

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV			
AIRS ID#: 0330055 DATE: <u>5/26/09</u>	ARRIVE: <u>3:19 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>3:35 PM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: SOUTHERN PRESTRESSED CO	DNCRETE, INC.			
FACILITY LOCATION: 128 Airport Blvd				
PENSACOLA 32503	3-7626			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JA	MES GEMIND PHON	NE: (850)476-6120		
CONTACT NAME: Larry Lynch, Production Coordi	nator PHON	NE: (850)476-6120		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/25/2005 / 2/25/201 (effective date) (end date)	0			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS IN COMPLIANCE IN COMPLIANCE		ANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
 Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during th 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batched controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust col at a rate that is representative of the normal silo I unless such rate is unachievable in practice?4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) of to this question is "Yes", then continue on to question 5.) a) Was the batching operation in operation durin b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching visible emissions test, was the batching?	ers), and other enclosed storage emissions to 5 percent opacity llector exhaust points was the h oading rate, or at least at the m operation controlled by the silo estions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If a highther visible emissions test? ching rate representative of the eration are controlled by a dust	□Yes □ No and conveying equipment ? No ? □Yes □ No oading of the silo conducted inimum 25 tons per hour rate, □Yes □ No dust collector? (If answer □Yes □ No nowr is "No" then □Yes □ No		
from the silo dust collector, are the visible emiss conducted while batching at a rate that is represe				

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)		
<u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)		
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)		
2. Did this facility demonstrate:		
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?		
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No		
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)		
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? ⊠Yes □ No		
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)		
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ⊠Yes □ No		

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check 🗹	appropriate box(es))
----------	----------------------

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check ⊠only one box.*)

2.	If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES,</i> <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below</i> .)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No
	 b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year? c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less? 	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ⊠ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (*continued*) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

1.	Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined
	emissions by:

a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 🛛 🖄 Yes 🗌 No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions?
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Xes 🗌 No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? Xes I No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? XYes No

Jennifer Waltrip

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

5/26/09

Date of Inspection

Signature Inspector³

May 2010

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Department personnel conducted an unannounced annual air program compliance inspection on May 26, 2009 at the Southern Prestressed facility located in Escambia County. Mr. Larry Lynch, Production Coordinator, was present to assist during the inspection.

It was the end of the working day; therefore, the facility was not in operation at the time of the inspection. Particulate emissions are controlled by a baghouse located atop the cement storage silo. The annual visible emissions (VE) test was conducted by Pensacola P.O.C., Inc., on January 21, 2009. No emissions were observed during the 30-minute test.

Aggregate piles are enclosed with concrete walls and the storage levels are kept below the top of the walls to prevent wind blown emissions. Fugitive emissions are also controlled by sprinklers on the aggregate piles and wetting the yard as needed.

The entitlement period (authority to operate the facility) expires on February 25, 2010. Please note that Rule 62-210.310(2)(c)2, F.A.C., requires the submittal of the proper registration form and processing fee at least 30 days prior to expiration of the facility's existing air general permit in order to avoid lapse of authority to operate.