NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING PLANTS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV		
AIRS ID#: 7775499 DA	TE: <u>10/18/2013</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:50</u>	DEPART: <u>1:40</u>	
FACILITY NAME: LA	KE POINT RESTORATION PI	ROJ-LAKE PT MINE		
FACILITY LOCATION	US 441 & SR 76 (KAN	NER HWY)		
	PORT MAYACA 334	38		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email:	D REPRESENTATIVE: HAI	RRY RUSBRIDGE PHO Mobi	NE: (561)924-9100 le:	
CONTACT NAME: JA Email:		PHO Mobi	NE: (561)924-9100 le:	
ENTITLEMENT PERI	OD: 2/8/2010 / 2/8/2015 (effective date) (end date)			

Facility Section

PART I: INSPECTION CON	IPLIANCE <u>STATUS</u> (check ☑ onl	y one box)
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

P.	ART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>	(check 🗹	only one
1.	Name(s) of facility representative(s):	box for each	question)
	Brief Notes:		
2.	. Is the Authorized Representative still HARRY RUSBRIDGE?	Xes Yes	□No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still JAMIE RUSBRIDGE?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?		□No □No

Emissions Unit Section	
4 -NMMP Plant-2ndary crusher,250T/hr,S/N4240-4067730,diesel pw	vr

1. 2. 3. 4. If su	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock. (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vernice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? 	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite, ulite; ∑ Yes ∑ Yes	□No □No □No □No
	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
6. 7.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	YesYesYes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	XNo

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	XNo
<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted ma</i>		A10
which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed a		
at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means miner		
with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from		
of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material		
solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this de		
solely by wel suppression systems is not considered to be "suturated "for purposes of this de	jinnon.j	
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	🖾No
	—	
<i>Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated a sector of the sector and operated a sector a</i>	to extract	
any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonme		
mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficien	nt surface	
moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the m	naterial	
through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted s	solely by	
wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
	_	
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device	ce? 🗌 Yes	No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N	A Ves	🗌 No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr.	/dscf)? Ves	No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	A Yes	∐ No
$\{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the$		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions j	TOM	
one or more affected EUs.) b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr.	/dscf)? 🗌 Yes	No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?		\square No
d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% of		No
a. Were initial rugitive emissions nom non-vent building openings less man of equal to 7% (

-			
ľ	16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
	If yes, the owner operator: Conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; Uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ıg	
I			
	17.If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
	18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
I	If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
	 a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	No
I	pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
	 and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		□No
I	19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	T Yes	□No
I			NO
	 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
	If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	DNo
	 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
Ш			

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A	T Yes	□ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th	_	—
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate. }		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? <u>9/26/2012</u>		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	Xes Yes	No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	T Yes	🖾No
	_	_
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Xes Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	🛛 Yes	No
Rate: <u>250T/hr</u>		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_	_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	🛛 Yes	LNo
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Xes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	\boxtimes Yes	\square No
Rate: <u>250T/hr</u>		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Xes Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{2}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Xes Yes	No

	Emissions Unit Section	
7 – NMMP Plant-crus	her pwr unit,330 Hp RICE diesel, S/N GLS	500668

ſ

	(check \square only one
	box for each question)
 Is the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mi [Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of s is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, a Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Sha (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Commor (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbona and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Per (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Imeral Processing Plants? which the majority Dolomite, Granite, ell; (2) Sand and Gravel; a Clay; (4) Rock Salt; te, Sodium Chloride, including Borax, Kernite, 'lite; (16) Vermiculite; Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Questio	
 Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process 	_
any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? 6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	YesNo
capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	YesNo
7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	YesNo
8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	□No
	<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>		103	
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	d		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material			
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processir			
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wett			
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	No
	<i>{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>			
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by			
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
IJ	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
		_		_
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
Í				
13	. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	_		_
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	\Box	Yes	No
16	recommende Oursetien 12 is "No" shir the following surveying and as timesty to Oursetien 10			
IJ	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14	. Initial Tests:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU? 🔲 N/A		Yes	🗌 No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	=	Yes	No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	\Box	Yes	No
1.5				
12	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
	individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	No
	<i>A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the</i>		105	
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
	one or more affected EUs.]			
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	=	Yes	No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	No
1	and the second second from non-tene canding openings less than of equal to 7.6 optienty.			

7 –NMMP Plant-crusher pwr unit,330 Hp RICE diesel, S/N GLS0	0668
---	------

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	🗌 Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufactur as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer.	s	_
instructions?	🗌 Yes	L.No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? - {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	- 🗌 Yes	□No
		NO
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	- 🗌 Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? \square N/A	Yes Ves	
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes Yes	L.No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	∐ Yes □ Yes	L.No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?		L.No

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	T Yes	□ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	\square Yes	□No
c. were initial rughtve emissions from non-vent building openings less than of equal to 7% opacity?		INO
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	2	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		_
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? <u>9/22/2011</u>		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	🛛 Yes	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	🖾No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	🖾No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	L.No
Rate:	_	_
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	—	—
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	LNo
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes Yes	⊠No ∏No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	L res	NO
Rate:	U Vac	
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	L.No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	LNo
VE Opacity Limits		

VE Opacity Limits			
	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/2008
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%

<u>R</u>]	EASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
1.	 Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? 	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
	 If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring? b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work 	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
	areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	YesYes	⊠ No ⊠ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)? <u>This is a large mining facility. The dust tends to settle on</u>		⊠ No □No

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY

	DORFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	 a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	🗌 Yes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
2.	Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) o Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	or	⊠No
	If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
	 b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? <u>0850152 and 7775638</u> 		No

3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
	a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Xes	No
	b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Xes	No
	c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes	No
	d) 1.3 million gallons of propane? 🛛 Yes	No
	e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Xes	No
() gal diesel/yr + () gal gasoline/yr + () MM SCF nat. gas/yr + () MM gal propane/yr ≤ 1.00 ? 75,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	No

G	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	only one
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	box for each	question)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air	_	_
	pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠No
2.	Does the owner or operator:		
	a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🛛 Yes	No
	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access	SS	
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	_	_
	permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	No

	ELOCATABLE PLANT The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
2.	 For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	6)]	□No □No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		□No
	 b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period? 	Yes Yes	□No □No

	HANGES dministrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions up operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	nits or	XNo
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	Yes	🖾No
N	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
	a) Installation of any new process equipment?	🗌 Yes	🖾No
	b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	🗌 Yes	🖾No
	c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	🗌 Yes	🖾No
	d) A change in ownership?	🗌 Yes	🖾No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su	omitted	
	30 days prior to the change?		No

Patricia Tampas

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

10/18/2013

Date of Inspection

10/18/2014

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: PT: this unit is capable of relocating, but continues to stay at this location.