

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll
Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

September 17, 2012

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL john.hirsbrunner@oldcastle.com

Mr. John Hirsbrunner General Manager Oldcastle Precast, Inc. 4043 Family Dollar Parkway Marianna, Florida 32448

Dear Mr. Hirsbrunner:

This is in reference to our September 10, 2012 compliance assistance visit to your facility the Oldcastle Precast Inc. Plant ID 0630059. A report focusing on ways to improve compliance is enclosed. Please note that the facility's permit will expire on 1/31/2013. An application for renewal is due 30 days prior to the expiration date.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or by email at mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Clifford D. Wilson III, P.E.

Northwest District Branch Administrator

CDW/ms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>)
Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>)



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:								
AIRS ID#: 0630059 DATE: <u>8/27/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:30</u> DEPART:	<u>9:15</u>							
FACILITY NAME: OLDCASTLE PRECAST-MARIANNA FACILITY								
FACILITY LOCATION: 4043 FAMILY DOLLAR PKWY								
MARIANNA 32448-2672								
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: John Hirsbrunner Email: john.hirsbrunner@oldcastle.com CONTACT NAME: Harvey Shelton Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/31/2008 / 1/31/2013 PHONE: (850)728-4723 Mobile: Mobile:								
(effective date) (end date)								
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE								
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one							
	box for each question)							
Brief Notes: The 2012 Method 9 visible emission test was performed by David Jones at the time of the	is inspection.							
2. Is the Authorized Representative still John Hirsbrunner? If no, who is?: N/A	⊠ Yes □No							
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? N/A 3. Is the facility contact still Harey Shelton If no, who is?: N/A	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No							
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	∑ Yes							

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-2 silo's (cement-120T, FlyAsh-75T), w/centr.baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION			
 Date of last inspection: 7/18/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% b. If tested: (N/A)% opacity. Were the visible emissi c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? N/A 	opacity)? 🛛 N/A	⊠ Yes □ Yes □ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), I	Z A C		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parki	Hoppers, Storage and		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take emissions by:	reasonable precautions to control unconfine	d	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yard 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, sto 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-su	ck piles, and yards? ppressant chemicals when necessary to	⊠ Yes	□ No
control emissions?	_	□ No	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind particulate matter from stock piles?		⊠ Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate er	nissions at the drop point to the truck? \square N	J/A Yes	☐ No
 If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity b. If tested: (<u>N/A</u>)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 2 c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? <u>N/A</u>)? N/A 0% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			only one question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? N/A		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	- X - X	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No No
	N/A gal diesel/yr + N/A gal gasoline/yr + 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + N/A MM gal propan 1.3 MM gal propan	<u>e/yr</u> ıe/yr	≤ 1.00?	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?			☐ No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS			only one question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗆	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the six capacal parmit and complies with all	- 🖂	Yes	☐ No
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	□ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		Ves	□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	hov for each	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing pla	both stationary and relocatable	• /
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cemen soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c between the control of	Yes	☐ No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Departmede-mail, fax, or written communication at least one busineb. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation	ss day prior to changing location? Yes	☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation N to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least	Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□ No□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a ser and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission	ns unit in that separate permit:	_
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routi If YES, what was the purpose?		∐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how co-located at the permitted facility?	\ Yes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in durati	ion?	☐ No
Note: Relocatable Plant Part 2. (a)(b)(c) and Part 3. (a)(b	o)(c) are not applicable for this facility at this time.	
CHANGES		only one
Administrative Changes:	box for each	n question)
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical releptorerations comprising the facility; or any other similar mino If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 	ocation of the facility or any emissions units or or administrative change at the facility? Yes	⊠ No □ No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		N
a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace		⊠ No ⊠ No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that i d. A change in ownership?	is substantially different? Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new reg 30 days prior to the change?		☐ No
C. Mark Sumner	9/10/12	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Mark Sen		
///ack Den	September 2013	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: Mr. John Hirsbrunner, General Manager, met me at the facility, and provided me with all requested records at the time of this inspection. This facility has one 120 ton cement silo, and one 75 ton fly ash silo. The emissions are controlled from both silos by a central baghouse. The 2012 Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) Method 9 visible emission test was performed by David Jones at the time of this inspection. The test result was 0% opacity, and the loading rate was 26.27 tons per hour. The front half of the facility is paved, and the back has a gravel surface. As described by the manager the facility will treat the gravel with wetting, and application of "Dust Down". No fugitive emissions were observed at the time of this inspection. This facility does have a natural gas fired boiler used to assist in concrete curing during colder weather. In 2011 this bolier used 2.044575MMSCF of gas. the facility's limit of gas use is 44 MMSCF since no other fuels are used at this facility. The facility maintains records for the quantity of cement and flyash used. Please note that the facility's permit will expire on 1/31/2013. An application for renewal is due 30 days prior to the expiration date.