

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 7775490 DATE: <u>9/4/2013</u> ARRIVE: <u>1:12 PM</u> DEPART	: <u>1:24 PM</u>				
FACILITY NAME: MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT					
FACILITY LOCATION: 7333 NW 12th ST					
MIAMI 33166-2700					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MARTIN MARKS* Email: marty@marksbrothersinc.com CONTACT NAME: MARTIN MARKS* Email: marty@marksbrothersinc.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/10/2013 / 3/10/2018 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (305)805-6 Mobile: (305)805-6 Mobile: (305)986-66	256 900				
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still MARTIN MARKS*?	☐ Yes ☐No				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still MARTIN MARKS*?					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?					

Emissions Unit Section 1 – Relocatable Crusher 138-193/hr

		(check ☑	only one
	1	ox for each	•
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Procession		,
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granic Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Yes	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	□No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	☐ Yes	□No
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation, ☐ storage bin, ☐ enclosed truck loading station ☐ enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
11	the answer to an or the rour Questions 1-4 above is Tes their continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	_	_
_	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	∐ Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	□ v _{aa}	□ No
7	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
٠.	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or		
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes Yes	□No

<u>1 –Relocatable Crusher 138-193/hr</u>

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? ————————————————————————————————————	l ng	□No
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

<u>1 –Relocatable Crusher 138-193/hr</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

<u>1 –Relocatable Crusher 138-193/hr</u>

22. If the EU is a building enclosing ar		and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with en				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo				
initial startup of the EU?			I/A Y	'es
$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through when } A$	hich there is mechanical	ly induced air flow for the		
purpose of exhausting from a building	ig air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}				
b. Was the EU found to be in compl	iance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	· Y	esNo
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	om non-vent building op	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity? Y	es □No
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the EU?	·		esNo
If yes, does the owner/operator main			_	_
a. a device for the continuous measu		oss of the gas stream through th	e	
		al basis in accordance with man		
				esNo
		manufacturer to be accurate wit	_	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pr				
and				
b. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	gliquid flow rate to the wet scru	bber and the	
		lance with manufacturer's instru		es □No
		manufacturer to be accurate wit		
of design scrubbing liquid flow		manufacturer to be accurate with	11111 1370	
	,			
24. When was the last VE test conduct	ed by the owner/opera	tor for this EU?		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	vears? Y	esNo
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		To occur tosted within the pust of	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<u> </u>
		endar years?		esNo
		ar year?		esNo
		y		
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow	<i>vner/operator</i> for this u	nit during this site visit?		es \Bar\\No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				es □No
Rate:	occos rate that is represe			<u> </u>
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ding to EPA Method 9?			esNo
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp			П у	esNo
d. Did the VE test demonstrate com	phance with the opacity	mint. (See chart below).	L 1	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>in</i>	s <i>nector</i> for this unit du	ring this site visit?	П у	esNo
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				esNo
Rate:	ocess rate that is represe	situative of the normal rate.	1	cs
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ding to FPA Method 99			es □No
c. The VE test conducted accord			- L 1	
d. Did the VE test resulted in an opacity				esNo
d. Did the VL test demonstrate com	phanee with the opacity	mint: (See chart below)	L	cs\o
		city Limits		
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOC) EU
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed, r	nodified,
		or reconstructed prior	or reconstruct	teu on oi
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	or reconstruct	
Crusher with no contura evetam	Subpart OOO	to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/200	8
Crusher with no capture system All other affected EUs				8

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?	Yes	□ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	☐ Yes	☐ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		only one
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	box for each o	
a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	□No □No □No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	or	□No
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□No
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		

<u>(</u>	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		No No No No No
	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes Yes	□No □No □No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	S	□No
RF	ELOCATABLE PLANT	(check ☑	only one
1.	The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>)	box for each	question)
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(or to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]	□No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	Yes	□No
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?	Yes	□No

CHANGES	(check 🗹	•		
Administrative Changes:	box for each	ch question)		
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 	ocation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes	□No □No		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?				
FRANK DELGADO	9/4/2013			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
COMMENTS: THE PORTABLE CRUSHER IS NO LONGER	R AT THIS LOCATION			

REVIEWED
By Ray Gordon at 11:49 am, Oct 10, 2013