

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE :	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	OVERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0112702 DAT	ΓΕ: <u>7/31/08</u>	ARRIVE: <u>0950</u>	DEPART: <u>1020</u>		
FACILITY NAME: NEPTUNE SOCIETY POMPANO BEACH					
FACILITY LOCATION	: 1921 NW 40th Ct				
	DEERFIELD BEACH	33064-8719			
OWNER/AUTHORIZEI	D REPRESENTATIVE: JA	MES FORD PHO	ONE: (954)556-9400		
CONTACT NAME: sar	me	PHO	ONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	DD: 3/13/2008 / 3/13/201 (effective date) (end date)	13			
	(
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)			
	CE MINOR Non-COM	MPLIANCE SIGNIFIC	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE	E	
PART II: TESTING/RE	CORDKEEPING REQUIRE	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.401	1, F.A.C.		
PART II: TESTING/REO (check ☑ appropriate	CORDKEEPING REQUIRE e box(es))	<u>EMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401	1, F.A.C.		
(check appropriate1. Were there any obj	e box(es)) sectionable odor(s) detected?			☐ Yes ☐ No	
 (check ☑ appropriate Were there any obj Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)? 	e box(es)) sectionable odor(s) detected? sions test conducted during thi	is site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No	
 (check ☑ appropriate Were there any obj Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demons 	e box(es)) sectionable odor(s) detected? sions test conducted during thi trate individual source complia	is site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60		
 (check ☑ appropriate Were there any obj Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401) 	e box(es)) sectionable odor(s) detected? sions test conducted during thi trate individual source complia GP Notification form submiss (5)(i), F.A.C.)	is site visit according to EPA ance, was an annual visible e ion, and within 60 days prior	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date?		
 (check ☑ appropriate Were there any obj Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.4010) In order to demons 	e box(es)) sectionable odor(s) detected? sions test conducted during thi trate individual source complia GP Notification form submiss 5)(i), F.A.C.) trate individual source complia	is site visit according to EPA ance, was an annual visible e ion, and within 60 days prior ance were the remaining app	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date?	☐Yes ☐ No	
 (check ☑ appropriate Were there any obj Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401) In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monoxi 	e box(es)) lectionable odor(s) detected? sions test conducted during thi trate individual source complia GP Notification form submiss 5)(i), F.A.C.) trate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Noti ide (CO) emissions equal to or	is site visit according to EPA ance, was an annual visible e ion, and within 60 days prior ance were the remaining app fication form submission? (F	emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? licable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by	☐Yes ☐ No	
 (check ☑ appropriate Were there any obj Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401) In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monoxi volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter 6 	e box(es)) lectionable odor(s) detected? sions test conducted during thi trate individual source complia GP Notification form submiss (5)(i), F.A.C.) trate individual source complia (60 days prior to the AGP Noti (60 days prior to the AGP Noti (60 days prior to the AGP Noti (61 days prior to the AGP Noti (62 days prior to the AGP Noti (63 days prior to the AGP Noti (64 days prior to the AGP Noti (65 days prior to the AGP	is site visit according to EPA ance, was an annual visible e ion, and within 60 days prior ance were the remaining app fication form submission? (F r below the requirements of 1 rly average basis and tested a	emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? licable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by according to EPA Method	☐Yes ☐ No	
 (check ☑ appropriate Were there any obj Was a visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401) In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monoxi volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter 6 b) Oxygen test per 	e box(es)) fectionable odor(s) detected? sions test conducted during thi trate individual source complia GP Notification form submiss 5)(i), F.A.C.) trate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Noti ide (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 52-297, F.A.C.)? rformed according to EPA Me	ance, was an annual visible edion, and within 60 days prior ance were the remaining apperfication form submission? (For below the requirements of 1 rly average basis and tested at a thought of 1 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297)	emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? licable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by according to EPA Method	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐No	
(check ☑ appropriate 1. Were there any obj 2. Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401() 4. In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monoxi volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter 6 b) Oxygen test per c) Particulate matt dry standard cubic	te box(es)) sectionable odor(s) detected? sions test conducted during thi trate individual source complia GP Notification form submiss 5)(i), F.A.C.) trate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Noti ide (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 52-297, F.A.C.)? rformed according to EPA Me ter emissions test with results of foot (ft³)of flue gas, corrected	ance, was an annual visible en ance, was an annual visible en ance were the remaining apper fication form submission? (First below the requirements of 1 and 1 and 2 and 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 and 1 and 2 and 1 and 3 and 1 and 2 and 1 and 3 and 2 and 1 and 3 and 3 and 1 and 3 and 3 and 4	emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? licable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) operation of the properties of the properties of 0.080 grains per nig to EPA Method 5		
(check ☑ appropriate 1. Were there any obj 2. Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401() 4. In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monoxi volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter 6 b) Oxygen test per c) Particulate matt dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter.62-2	te box(es)) sectionable odor(s) detected? sions test conducted during thi trate individual source complia GP Notification form submiss 5)(i), F.A.C.) trate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Noti ide (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 52-297, F.A.C.)? rformed according to EPA Me ter emissions test with results of foot (ft³)of flue gas, corrected 297, F.A.C.)?	ance, was an annual visible en ance, was an annual visible en ance were the remaining appropriation form submission? (First below the requirements of 1 and 1 and 2 and 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 and 1 and 2 and 1 and 2 and 1 and 2 and 1 and 2 and 2 and 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 and 1 and 2 and 1 and 2 and 2 and 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 and 1 and 2 and 3 and 3 and 4 and 2 and 4 and	emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? licable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) operation of the properties of the propertie		
(check ☑ appropriate 1. Were there any obj 2. Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.4010. 4. In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monoxi volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter 6 b) Oxygen test per c) Particulate matt dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter.62-2 5. Was all emissions to capacity?	te box(es)) sectionable odor(s) detected? sions test conducted during thi trate individual source complia GP Notification form submiss 5)(i), F.A.C.) trate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Noti ide (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)? rformed according to EPA Me ter emissions test with results of foot (ft³)of flue gas, corrected 297, F.A.C.)? testing conducted with the sou	ance, was an annual visible ending, and within 60 days prior ance were the remaining apprination form submission? (For below the requirements of 1 and 1 and 2 and 2 and 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 and 1 to 7% O ₂ and tested according at the manuface	emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? licable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by according to EPA Method 7, F.A.C.)?		
(check ☑ appropriate 1. Were there any obj 2. Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401(4. In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monoxi volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter 6 b) Oxygen test per c) Particulate matt dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter.62-7 5. Was all emissions of capacity? 6. Was CO & PM cor	e box(es)) lectionable odor(s) detected?sions test conducted during thi	ance, was an annual visible endion, and within 60 days prior ance were the remaining appring fication form submission? (First below the requirements of 1 rely average basis and tested and thought a comparison of 1 to 7% O ₂ and tested according to 7% O ₂ and tested according to 7% O ₂ and tested according to 7% O ₃ and tested according to 7% O ₄ and tested according to 7% O ₅ and tested according to 7% O ₆ and tested according to 7% O ₇ and tested according to 7% O ₈ and tested according to 7% O ₈ and tested according to 7% O ₉ and 1% O	emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? licable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Ou parts per million by according to EPA Method F.A.C.)? ments of 0.080 grains per nig to EPA Method 5 turers recommended in identical crematory unit?		
(check ☑ appropriate 1. Were there any obj 2. Was a visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401(4) 4. In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monoxi volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter 6 b) Oxygen test per c) Particulate matt dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter.62-2 5. Was all emissions to capacity? 6. Was CO & PM cor 7. Was the Department 8. Was the required to	te box(es)) sectionable odor(s) detected? sions test conducted during thi trate individual source complia GP Notification form submiss 5)(i), F.A.C.) trate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Noti ide (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)? rformed according to EPA Me ter emissions test with results of foot (ft³)of flue gas, corrected 297, F.A.C.)? testing conducted with the sou	ance, was an annual visible endion, and within 60 days prior ance were the remaining apprification form submission? (For below the requirements of 1 rely average basis and tested a subthod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297) equal to or below the requirements of 1 to 7% O ₂ and tested according to 1 to	emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? licable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) O0 parts per million by according to EPA Method 7, F.A.C.)? ments of 0.080 grains per ng to EPA Method 5 turers recommended n identical crematory unit? al compliance test? t no longer than 45 days after		

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to reco	ord temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chambe	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	Yes No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the	e recording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	Yes No
2) Monitoring device	Yes No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	Yes No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	Yes No
6) Adjustments	<u> </u> Yes <u> </u> No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°I	??
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperatu	
is equal to or greater than $1400^{\circ}F$?	
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	
I. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence	
@ 1800° F?	Yes No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crem	
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	_
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duratio	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated a	ıt
this location?	Yes No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	☐Yes ☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	- Yes No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>				
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantiall recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office? If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent was required, have all operators been retrained to compare the comparent of the case of new or modified equipment, where a required, has the owner submitted copies of all operators as under the comparent of the c	out replacement?			
Art Pennetta	7/31/08			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	2009			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			

COMMENTS: The facility is not operational at this time. Two crematory units have been installed and are awaiting final electrical hookup. They are planning to have the units operational in the next few months.-Art Pennetta