

# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Northwest District 160 W Government St., Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR

JENNIFER CARROLL LT. GOVERNOR

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR. SECRETARY

January 22, 2013

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested americanconcretesupply@gmail.com

Mr. C. R. Campbell, Sr. American Concrete & Supply 2866 North Highway 95 A Molino, Florida 32533

Dear Mr. Campbell:

On December 7, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 7775511. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report. Please note that authority to operate this facility expires on May 11, 2013. To avoid lapse of authority to operate, an owner or operator intending to continue to use an air general permit must submit the proper registration form and processing fee at least 30 days prior to expiration of the facility's existing air general permit. You can obtain air general permit forms and information at the following web address: <a href="http://www.dep.state.fl.us/air/emission/air\_gp.htm">http://www.dep.state.fl.us/air/emission/air\_gp.htm</a>.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Chris Stoll at 850.595.0654 or e-mail <a href="mailto:christopher.stoll@dep.state.fl.us">christopher.stoll@dep.state.fl.us</a>.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

( more melton

CM/cs/c

Enclosure



## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)  RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	· / —				
AIRS ID#: 7775511 DATE: <u>12/7/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:40 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:10 AM</u>				
FACILITY NAME: AMERICAN CONCRETE SUPPLY-CANTONMENT PLAN						
FACILITY LOCATION: 2866 HWY 95A						
CANTONMENT	32533					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: C CAMPBELL Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/11/2008 / 5/11/ (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: 2013	: (850)587-2224 : (850)587-2224				
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	G	(check <b>☑</b> only one				
Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Mike McEl</u> Brief Notes:		box for each question)				
Is the Authorized Representative still C CAMPBE If no, who is?:	ELL?					
If different, did the facility provide an administrat  3. Is the facility contact still C CAMPBELL? If no, who is?:	ive update within 30 days?					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda. If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least	y's inspection?ast 15 days in advance?	Yes				

## Emissions Unit Section 2 – CCB Plant-silo w/silo top filter subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1. 2.	Date of last inspection: 11/9/2011 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:  a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?  b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?  c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	box for each of the box f	only one question)  No
	If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PA	RT II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠ No
	<ul> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>		☐ No
	<ul> <li>d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contract that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li></ul>	ded during inspand Yes  Yes  Yes  H. Yes te and Yes tes it is separate ector	oection.  No  No  No  No
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?  2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute  Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?  a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?  b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.  c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?  d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	es.  Yes  Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>

### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		☐ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	- ⊠ Yes - ⊠ Yes - ⊠ Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
	$\frac{\text{gal diesel/yr} +}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} + \frac{\text{gal gasoline/yr} +}{23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM gal propane/yr}}{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}} \le 1.00?$		
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption - Yes	☐ No
<u>G</u>	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	✓ Vos	□ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	X Yes	□ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable ☑; or consisting of both so concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If</i>	ationary and relocatable	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? ( <i>If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.</i> ) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L		
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day pb. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification	orior to changing location? Yes No nation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose;  If YES, what was the purpose?	in that separate permit: oose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?  Yes No	
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes No	
CHANGES  Administrative Changes:	(check $\square$ only one box for each question)	
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No  New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
Since the last registration form submittal has there been     a. Installation of any new process equipment?     b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?     c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substa	Yes No No natially different? Yes No	
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?		
Chris Stoll	12/7/2012	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	12/7/2013	

**COMMENTS:** On December 7, 2012, a compliance inspection was conducted at the American Concrete Supply, located in Escambia County. The relocatable silo currently is not in operation. Facility personnel indicated that the silo has not been used in the past 12 months. There are currently no plans to operate the silo at the current location. If the permittee decides to remove the silo from the site, the owner or operator shall submit a Facility Relocation Notification Form to the Department at least five business days prior to relocation.

Unanswered questions on the above checklist were not applicable at the time of the inspection.