

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION NORTHWEST DISTRICT BRACH OFFICE 470 HARRSION AVENUE PANAMA CITY, FLORIDA 32401

RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR. SECRETARY

April 12, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL david.davis@hanson.biz

Mr. David Davis General Manager Hanson Pipe and Precast Marianna 4043 Family Dollar Parkway Marianna, Florida 32448

Dear Mr. Davis:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The program identification number for this facility is **0630059**. Your permit **expires on February 17, 2018**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Panama City Branch Office reported a status of In Compliance for your facility. The inspection report is enclosed. Your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. If you have any questions, your local contact is Mark Sumner at (850) 767-0046 or <u>mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us</u>.

Sincerely,

Michael Mathews Environmental Manager

MM/cms

Enclosure

 c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>) Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>) Mr. Charles Piwowarski, Hanson Pipe (charles.piwowarski@hanson.biz)

	-	L MOIECIO	1	-
er age		VA	C.	1
F	OR	IDA		

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D		(CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0630059 DA	TE: <u>3/20/2013</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:15</u>		DEPART: <u>1</u>	0:15	
FACILITY NAME: HA	ANSON PIPE AND PRECAST	- MARIANNA				
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 4043 Family Dollar Pky	wy				
	MARIANNA 32448-	-2672				
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: D Email: David.Davis(ENTITLEMENT PERIC	@hanson.biz	-	PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(850)482-2830		
Facility Section						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
DADT IL ONGITE INT						
	RODUCTORY MEETING presentative(s): <u>David Davis</u>			b	(check \blacksquare) ox for each	
Brief Notes: The 2013 Method 9 visible emission test was performed by Beatty Envrinmental at the time of this inspection.						
 Is the Authorized Rep If no, who is?: <u>NA</u> 	presentative still JERON QUINC	CY?			🛛 Yes	DNo
If different, did the fac 3. Is the facility contact s If no, who is?: <u>NA</u>	cility provide an administrative still DAVID DAVIS?	update within 30 days	?	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4. Will facility be conducted If yes, was the compli	acting VE test(s) during today's i iance authority notified at least 1	inspection? 15 days in advance?			⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No

Emissions Unit Section ~h 75T)

1 -CCB Plant-2 silo's(cement-120T,FlyAsh-75T),w/centr.baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)		
 Date of last inspection: <u>8/27/2012</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?		□ No □ No □ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 				
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	- Xes	🗌 No		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		□ No □ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	N/A Yes	🗌 No		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	A 🗌 Yes 🗍 Yes	□ No □ No		

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 only one
	box for each question)
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the except units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? <u>N/A</u> 	
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? <u>N/A</u>	
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	.N/A Yes No N/A Yes No N/A Yes No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yrMM gal pro275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal pro	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel cons for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	sumption /A

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
 Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? 		
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary [3]; relocatable [3]; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable [3]	(check ☑ box for each	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following	g question 2.)	
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program paletor than five business days following a releastion? 		No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6) to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?]	∐ No □ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation perm and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage) If YES, what was the purpose?b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was)? 门 Yes	∐ No
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No
Note: Relocatable Plant Part 2. (a)(b)(c) and Part 3. (a)(b)(c) are not applicable for this facility a	at this time.	
CHANGES		
	(check ☑ box for each	
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representat associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions uni operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	ts or Xes	D No No
3. Since the fast registration form submittal has there been		
 a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership? 	- 🛛 Yes - 🗌 Yes	 ⋈ No ⋈ No ⋈ No ⋈ No

C. Mark Sumner

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Mark Sen

Inspector's Signature

3/20/2013

Date of Inspection

March 2014

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Mr. David Davis, General Manager, met me at the facility, and provided me with all requested records at the time of this inspection. This facility has one 120 ton cement silo, and one 75 ton fly ash silo. The emissions are controlled from both silos by a central baghouse. The 2013 Method 9 visible emission test was performed by Beatty Environmental at the time of this inspection. The test result was 0% opacity, and the loading rate was 26.24 tons of cement per hour. The front half of the facility is paved, and the back has a gravel surface. As described by the manager the facility will manage the gravel with wetting, and if necessary an application of "Dust Down". No fugitive emissions were observed at the time of this inspection. This facility did have a natural gas fired boiler used to assist in concrete curing during colder weather. In 2012 this bolier was removed as this facility was sold to Hanson Pipe Company. The facility maintains records for the quantity of cement and flyash used.