NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING PLANTS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/E	DISCOVERY (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 7775501 DA	TE: <u>12/1/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:22</u>	DEPART	: <u>9:13</u>	
FACILITY NAME: BE	ST MINE				
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 3224 HWY 73				
	MARIANNA 32446				
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO	D REPRESENTATIVE: DAV OD: 4/14/2008 / 4/14/2013 (effective date) (end date)	ID KAUFMAN	PHONE: (850)482-03 Mobile: (850)573-22 PHONE: Mobile:		
Facility Section					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
DADT II. ONGITE INT	RODUCTORY MEETING				
1. Name(s) of facility rep	_			(check ✓ box for each	only one question)
	ility has shut down and the crushe	er was mover to Mic	higan on February 2010.		
2. Is the Authorized Rep. If no, who is?:	resentative still DAVID KAUFM.	AN?		- Yes	□No
	cility provide an administrative up still?				□No □No
4. Will facility be conducted	cting VE test(s) during today's instance authority notified at least 15	spection?days in advance?		Yes Yes	□No □No

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP (relocatable track type crusher), 250 Tph capacity

		(check 🗹	only one		
	box for each question)				
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		. ,		
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlos and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,			
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant				
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes	□No		
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	□No		
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	No		
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	☐ Yes	∟No		
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation, ☐ storage bin, ☐ enclosed truck loading station ☐ enclosed railcar loading station;				
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic				
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,				
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;				
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing				
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining				
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping				
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing				
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)				
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in				
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through				
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}				
	air carrying particulate matter (1 m) emissions from one or more affected Eos.;				
	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to				
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.				
If	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.				
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or				
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process				
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No		
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a				
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	No		
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	_ ,,			
0	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	No		
δ.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	☐ Yes	□ No		
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ 168	□No		

1 –NMMP (relocatable track type crusher), 250 Tph capacity

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	d	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,	_	_
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
7.6			
•	answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
IJ	the unswer to all of the six Questions 3-10 above is No then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
I f	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	_	_
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
I f	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	<u></u> No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes	□No

1 –NMMP (relocatable track type crusher), 250 Tph capacity

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		□No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, 		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

1 –NMMP (relocatable track type crusher), 250 Tph capacity

2. If the EU is a building enclosing an	ly other regulated EUs	and an enclosed EUS are not		
individually in compliance with em				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo	rmed on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU?		N	/A \(\sum \) Y	es 🗌 No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh				
purpose of exhausting from a buildin	g air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}				
b. Was the EU found to be in comple	iance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Y	esNo
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	om non-vent building op	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity? \[Y	esNo
3.Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the EU?		Y	es \[\]No
If yes, does the owner/operator main			_	
a. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the pressure le	oss of the gas stream through th	e	
scrubber and the device has bee	en calibrated on an annua	al basis in accordance with man	ufacturer's	
instructions?			Y	esNo
{Note: The monitoring device }	must be certified by the	manufacturer to be accurate wit	hin +250	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro				
and	•			
b. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scru	bber and the	
device has been calibrated on a				esNo
		manufacturer to be accurate wit		
of design scrubbing liquid flow				
4. When was the last VE test conduct	ed by the owner/opera	tor for this EU?		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60	subpart OOO, has the E	U been tested within the past 5	years? Y	esNo
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar	rt 000:	•	_	
i. has the EU been tested durin		ndar years?	Y	esNo
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	rithin the current calenda	ır year?	Y	esNo
5. Was a VE test conducted by the o w	ner/operator for this u	nit during this site visit?	Y	esNo
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?	Y	esNo
Rate:	_			
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 9? -		□ x z	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity			L Y	esNo
	of% for the high	est six-minute average.	<u> </u>	esNo
u. Did the ve test demonstrate comp	of% for the high	est six-minute average.		_
u. Did tile ve test demonstrate com	of% for the high	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		_
	of% for the high pliance with the opacity	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)	Y	esNo
6. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit dur	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit?	Y	esNo
6. Was a VE test conducted by the installation a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit dur	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit?	Y	esNo
6. Was a VE test conducted by the instance a. Was the VE test conducted at a precedent Rate:	of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit dur- ocess rate that is represe	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate?	Yo	esNo esNo
6. Was a VE test conducted by the instance a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represed ling to EPA Method 9?	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate?	Yo	esNo esNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the instance. Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted according to the test conducted by the instance according to the test conducted according to the test condu	of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit dur- ocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average.		esNo esNo esNo esNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the instance. B. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the test conducted according to the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit dur- ocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average.		esNo esNo esNo esNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the instance. B. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the test conducted according to the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit dur- cocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		esNo esNo esNo esNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the instance. B. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the test conducted according to the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity VE Opac	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)	Y0	esNo esNo esNo esNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the instance. B. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the test conducted according to the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity VE Opac EU not subject to	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) rity Limits Subpart OOO EU	Yo	esNo esNo esNo esNo esNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the instance. B. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the test conducted according to the test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity.	of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durances rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity VE Opac EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) lity Limits Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified,		esNo esNo esNo esNo esNo esNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the instance. B. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the test conducted according to the test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity.	of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity VE Opac EU not subject to	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ity Limits Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	Your You You	esNo esNo esNo esNo esNo esNo esNo EU nodified, ted on or
a. Was the VE test conducted by the installar. a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the installar	when the special with the opacity of% for the high pliance with the opacity of spector for this unit duration of the special of the spe	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) rity Limits Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Your You You	esNo esNo esNo esNo esNo esNo EU nodified, ted on or 8
a. Was the VE test conducted by the instance. B. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the test conducted according to the test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity.	of% for the high pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durances rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity VE Opac EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ity Limits Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	Your You You	esNo esNo esNo esNo esNo esNo esNo EEU nodified, ted on or 8

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur		
(at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? \[\] N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	☐ Yes	☐ No
ir no, where are uncommed emissions occurring.		
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	☐ Yes	☐ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	,	only one
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	box for each o	nuestion)
a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?		No
b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		□No □No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) o Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	r	□No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□No
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ only one
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air	box for each question)
pollution control devices?	YesNo
a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	
terms and conditions of the air general permit?	
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	
RELOCATABLE PLANT	
1. The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>)	(check ✓ only one box for each question)
 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 	(6)]
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air open	
permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose? If YES, what was the purpose? {Note: crushing recycled asphalt pavement (rap) at an asphalt plant is considered routine and so therefore must be authorized in the facility's air construction or operation permit.} b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	YesNo
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?	

CHANGES Administrative Changes:		(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 	ecation of the facility or any emissions to administrative change at the facility?	units or -	□No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replace c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is d) A change in ownership?	ment?s substantially different?s substantially different?s substantially different?		No No No No
C. Mark Sumner	12/1/2010		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
Mark Sen	N/A		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next I	nspection	

COMMENTS: This facility (Limestone Holdings Co. Inc. Best Mine) is no longer in business. The permitted crusher was moved to Michigan on February 2010. There has been a new crusher installed at the site and this is being addressed with a new Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plant General Permit.