

# Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard, Jr. Secretary

January 21, 2011

SENT VIA E-MAIL CDSMFG@earthlink.net

Clayton Sembler, President CDS Manufacturing Incorporated 106 Charles Hayes Sr. Drive Gretna, Florida 32332

Dear Mr. Sembler:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The Air Program identification number for this facility is **0390045**. Your facility permit expires on **March 2**, **2013**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

Based on the facility inspection results, the Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of In Compliance for your facility. Your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. The inspection checklist and its comments section are enclosed. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at 850/245-2960 or <a href="mailto:tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us">tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us</a>.

Sincerely,

Marlane Castellanon

Marlane Castellanos Branch Manager

MC/tw

**Enclosures** 

cc: Leanne Moorehead, CDSllm@earthlink.net

Rick Bradburn; Carol Melton; Mary Beth Curle, FDEP, Pensacola



## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)   COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)   RE-INSPECTION (FUI)   ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 0390045 DATE: 1/13/2011 ARRIVE: 10:45 A.M. DEPART:	:					
FACILITY NAME: CDS-FDOT CASTING YARD						
FACILITY LOCATION: 106 CHARLES HAYES SR DR						
GRETNA 32332						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: CLAYTON SEMBLER Email: CONTACT NAME: CLAYTON SEMBLER Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/2/2008 / 3/2/2013 (effective date) (end date)  PHONE: (850)875-46 Mobile: PHONE: (850)875-46 Mobile:						
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): LeAnne Moorehead  Brief Notes: Still at location, but was not on-site this day. An alternate supervisor was available.	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still CLAYTON SEMBLER?  If no, who is?:	⊠ Yes □No					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still CLAYTON SEMBLER?						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Yes					

# Emissions Unit Section 1 –concrete batching plant subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 c box for each qu	only one uestion)
Date of last inspection: 11/03/2009     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? -  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check <b>☑</b> c	only one
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	box for each qu	uestion)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles.		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions emissions by:	to control unconfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include		_
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals w control emissions?		☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce a particulate matter?  4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate with particulate matter from stock piles?	irborne Yes and entrainment of	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop poi	nt to the truck? 🔀 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		⊠ No □ No

### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C</u> (	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ box for each		
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	No No No	
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No	
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No	
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>	
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumers.	ne/yr nption		
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	- U Yes	⊠ No	
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ☑ only one box for each question)				
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	\ Yes	⊠ No	
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- Xes	 □ No	
2	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No	
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□ No	

RI	ELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check	•
1.	Is the facility: stationary $\boxtimes$ ; relocatable $\square$ ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable $\square$ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the following</i>	box for each g question 2.)	question)
	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes	⊠ No
	<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,</li> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)</li> </ul>	Yes	☐ No
	to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	☐ Yes	☐ No
	to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? -	Yes	☐ No
3.	If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)		☐ No
	If YES, what was the purpose?  b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	- Yes	☐ No
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	- U Yes	∐ No
	HANGES Iministrative Changes:	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
<ol> <li>2.</li> </ol>	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represental associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions uni operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	its or Yes	⊠ No □ No
	Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?	-	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee subs 30 days prior to the change?	mitted -	☐ No
Tra	acy White 1/13/2011		
	Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Date of Inspection		
	Inspector's Signature  Approximate Date of Next Inspector		
	Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Insp	pection	

**COMMENTS:** I observed the site. Two silos and one drop point batcher-hopper were present. The equipment was not in operation. No equipment changes, since the last inspection, were noted. Site staff made available a copy of the 2010 compliance testing. Annual testing is required. Sid Carter performed the testing on 11/23/2010.

Department computer file review indicated the last compliance test on record was performed 11/23/2009. The Tallahassee Branch office had received a copy of the more recent 11/23/2010 (2010 annual testing) report. A copy of this report was forwarded to the NW District office on 1/19/2011.