

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	COMPLAINT/DISCOV ARMS COMPLAINT N	, , <u> </u>
 E:	ARRIVE: <u>11:40</u>	DEPART: <u>12:40</u>
ER PRECAST CONCRETE	SPECIALTIES-SEB	
		NE: (863)385-0917
	РНО	
·		
	-	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE
	<u>EMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414,	F.A.C.
silos, weigh hoppers (batcher ent necessary to limit visible sions tests of the silo dust col esentative of the normal silo le nachievable in practice? the weigh hopper (batcher) of Yes", then continue on to que ad continue on to question 5.) g operation in operation during e emissions test, was the batch	ers), and other enclosed storage emissions to 5 percent opacity llector exhaust points was the loading rate, or at least at the respective controlled by the silo estions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If a controlled emissions test?	e and conveying equipment y?
	E: ER-INSPECTION (FUI) E: ER PRECAST CONCRETE 7740 S GEORGE BLV SEBRING 33875-58 REPRESENTATIVE: RO The Lindsay D: 4/28/2008 / 4/28/201 (effective date) (end date) COMPLIANCE STATUS (end date) COMPLIANCE STATUS (end date) ORDKEEPING REQUIRED box(es)) The properties of the silo dust collected the silons tests of the silon dust collected the silons tests of the silon dust collected the silons tests of the silons tes	E: ARRIVE: 11:40 ER PRECAST CONCRETE SPECIALTIES-SEB 7740 S GEORGE BLVD SEBRING 33875-5835 REPRESENTATIVE: ROBERT BAKER PHO ne Lindsay PHO D: 4/28/2008 / 4/28/2013 (effective date) (end date) COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) E ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFIC

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)			
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No		
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes \(\subseteq \text{No} \)			
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?			
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
	le 🗌		
 (check appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	ing ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No		

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)			
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable	le precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by:			
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which			
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \bigsymbol{\text{\text{Yes}}} \bigsymbol{\text{\text{D}}} \text{No}			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant emissions?			
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas			
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airb			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to			
particulate matter from stock piles?			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions	at the drop point to the truck? \(\sum \) Yes \(\sum \) No		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - Rule 62-210	0.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.		
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	(1)(u) 11, = 1-1-1		
1. Since the last inspection has there been			
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes No		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?-	Yes No		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most			
recent notification form?			
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete			
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or			
local program office?			
Program outdo.			
Raquel M. Arias	05/06/2009		
Raquel W. Arias	03/00/2007		
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Da	ate of Inspection		
	o		
Inspector's Signature Ap	pproximate Date of Next Inspection		
COMMENTS: The VE test indicated 0 emissions. They loaded 26.4 tons in 42.5 minutes			