

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)					
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 7775511 DATE: <u>11/9/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>2:45 PM</u> DEPAR	Г: <u>3:09 РМ</u>				
FACILITY NAME: AMERICAN CONCRETE SUPPLY-CANTONMENT PLAN					
FACILITY LOCATION: 2866 HWY 95A					
CANTONMENT 32533					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: C CAMPBELL PHONE: (850)587-2 Email: Mobile:	2224				
CONTACT NAME: C CAMPBELL PHONE: (850)587-2 Email: Mobile:	2224				
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/11/2008 / 5/11/2013 (effective date) (end date)					
Facility Section					
DAREL INCRECTION COMPLIANCE CHARTIC (1 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
DADT II. ONGITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): MIke McElroy					
Brief Notes:					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still C CAMPBELL?	- ⊠ Yes □No				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still C CAMPBELL?					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?					

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-silo w/silo top filter subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Data of last inspection: 4/12/2011	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: 4/12/2011 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing 	Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
operation?	Yes	☐ No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>26.15</u> tons/hour		☐ No ☐ No
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	Yes Yes	□ No □ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?		☐ No
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during insp	pection. No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) - g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) - g.3) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes and	□ No
duration? 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minute. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	ıtes	☐ No
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut	lector? Yes	☐ No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	☐ Yes	⊠ No □ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour. 	Yes	☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

	<u> </u>		
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		☐ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared	<u>ane/yr</u> < 1.00 e/yr	0?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ✓ only one box for each question)			
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?		No No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	_	□ No
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	X Yes	□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one		
1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable □; or consisting of both concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.		
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificent 	y prior to changing location? Yes No	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busin c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific	ness days following a relocation? Yes No	
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least fiv	e business days prior to relocation? Yes No	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pu If YES, what was the purpose?		
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long is co-located at the permitted facility?		
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? -	Yes No	
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check ☑ only one	
Administrative Changes:	box for each question)	
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm	inistrative change at the facility? Yes No	
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	s of the change?	
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
a. Installation of any new process equipment?		
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement		
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subd. A change in ownership?		
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registrat	ion form and the appropriate fee submitted	
30 days prior to the change?	Yes	
Chris Stoll	11/9/2011	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection 11/2012	

COMMENTS: A routine Air General Permit compliance inspection was conducted on November 9, 2011. The concrete batch plant has not been used in the past twelve months. Facility operator, Mike McElroy indicated that the plant may be removed from the site. If the pant is removed from the site a Facility Relocation Form (DEP No. 62-210-900(6) must be submitted to the Department at least five days prior to relocation. Visible emissions testing must be conducted within 30 days of restarting the plant.