

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: A	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	☐ COMPLAINT/D	OISCOVERY (CI)		
F	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPL	AINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0550056 DATI	E: <u>5/3/10</u>	ARRIVE: 1:02 p.	<u>.m.</u>	DEPART: 2:00 p.r	<u>n.</u>	
FACILITY NAME: BAK	ER PRECAST CONCRE	TE SPECIALTIES-SEB				
FACILITY LOCATION:	7740 S GEORGE B	BLVD				
	SEBRING 33875-	-5835				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED	REPRESENTATIVE:	ROBERT BAKER	PHONE: (8	63)385-0917		
CONTACT NAME:			PHONE:			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/28/2008 / 4/28/2013						
	(effective date) (end da	ite)				
PART I: INSPECTION C	COMPLIANCE STATUS	S (check 🗹 only one box	·)			
IN COMPLIANCE				on-COMPLIANCE		
M I COM EM I (CI						
PART II: TESTING/REC (check ☑ appropriate						
(check ☑ appropriate						
(check ☑ appropriate Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emission	box(es)) ons tests conducted during	REMENTS – Rule 62-29	D6.414, F.A.C. DEPA Method	9 (Ref.: Chapter		
(check ☑ appropriate Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)?	box(es)) ons tests conducted during	REMENTS – Rule 62-29	96.414, F.A.C. DEPA Method	9 (Ref.: Chapter	⊠Yes □ No	
(check ☑ appropriate Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the ext	ons tests conducted during silos, weigh hoppers (bate ent necessary to limit visi	g this site visit according to chers), and other enclosed ble emissions to 5 percent	DEPA Method storage and coopacity?	9 (Ref.: Chapter nveying equipment	 ⊠Yes □ No	
(check ☑ appropriate Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the ext 3. During visible emissions	ons tests conducted during silos, weigh hoppers (bate ent necessary to limit visi sions tests of the silo dust	g this site visit according to the chers), and other enclosed ble emissions to 5 percent collector exhaust points w	DEPA Method storage and co- opacity? vas the loading	9 (Ref.: Chapter nveying equipment of the silo conducted	— — ⊠Yes ∏ No I	
(check ☑ appropriate Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the ext 3. During visible emission at a rate that is represented to the ext are that is represented by the controlled to the ext.	ons tests conducted during silos, weigh hoppers (bate ent necessary to limit visi sions tests of the silo dust esentative of the normal si nachievable in practice?	g this site visit according to chers), and other enclosed ble emissions to 5 percent collector exhaust points w lo loading rate, or at least	DEPA Method storage and co- opacity? vas the loading at the minimun	9 (Ref.: Chapter nveying equipment of the silo conducted 125 tons per hour ra	 ⊠Yes □ No i te,	
(check ☑ appropriate Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the ext 3. During visible emission at a rate that is represented unless such rate is unlessed to the ext. 4. Are emissions from	ons tests conducted during ons tests conducted during silos, weigh hoppers (bate ent necessary to limit visi sions tests of the silo dust esentative of the normal si nachievable in practice? the weigh hopper (batche	g this site visit according to the chers), and other enclosed ble emissions to 5 percent collector exhaust points who loading rate, or at least er) operation controlled by	DEPA Method storage and co- opacity? vas the loading at the minimun the silo dust co-	9 (Ref.: Chapter nveying equipment of the silo conducted 25 tons per hour ra ollector? (If answer	 ⊠Yes □ No i te,	
(check ☑ appropriate Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the ext 3. During visible emission at a rate that is represented that is represented in the ext 4. Are emissions from to this question is "Visible 4.a" and 4.b) are	ons tests conducted during silos, weigh hoppers (bate ent necessary to limit visitions tests of the silo dust esentative of the normal silonachievable in practice?the weigh hopper (batche Yes", then continue on to ad continue on to question	g this site visit according to the chers), and other enclosed ble emissions to 5 percent collector exhaust points who loading rate, or at least each operation controlled by questions 4.a) and 4.b) beleast.	DEPA Method storage and co- opacity? vas the loading at the minimun the silo dust co- ow. If answer i	9 (Ref.: Chapter nveying equipment of the silo conducted 25 tons per hour ra ollector? (If answer s "No" then	□ Yes □ No I te, □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No	
(check ☑ appropriate Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the ext 3. During visible emission at a rate that is represented that is represented to the ext 4. Are emissions from to this question is "You skip 4.a) and 4.b) are a) Was the batching	ons tests conducted during constant conducted during constant conducted during constant const	g this site visit according to the chers), and other enclosed ble emissions to 5 percent collector exhaust points who loading rate, or at least properties of the controlled by questions 4.a) and 4.b) belonger the collector of the controlled by questions 4.a) and 4.b) belonger the controlled by questions 4.a) and 4.b) and 4	DEPA Method storage and co- opacity? vas the loading at the minimum the silo dust co- ow. If answer intest?	9 (Ref.: Chapter nveying equipment of the silo conducted 1 25 tons per hour ra llector? (If answer s "No" then	□Yes □No I te, □Yes □No	
(check ☑ appropriate Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the ext 3. During visible emission at a rate that is represented unless such rate is usual. Are emissions from to this question is "Skip 4.a) and 4.b) are a) Was the batching b) During the visible duration?	ons tests conducted during silos, weigh hoppers (bate ent necessary to limit visi sions tests of the silo dust esentative of the normal si nachievable in practice? the weigh hopper (batche Yes", then continue on to ad continue on to question g operation in operation du e emissions test, was the	g this site visit according to chers), and other enclosed ble emissions to 5 percent collector exhaust points we lo loading rate, or at least er) operation controlled by questions 4.a) and 4.b) bel 5.)	DEPA Method storage and coopacity? vas the loading at the minimum the silo dust coow. If answer intest? e of the normal	9 (Ref.: Chapter nveying equipment of the silo conducted 1 25 tons per hour ra ollector? (If answer s "No" then	Yes No No No No No No No N	
(check ☑ appropriate Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the ext 3. During visible emission at a rate that is represented in the emission of the emissions from to this question is "You skip 4.a) and 4.b) are a) Was the batching b) During the visible duration? 5. If emissions from the from the silo dust controlled to the emissions from the silo dust controlled to the extra the emissions from the emission from	ons tests conducted during silos, weigh hoppers (bate ent necessary to limit visi sions tests of the silo dust esentative of the normal si nachievable in practice? the weigh hopper (batche Yes", then continue on to de d continue on to question g operation in operation de e emissions test, was the eweigh hopper (batcher) ollector, are the visible em	g this site visit according to chers), and other enclosed ble emissions to 5 percent collector exhaust points w lo loading rate, or at least or) operation controlled by questions 4.a) and 4.b) bel 5.)	DEPA Method storage and co- opacity? vas the loading at the minimum the silo dust co- ow. If answer intest? e of the normal	9 (Ref.: Chapter nveying equipment of the silo conducted 1 25 tons per hour ra ollector? (If answer s "No" then l batching rate and or, which is separate r) dust collector	Yes	
(check ☑ appropriate Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the ext 3. During visible emission at a rate that is represented in the emission of the emissions from to this question is "You skip 4.a) and 4.b) are a) Was the batching b) During the visible duration? 5. If emissions from the from the silo dust controlled to the emissions from the silo dust controlled to the extra the emissions from the emission from	ons tests conducted during silos, weigh hoppers (bate ent necessary to limit visi sions tests of the silo dust esentative of the normal si nachievable in practice? the weigh hopper (batche Yes", then continue on to de d continue on to question g operation in operation de e emissions test, was the eweigh hopper (batcher) ollector, are the visible em	g this site visit according to chers), and other enclosed ble emissions to 5 percent collector exhaust points w lo loading rate, or at least or) operation controlled by questions 4.a) and 4.b) bel 5.)	DEPA Method storage and co- opacity? vas the loading at the minimum the silo dust co- ow. If answer intest? e of the normal	9 (Ref.: Chapter nveying equipment of the silo conducted 1 25 tons per hour ra ollector? (If answer s "No" then l batching rate and or, which is separate r) dust collector	Yes	

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)						
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)						
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)						
annual compnance demonstration? (Rule 02-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	∐ les ∐ No					
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?	□Yes □ No					
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	n					
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?						
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?						
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
	le 🗌					
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————						
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	sing □Yes □ No □Yes □ No					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	sing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No					
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>), <i>below.</i>)————————————————————————————————————	Sing Yes No Yes No					

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)						
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
T						
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)						
Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant missions have	t take reasonable precautions to control unconfined					
emissions by:	1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	II				
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, a						
	s, stock piles, and yards?					
2) application of water or environmentally safe of	ast-suppressant chemicals when necessary to contro					
	ther paved areas under control of the owner/operato					
		or to ☐Yes ⊠ No				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of		☐ Yes ☐ No				
	wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	$\bowtie_{\mathbf{v}_{20}} \sqcap_{\mathbf{N}_{0}}$				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to miti						
U) use of spray var, churc, or partial encrosure to find	gate emissions at the drop point to the track					
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	S – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.					
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	-					
Since the last inspection has there been						
a) installation of any new process equipment?		□Yes □ No				
b) alterations to existing process equipment withou		□Yes □ No				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially	different than that noted on the most					
recent notification form?	Yes No					
d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete						
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4						
local program office?	□Yes □ No					
Sherrill Culliver	5/3/10					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
-						
	5/3/11					
		<u> </u>				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection					
COMMENTS:						