

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 630-3 Capital Circle NE Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Michael W. Sole Secretary

November 12, 2009

SENT VIA E-MAIL CDSMFG@earthlink.net

Clayton Sembler, President CDS Manufacturing Incorporated 106 Charles Hayes Sr. Drive Gretna, Florida 32332

Dear Mr. Sembler:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The Air Program identification number for this facility is **0390045**. Your facility permit <u>expires on March 2, 2013</u>. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

Based on the facility inspection results, the Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of <u>In-</u>Compliance for your facility. However the following issue <u>requires your immediate attention</u>:

The facility should notify the Department of compliance testing. A compliance test report should be submitted within 30 days receipt of this inspection report. Failure to conduct testing within 30 days of start up may result in a non compliant facility status.

Note that your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. The inspection checklist and its comments section are enclosed. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at 850/488-3704 or tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Maclane Castellanon

Marlane Castellanos Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures

cc: Rick Bradburn; Erica Mitchell; Mary Beth Curle. FDEP, Pensacola

Leanne Moorehead, CDSllm@earthlink.net



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0390045 DATE: <u>11/03/2009</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:30</u> DEPART:
FACILITY NAME: CDS-FDOT CASTING YARD
FACILITY LOCATION: 106 CHARLES HAYES SR DR
GRETNA 32332
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: CLAYTON SEMBLER PHONE: (850)875-4651
CONTACT NAME: Leanne Moorehead PHONE:
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/2/2008 / 3/2/2013
(effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? ☐ Yes ☒ No 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? □Yes ☒ No
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? Yes N	No
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ 	0 0 0

	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking are: application of water or environmentally safe demissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and or re-entrainment, and from building or work are reduction of stock pile height, or installation or particulate matter from stock piles?	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: as, stock piles, and yards? lust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
 b) alterations to existing process equipment witho c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did to notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62- 	Yes ⊠ No but replacement? □ Yes ⊠ No by different than that noted on the most □ Yes ⊠ No when the owner submit a new and complete
Tracy White	11/03/2009
Tracy White Inspector's Name (Please Print)	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	11/03/2009
·	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Tray White	Date of Inspection 6-12 months
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Tray White Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: The facility appeared to be in operation. The facility had two point baghouse appeared to be present. The facility appears to not appear to need batch trucks. After the inspection I reviewed compliance records. I did not	Date of Inspection 6-12 months Approximate Date of Next Inspection storage silos with filter units and a central mixing batcher. No batch have pre-mixed (wet) concrete batch equipment, so the facility does locate a compliance test entry. I contacted Leanne Moorehead, QC
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Tray White Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: The facility appeared to be in operation. The facility had two point baghouse appeared to be present. The facility appears to not appear to need batch trucks. After the inspection I reviewed compliance records. I did not	Date of Inspection 6-12 months Approximate Date of Next Inspection storage silos with filter units and a central mixing batcher. No batch o have pre-mixed (wet) concrete batch equipment, so the facility does locate a compliance test entry. I contacted Leanne Moorehead, QC contract with a consultant to perform the testing, but was having
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Tray White Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: The facility appeared to be in operation. The facility had two point baghouse appeared to be present. The facility appears to not appear to need batch trucks. After the inspection I reviewed compliance records. I did not manager. Ms. Moorhead explained that she had attempted to describe the second s	Date of Inspection 6-12 months Approximate Date of Next Inspection storage silos with filter units and a central mixing batcher. No batch have pre-mixed (wet) concrete batch equipment, so the facility does locate a compliance test entry. I contacted Leanne Moorehead, QC contract with a consultant to perform the testing, but was having Erica Mitchell.
Inspector's Name (Please Print) COMMENTS: The facility appeared to be in operation. The facility had two point baghouse appeared to be present. The facility appears to not appear to need batch trucks. After the inspection I reviewed compliance records. I did not manager. Ms. Moorhead explained that she had attempted to difficulty. I recommended that she contact the District office, As requested, I sent her an email with the testing rule and ano	Date of Inspection 6-12 months Approximate Date of Next Inspection storage silos with filter units and a central mixing batcher. No batch have pre-mixed (wet) concrete batch equipment, so the facility does locate a compliance test entry. I contacted Leanne Moorehead, QC contract with a consultant to perform the testing, but was having Erica Mitchell.
Inspector's Name (Please Print) COMMENTS: The facility appeared to be in operation. The facility had two point baghouse appeared to be present. The facility appears to not appear to need batch trucks. After the inspection I reviewed compliance records. I did not manager. Ms. Moorhead explained that she had attempted to difficulty. I recommended that she contact the District office, As requested, I sent her an email with the testing rule and ano Note: Some items on the checklist could not be completed due	Date of Inspection 6-12 months Approximate Date of Next Inspection storage silos with filter units and a central mixing batcher. No batch have pre-mixed (wet) concrete batch equipment, so the facility does contract with a consultant to perform the testing, but was having Erica Mitchell. other email of the 15 day notification requirement.