1)	=	be eligible to use this air general permit provided
			it meets the general eligibility	criteria of paragraph 62-210.310(2)(a),	F.A.C., and the following specific criteria.
			a. The facility shall use no oth	her air general permit. bject to any unit-specific applicable requi	iromont
			[62-210.310(4)(c)1., F.A.C.]	ject to any unit-specific applicable requi	nement.
			<i>Comments:</i> The facility has permitting. The spray boot		ther emission units which would require
					shall not exceed forty-four (44) pounds per day, thinners used in the process or for cleanup.
			[62-210.310(4)(c)2.a., F.A.C.]]	
	<i>Comments:</i> Reviewed records for the months of $1/19/09$ through $7/20/09$. The highest reported monthly daily average was 13.09258 pounds. Supporting documentation was available (\boxtimes Yes or \square No).				
	The MSD sheets records were reviewed and were compared to the months of January19-30, 2009. This				
	would be in accord with above requirements which allows 44 Pounds per day, average monthly. The				
			facility was in compliance	with the Volatile organic compound	ls contents and the quantity of coatings ts of the above. See attached record logs.
\square			The owner or operator shall m	naintain records to document the VOC co	ontent and the quantity of coatings used. The
			owner or operator shall retain [62-210.310(4)(c)2.b., F.A.C.		inspection, for a period of at least five (5) years.
			Comments: The records were	available back to 7/20/2009. 12 month	consecutive totals were Nwere not
			available. A partial copy of the	he records are attached as an example o	
			Note: Facility commences op	peration in 1/20/09.	

Surface Coating Operations – General Permits

	M	S	
I N	N C	N C	C. Selected General Conditions and Procedures
			Administrative Corrections. Within thirty (30) days of any minor changes requiring corrections to information contained in the registration form, the owner or operator shall notify the Department in writing. Such changes shall include:
			1. Any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]
			Comments: There have not been any changes in facility information or ownership.
			Equipment Changes . The owner or operator shall maintain records of all equipment changes. In the case of installation of new process or air pollution control equipment, alteration of existing process or control equipment without replacement of existing process or control equipment with equipment substantially different in terms of capacity, method of operation, material processed, or intended use than that noted on the most recent registration form, the owner or operator shall submit a new and complete air general permit registration form for the facility with the appropriate fee pursuant to Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C. to the Department, provided, however, that any change that would constitute a new major stationary source, major modification, or modification that would be a major modification but for the provisions of paragraph 62-212.400(2)(a), F.A.C., shall require authorization by air construction permit. [62-210.310(2)(e), F.A.C.]
			Comments: The facility has not made any equipment changes.
			If, for any reason, the owner or operator of any facility operating under an air general permit does not comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit, the owner or operator shall immediately provide the Department with the following information: 1. A description of and cause of noncompliance; and 2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance. [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]
			<i>Comments:</i> The facility did not have any non compliance issues to notify Environmental Management Department of since beginning operations.
\boxtimes			Valid Permit Use of an air general permit is not transferable and does not follow a change in ownership of the facility. Prior to any sale, other change of ownership, or permanent shutdown of the facility, the owner or operator is encouraged to notify the Department of the pending action. The new owner or operator who intends to continue using the air general permit for the facility shall re-register with the Department pursuant to subparagraph 62-210.310(2)(b)2., F.A.C. [62-210.310(3)(b), F.A.C.
			Comments: The facility emission unit permit is valid through 5/13/2013.
\boxtimes			 Re-registration. Registration of a facility which is currently authorized to operate under the terms and conditions of an air general permit is classified as a re-registration. An owner or operator shall re-register the facility in the following cases: a. Impending expiration of the term for air general permit use; b. Change of ownership of all or part of the facility; c. Proposed new construction, modification, or other equipment change that requires registration pursuant to paragraph 62-210.310(2)(e), F.A.C.; and d. Any other change not considered an administrative correction under paragraph 62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C. 62-210.310(2)(b)2.
			<i>Comments:</i> The facility would be entitled for re-registration after 5/13/2013.
			The owner or operator's use of an air general permit is limited to five (5) years. Prior to the end of the five (5) year term, the owner or operator who intends to continue using the air general permit for the facility shall re-register with the Department pursuant to subparagraph 62-210.310(2)(b)2., F.A.C. To avoid lapse of authority to operate, the owner or operator must submit the proper registration form and processing fee at least thirty (30) days prior to expiration of the facility's existing air general permit. The air general permit re-registration form shall contain all current information regarding the facility. [General Conditions - 62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]

Surface Coating Operations – General Permits

		a	
_	Μ		
Ι	Ν	Ν	
Ν	С	C	C. Selected General Conditions and Procedures
			<i>Comments:</i> The permit expires on 5/15/2013. A new notification form is required to be submitted no later than 3/16/2013.
D. Other:			
Pollution Prevention Activities			
➢ P2 Handouts Provided:			
➤ Have any emissions reductions occurred Yes / □ No			
Chemical Substitution; Equipment Changes; Process Changes			
Chemical/Material Reuse; On-site Recycling; Other:			
Comments: I gave facility copies of the pollution prevention brochure.			
Closing Conference			
I told Mr. Seilbach the emission unit is deemed to be in compliance.			
Other Comments: During inspection on 8/3/09 the facility failed to maintain records to document the VOC content of the			
coatings and the quantity usage onsite. The facility was given three weeks to submit the chemical usage to AQ Division			
office. The facility submitted the VOC content and the quantity of coatings usage on 9/4/2009.			
<u>Note:</u> I gave Mr. Seilbach a verbal warning for failure to maintained records onsite. I told him if he fails to keep chemical usages record onsite in the future could result in a Notice of violation with possible penalty.			
Inspector(s): Mike Ojo Thomas, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division			
	-		
	nat		
CONTACT LOG?Yes_, ACCESS? Yes_, ARMs? Yes_			
H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\0529 001 3Aug09.doc			