

(check \square only one box for each question) \sqrt{TS}

ERAL PROCESSING



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D		Y (CI)					
AIRS ID#: 7775499 DATE: <u>08/22/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>08:45</u> DEPART: <u>3:00</u>									
FACILITY NAME: LA	KE POINT RESTORATION P	ROJ-LAKE PT MINE							
FACILITY LOCATION	US 441 & SR 76 (KAN)	NER HWY)							
	PORT MAYACA 334	38							
Email:	CONTACT NAME: JAMIE RUSBRIDGE PHONE: (561)924-9100								
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OD: 2/8/2010 / 2/8/2015 (effective date) (end date)								
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE									
DADT II. ONSITE INTI	RODUCTORY MEETING								
	presentative(s): Mr. Rusbridge			(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)				
	resentative still HARRY RUSBF	RIDGE?			□No				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still JAMIE RUSBRIDGE?					□No □No				
	eting VE test(s) during today's in ance authority notified at least 15				□No □No				

Emissions Unit Section 4 –NMMP Plant-2ndary crusher,250T/hr,S/N4240-4067730,diesel pwr

		(check ☑	only one
	b	ox for each	question)
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		•
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock S (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlorand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?		□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		No
4.	Is the EU one of the following? ☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation,	⊠ Yes	□No
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	_	
_		☐ Yes	⊠No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	1Cs	∠⊿ 10
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or		
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes Yes	⊠No

$\underline{4-NMMP\ Plant-2ndary\ crusher,} 250T/hr,\\ S/N4240-4067730, diesel\ pwr$

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	\boxtimes No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	ıl	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
i	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
I f.	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
-,			
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 1/31/2008		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	⊠ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	⊠No
7.0			
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?		∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	∐No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	☐ No
	$\{A\ "vent"\ is\ any\ opening\ through\ which\ there\ is\ mechanically\ induced\ air\ flow\ for\ the$		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?		□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	∐No
l			

4 –NMMP Plant-2ndary crusher,250T/hr,S/N4240-4067730,diesel pwr

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22;		
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);		
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin	g	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or		
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
4 7 4 4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	□ Vas	□ No
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	1 C3	
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	_	_
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		_
	∐ Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
10 Is not summarion used to control emissions from the EU9	□ Vaa	M N₂
	∐ Yes	⊠No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following		
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	□ Vaa	M Na
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	res	⊠No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	☐ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	☐No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	□No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes	□No

4 –NMMP Plant-2ndary crusher,250T/hr,S/N4240-4067730,diesel pwr

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em	issions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform					
initial startup of the EU?			/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh	ich there is mechanical	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building					
one or more affected EUs.}	0 , 01	, , ,			
b. Was the EU found to be in compli	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro				Yes	□No
or word initial ragin to dissipations from	m non vent cumumg op	omings ross than or equal to 770	opuerej.		
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the EU?			Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint					
a. a device for the continuous measu		oss of the gas stream through th	Δ.		
scrubber and the device has bee					
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device r				1 cs	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre		manuracturer to be accurate with	mi ∓230		
	ooute.				
and b. a daviga for the continuous massu	romant of the compleins	liquid flow rate to the wet come	hor and th	0	
b. a device for the continuous measu					□ Ma
device has been calibrated on a				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device r		manufacturer to be accurate with	nın +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24 When was the last VE test conduct	ad by the expense	ton for this EU2 09/12/2010			
24. When was the last VE test conduct			0	□ v	□ N-
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60	•	to been tested within the past 5	years?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar				⊠ Yes	□ N.
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?					∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	ithin the current calenda	ır year?		Yes	No
25 Was a VE test conducted by the av	man/an anatan fan thia w	nit duning this site visit?		✓ Vas	□ No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow				Yes Yes	∐No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		Yes	No
Rate: <u>250 ton/hr</u>	r . FDAM (1 100			□ 1	
b. Was the VE test conducted accord				Yes	∐No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				<u> </u>	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	pliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		⊠ Yes	∟No
				<u> </u>	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins				_	∐No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro-	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		⊠ Yes	∐No
Rate: <u>250 ton/hr</u>				- -	
b. Was the VE test conducted accord				Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	_	<u>c</u>			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	pliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		⊠ Yes	□No
	VE Ongo	ity Limits			
		· _	Cubnant	OOO FII	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	t 000 EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modifi	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed or	ı or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2	22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
			I	- , -	

Emissions Unit Section 7 –NMMP Plant-crusher pwr unit,330 Hp RICE diesel, S/N GLS00668

{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock. (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vernic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? Is the EU onstructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983? Is the EU one of the following? Crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station; crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exh	Ey e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite, ulite; Yes	□No □No □No ⊠No
ppart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	□No □No □No □No
	Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzie, Mart, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Punnice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?

7 –NMMP Plant-crusher pwr unit,330 Hp RICE diesel, S/N GLS00668

	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l 1g	□No
	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
sub If t	Inswer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to part OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. he answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
If a	nswer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If a	nswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
	Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? ———————————————————————————————————	☐ Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

7 –NMMP Plant-crusher pwr unit,330 Hp RICE diesel, S/N GLS00668

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	☐ Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	☐ Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

<u>7 –NMMP Plant-crusher pwr unit,330 Hp RICE diesel, S/N GLS00668</u>

22. If the EU is a building enclosing ar	ny other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with en					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo	rmed on each vent conti	rol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?			J/A	Yes Yes	☐ No
$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through when } A$					
purpose of exhausting from a building	ig air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}					
b. Was the EU found to be in compl	iance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)	?	Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	om non-vent building op	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	Yes Yes	□No
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the EU?	·		☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator main	_	_			
a. a device for the continuous measu		oss of the gas stream through th	ne		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device:					
pascals +1 inch water gauge pr	•		1200		
and					
b. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet son	ibber and th	ie.	
device has been calibrated on a				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device:				☐ 1 CS	□110
of design scrubbing liquid flow	•	manufacturer to be accurate wi	лпп ⊤Ј70		
or design serationing riquid now	ruce. j				
24. When was the last VE test conduct	ted by the owner/oners	tor for this EU2 8/12/2010			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60			voore?	⊠ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		to been tested within the past 3	years?	△ Tes	□N0
i. has the EU been tested durin		ander weers?		☐ Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested duffi	ig each of the past 4 cale	an vican?		Yes	□No
n. has the EO been tested yet w	rumi me current calenda	ar year?		L Tes	□INO
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow	uner/onergtor for this u	nit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the or				Yes	□No
<u> </u>	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		△ Tes	□N0
Rate:	ding to EDA Mothed 02			∇ Vac	□ No
b. Was the VE test conducted accord				Yes	∐No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	pliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	∐No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>in</i>	enector for this unit du	ring this site visit?		⊠ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the un				⊠ Yes	□No
Rate:	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?			□110
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ding to EDA Mothod 02			Yes	□No
c. The VE test conducted accorded.				△ Tes	NO
				⊠ Yes	□ No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	phance with the opacity	mint? (See chart below)		i es	□No
	VE Opac	city Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subnar	t OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	_	cted, modif	hai
		1			
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		istructed o	u or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	<u> </u>	12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
,	-1	•	1		

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? \ \ N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	Yes	⊠ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□ No ⊠ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	☐ Yes	⊠ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	⊠ Yes	□ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	⊠ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY 1. Does this facility bear recorded to about that it does not have the contact in	(check 🗹 o	only one question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- 🛛 Yes	□No □No □No
2. Does this facility include:a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or		
Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠No

<u>(5</u> 27	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	-	No No No No No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	only one
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or		ch question)
_	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	☐ Yes	⊠No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	⊠ Yes	□No
2	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	⊠ Yes	□No
٥.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	Yes	□No
	The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check ⊻ box for eac	only one ch question)
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?)]	□No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operat permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		⊠No
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?	X Yes	☐No

CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?					
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:					
 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substarted. d) A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change? 					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COMMENTS:					