

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 630-3 Capital Circle NE Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Michael W. Sole Secretary

August 25, 2009

SENT VIA E-MAIL richigee@aol.com; GulfCoastAggregates@yahoo.com

Richard Grant Vice President Powerscreen of Florida, Inc. Post Office Box 5802 Lakeland, Florida 33807

Dear Mr. Grant:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The program identification number for this facility is **7775474**. The permit **expires January 20, 2013**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

Based on the facility inspection results, the Tallahassee Branch Office reported a facility status of **in-compliance**. Note that your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. The inspection report and its recommendations section are enclosed. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at 850/488-3704 or tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Maclane Castellano

Marlane Castellanos Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures

cc: Rick Bradburn, FDEP, Pensacola

Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Erica Mitchell, FDEP



$\frac{\text{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\text{PLANTS}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	OVERY (CI)	
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:	
AIRS ID#: 7775474 DA	TE: <u>8/04/2009</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:50 A.M.</u>	DEPART: _	
FACILITY NAME: YA	TES CONSTRUCTION			
FACILITY LOCATION	9284 OLD DAMES	POINT ROAD		
	JACKSONVILLE	32226		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: 1	RICHARD GRANT PHO	ONE: (863)687-7153	
CONTACT NAME:		PHO	ONE:	
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OD: 1/20/2008 / 1/20/2 (effective date) (end date			
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS	(check only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANO	CE MINOR Non-CO	OMPLIANCE SIGNIFIC	CANT Non-COMPLIA	NCE
PART II-A: AIR GENE (check R appropriate	RAL PERMITS – Rule 62- e box(es))	210.310, F.A.C.		
		Eligibility – Rule 62-210.310(2		
1.Does this facility ke a) 10 tons per yea	eep records to show that it do ar or more of any hazardous	oes not have the potential to emair pollutant?	ıt: 	Yes No No N/A
		on of hazardous air pollutants?		
		lated air pollutants?		Yes No No N/A
2. Does this facility (l by the applicable air general p	armit with the avantio	ın.
of units and ac	tivities that are exempt from	permitting pursuant to subsecti	ion Rule 62-210.300(3)), F.A.C.,
general permit	and the air general permit of	by another air general permit w f interest specifically allow the	use of one another	Yes ⊠ No □ N/A
GENERAL PROCEI	<u> DURES – Initial Registratio</u>	on/Re-registration – Rule 62-2	210.310(2)(b), F.A.C.	
		pleted and submitted the proper to be used?;		
2. Does this facility l	have a current valid air gener	ral permit (entitlement to opera	te)?; 🔀	Yes No No N/A
PART II-A: <u>AIR GENERAL PERMITS</u> – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C., Cont.				
(check R appropriat		part of the facility?;		Yes ⊠ No □ N/A
		truction, modification, or equip		
				Yes No No N/A

	NERAL CONDITIONS – Rule 62-210.310(3), F.A.C. Does the air general permit registration form contain all current information regarding the facility?;	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A	
2.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allow the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?;	red	
3.	Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?;		
	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with terms and conditions of the air general permit?;	all ⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A	
4.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, at to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air gen permit and Department rules?	eral	
PART	II-B: <u>DETERMINATION</u> <u>OF FACILITY</u> <u>TYPE/APPLICABILITY</u>		
	eck R only <u>one</u> box)		
⊠ FC	PR FACILTIES SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1))		
	you have checked R this category, answer <u>all</u> questions <u>INCLUDING</u> those with **.)		
<u>Subject</u> <u>Facilities</u> : (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, crushers & grinding mills at hot mix asphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent affected facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.)			
FOR FACILITIES NOT SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(2), (b), (c), and (d)) (If you have checked R this category, answer <u>all</u> questions <u>EXCEPT</u> those with **.)			
Non-Subject Facilities: (includes all facilities in underground mines; stand-alone screening operations at plants w/o crushers or grinding mills; facilities not subject to subparts F (Portland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; fixed sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons/hr) or less; portable sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 136 megagrams/hr (150 tons/hr) or less; common clay plants, and pumice plants w/capacities of 9 megagrams/hr (10 tons/hr) or less.)			
	III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.310(5)(e), F.A.C. heck R appropriate box(es))		
	<u>Emissions</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 Appendix A)?) CFR 60,	
**2.	Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any oth affected emission point: **a) exceed 7% percent opacity?	point on er	
	**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of <u>0.05</u> grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm		

PART III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.310(5)(e), F.A.C., Cont. (check R appropriate box(es))	
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage bin exceed 7% percent opacity?	No
<u>Visible Emissions</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)? Yes	No
**2. Do visible emissions from any: **a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10% percent opacity?	
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity? Yes	No
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point NOT subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than 20 % percent opacity?	No
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.	A.C.
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed in a building? (<i>If answer to question #4 is YES</i> , then proceed to #4.a))	No
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (<i>If answer to this question is <u>NO</u>, then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) & 2). If <u>YES</u> skip to #4.c).) </i>	No
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control device is: 1) the particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?	No
2) the opacity greater than $\underline{7}\%$ percent?	No
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed 7/2% percent opacity?	No
**5. Do visible emissions from any: **a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10% percent opacity?	No
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity? Yes	No
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:	
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin?	No
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin in the production line?	No

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C.			
(check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es)			
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3, F.A.C.) 1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3.e., F.A.C.)			
<u>Compliance New Facilities</u> − (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.) 2. Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?			
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test? ✓ Yes ✓ No			
<u>Test Methods and Procedures</u> – Chapter 62-297, F.A.C., 40 CFR 60.675, and 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.			
4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9? ✓ Yes ✓ No			
5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 22? Yes No			
6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17? Yes 🛛 No			
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.)[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and			
40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]			
Facility and/or Equipment Replacement			
**7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of existing facility and/or equipment:			
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading Station, **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?			
**b) for a Screening Operation, **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?			
**c) for a Conveyor Belt, **1)the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt?			
**d) for a Storage Bin, **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?			
Performance/Compliance Testing			
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate?			
**9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance test?			
**a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar quarters?			

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C. (Continued) (check R appropriate box(es)		
**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance w 40 CFR Part 60.672(e))?	1	
Process Changes		
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then answer either a)1) or a)2) below.</i>)	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system: **1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? (Note: The unsaturated material handling processes would now be subject to the 10% opacity limit in 40 CFR 60.672(b) and the emission test requirements of 40 CFR 60.11 and Subpart OOO.)	Yes No	
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? (Note: The saturated material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(h) (If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)).)	
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the change?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Notification Requirements		
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?	⊠ Yes □ No	
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial number of the equipment, if available?	⊠ Yes □ No	
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	⊠ Yes □ No	
PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C.		
(check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es))		
1. Is this facility a: 1) relocatable (□; 2) stationary (□; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable	; <u> </u>	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check R only one box above.) (NOTE: If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the box for stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer all relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.)		
a) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a Facility Relocation Notification form submitted within 1 business day following the relocation?	⊠ Yes □ No	
c) If this is a <u>stationary facility</u> , does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points?	☐ Yes ☐ No	

PART V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C. (Continued)		
(check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es))		
**2. Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.</i>)		
**a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for: **1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?		
PART VI: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.		
(check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es))		
 Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ (Please check R only one box.) 		
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants, located with stationary or relocatable concreted batching plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?		
 3. Does the owner/operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant submitting this registration maintain a log book or books to account for fuel consumption on a monthly basis? 4. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility 		
(not a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant?		
5. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such as destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (<i>not a Title V source</i>)?		

PART VII: <u>REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION CONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3.c., F.A.C.					
(check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es))					
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)					
1. Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined					
emissions by: a) use of a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points? Yes					
b) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes No					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? Yes					
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? ☐ Yes ☐ N					
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Yes N					
5) landscaping and/or the planting of vegetation?	🖂 Yes 🗌 No				
6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equipm matter?					
7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems?	☐ Yes ⊠ No				
b) alteration of existing process equipment without rec) replacement of existing equipment substantially defended					
Tracy White	8/04/2009				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	6-12 months				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COMMENTS:					
The purpose of the inspection was mainly to witness the Method site, known as "Gulf Coast Aggregates." The site is located at 55 miles North of Carrabelle.	9 VE compliance testing. I met with Rob Cooke, Manager of the 502 S.E. County Road 67, Franklin County, approximately 12				
The crusher unit was in operation. It was crushing dolomite mat dust suppression system (i.e. spraybars) was not being used. The installed on the machine. Well water was available if needed.	erial. The material appeared to have a high moisture content and the unit was a Terex- Pegson machine. Water line hookups were				

Mr. Cooks explained that the unit was operating at approximately 125 tons/hour. The permit application stated "The design capacity is 200 TPH."

I met with Kay and Bill Arlington. Each were performing separate emission points testing on the equipment, which included the diesel generator for the machine. I did not note any excess emissions (opacity 0%).

The facility yard had large piles of the material present. Also I noted an old, idle crushing machine near the rear section of the yard. I asked Mr. Cooke about the equipment and he explained that the equipment was broken and was not operating.

I informed Mr. Cooke that if he operated his own unit at the site, he would need to obtain an operation permit (entitlement permit registration form).

Recommendations:

Fuel usage records should be maintained and should be available upon Department request.

The facility should determine if and when the unit is subject to Subpart OOO (was not listed on the original application form). If so, annual compliance testing may be required. Testing may also be required in accordance with Rule 62-297.310 F.A.C. (i.e. testing at or near rated maximum capacity, etc.).

For purposes of this report, the facility appears to be Subject to OOO.