

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	SPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA		(CI)	
ΑI	RS ID#: 7775459 DA	TE: <u>9/24/10</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:00</u>		DEPART: 12:00	
FA	CILITY NAME: CO	LONIAL BLVD EXPANSI	ON			
FA	CILITY LOCATION	V:				
		FORT MEYERS				
CC	WNER/AUTHORIZE Email: DNTACT NAME: Email: VTITLEMENT PERIC	D REPRESENTATIVE: 1 OD: 1/17/2008 / 1/17/2	2013	PHONE: (Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	863)687-7153	
PA	ART I: <u>INSPECTION</u>	COMPLIANCE STATUS	Facility Section (check ☑ only one box)		
	IN COMPLIANO	CE MINOR Non-CO	OMPLIANCE SIG	NIFICANT N	Non-COMPLIANCE	
D.A	DT II. ONGINE INDI	PODLICTORY MEETING				
		RODUCTORY MEETING oresentative(s): Richard Gran			(check ✓ box for each	•
1.	Brief Notes: No phys		<u>m</u>			
2.		resentative still RICHARD C	GRANT?		\(\sum \text{Yes}\)	□No
3.		cility provide an administrativitill?				□No □No
4.		eting VE test(s) during today ance authority notified at least				□No □No

Emissions Unit Section 1-Crusher - Weight Hopper

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
Ις	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		• /
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	🕅 Yes	□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No
	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	□No
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	⊠No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	-	_
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or		_
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes Yes	⊠No

<u> 1 – Crusher - Weight Hopper</u>

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing the sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing the sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing the sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing the sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing the sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing the sufficient surface matter emissions are not generated from processing the surface matter emissions are not generated from processing the surface matter emissions are not generated from processing the surface matter emissions are not generated from processing the surface matter emissions are not generated from processing the surface matter emissions are not generated from processing the surface matter emissions are not generated from processing the surface matter emission and the surface matter emission are not generated from processing the surface matter emission and the surface matter emission and the surface matter emission are not generated from processing the surface matter emission and the surface matter emissio	ed l	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wett solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
sul	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
If o	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If a	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)? d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes	□ No
	one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

<u> 1 – Crusher - Weight Hopper</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	_		_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A		Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Ш	Yes	∐No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		* 7	
instructions?	- Ш	Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Vec	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	Ш	103	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
of design serubbing fiquid flow rate.			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? 			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	NoNo
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNo

<u> 1 – Crusher - Weight Hopper</u>

individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUS.] b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?						
initial startup of the EU?			ol device within 180 days of			
(4 "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs. b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?				/A Γ	Yes	☐ No
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUS.) b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?					_	_
one or more affected EUS.} b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? Yes						
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 g/dscf)?		, an carrying particular	e maner (1 m) emissions grow			
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?-		ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Г	7 Ves	\square No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?					=	=
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	in non-vent bunding ope	chings less than of equal to 770 v	opacity: [1 cs	140
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	22 Is a wat samphan used to control on	niccions from the FII9		Г	¬ v _{oc}	□ No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?				L	1 es	□Νο
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes No {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? - Yes No {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 9/24/10 a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years? - Yes No ii. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? Yes No ii. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar year? Yes No ii. has the EU been tested by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit? Yes No a. Was the VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit? Yes No a. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes No c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of 0% for the highest six-minute average. Yes No a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes No a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes No a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes No a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes No a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes No a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes No a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes No a. Was the VE test conducte			C d			
instructions?						
Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and					-	
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?				_	_ Yes	∐No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +250		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	ssure.}				
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? — Yes [Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 9/24/10 a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO; i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? — Yes [No ii. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar year? — Yes [No a. Was the VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit? — Yes [No a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? — Yes [No c. The VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? — Yes [No d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below). — Yes [No a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? — Yes [No c. The VE test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? — Yes [No a. Was the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below). — Yes [No a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? — Yes [No a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? — Yes [No a. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? — Yes [No a. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? — Yes [No a. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? — Yes [No a. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? — Yes [No a. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? — Yes [No a. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? — Yes [No a. Was the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below). — Yes [No a. Was the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below). — Yes [No arterior to 40 CFR 60						
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 9/24/10 a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years? — YesNo b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO: i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?						
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 9/24/10 a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	device has been calibrated on ar	annual basis in accorda	ance with manufacturer's instruc	ctions?	Yes	□No
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 9/24/10 a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	{Note: The monitoring device n	nust be certified by the r	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 9/24/10 a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO; i. has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?						
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	0 0 1	•				
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	24. When was the last VE test conducte	ed by the owner/operat	tor for this EU? 9/24/10			
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO: i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?				vears? [☐ Yes	⊠No
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	•	•	P	,		
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? —			ndar vears?	Г	∃ Yes	\square No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit? YesNo Rate:						=
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	n. has the De been tested yet wi	ann the earrent calenda	i your.	L		
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	25 Was a VE test conducted by the ow	ner/onerator for this iii	nit during this site visit?	<u> </u>	₹ Ves	\square No
Bate:						
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		icess rate that is represe	mative of the normal rate?	·	Z 168	110
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of 0% for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below). YesNo 26. Was a VE test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? YesNo a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? YesNo Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		ing to EDA Mathed 02		_	7 V.	□ No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below). ————————————————————————————————————				L	1 res	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?				_	7	
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? ————————————————————————————————————	d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity l	limit? (See chart below)	L	⊻ Yes	∐No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? ————————————————————————————————————				5	7	
Bate:						
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	<u>*</u>	ocess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?	· D	Yes	∐No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of 0% for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below). ————————————————————————————————————				_	_	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below). ────────────────────────────────────	b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9? -			Yes	□No
VE Opacity Limits EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, Subpart OOO or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 Subpart OOO or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 Subpart 4/22/2008 Crusher with no capture system 20% 15% 12%	c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of 0% for the highest six	x-minute average.			
EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, Subpart OOO EU constructed prior to 4/22/2008 Crusher with no capture system EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 15% 12%	d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity 1	limit? (See chart below)	D	Yes	□No
EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, Subpart OOO EU constructed prior to 4/22/2008 Crusher with no capture system EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 15% 12%	1	1 ,	,	_		_
EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, Subpart OOO EU constructed prior to 4/22/2008 Crusher with no capture system EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 15% 12%						
40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system constructed prior 20% 15% constructed on or after 4/22/2008 12%		VE Opac	ity Limits			
40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system constructed prior 20% 15% constructed on or after 4/22/2008 12%		EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart C	OO EU	
Subpart OOO or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 Crusher with no capture system 20% 15% 12%			_	-		ied.
to 4/22/2008 after 4/22/2008 Crusher with no capture system 20% 15% 12%			*		,	,
Crusher with no capture system 20% 15% 12%						. 01
1 7	Constant and the second	200/		arter 4/22/		
LAH other attected FUs 1 20% 1 10% 1 70%						
711 Outer attended 20% 20% 10% 17%	All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	

Emissions Unit Section 2 - Crusher - Transfer Point

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
Ις	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		,
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	⊠No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No
	Is the EU one of the following?	Xes	☐No
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
	uir currying particulate matter (1 m) emissions from one or more affected 20s.7		
If a	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If 1	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
٥.	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	_	_
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No

<u>2 - Crusher - Transfer Point</u>

- •	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	il	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ing	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10.	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
If a	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11.	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12.	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
If a	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		_
		☐ Yes	□No
13.	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	Yes	□No
13.	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Anitial Tests:	Yes	□No
13.	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	Yes	□No
13.	**Noes the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? \[\Boxed{N/A}	☐ Yes	□No
13.	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 .Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No □No
13.	.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 .Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
13.	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 .Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No □No
13. If a 14.	.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
13. If a 14.	.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
13. If a 14.	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 .Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
13. If a 14.	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
13. If a 14.	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
13. If a 14.	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
13. If a 14.	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No
13. If (14.)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system* (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No
13. If (14.)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No

<u>2 - Crusher - Transfer Point</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	_ ,	. 7	
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? \[\Boxed N/A \]	Ш	Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	\Box	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	ш	168	NO
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			
instructions?	. 🗆 ,	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		100	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
	_	1	
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? 		Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, 			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? 			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, 			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNo
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	

<u>2 - Crusher - Transfer Point</u>

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em	issions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor	med on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?			/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh	ich there is mechanical	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building					
one or more affected EUs.}	, , ,	, ,			
b. Was the EU found to be in compli	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro				Yes	□No
or word initial ragion of comparions from	or non-vent contains of	omings ross than or equal to 770	opaetty.		
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control er	nissions from the EU?			Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint					
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through the	e		
scrubber and the device has bee					
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device n					
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	•	manufacturer to be accurate with	1111 1230		
and	ssure. j				
b. a device for the continuous measur	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet sorul	hher and th	e	
device has been calibrated on ar				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device n				ics	140
of design scrubbing liquid flow		manufacturer to be accurate with	IIII +3%		
of design scrubbing fiquid flow	raic.				
24. When was the last VE test conducte	ed by the owner/oners	tor for this FII? 9/24/10			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60			voore?	☐ Yes	⊠No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart		o been tested within the past 3	years:	1 es	☑110
i. has the EU been tested during		nder veere?		Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested during				Yes	□No
ii. has the EO been tested yet wh	iumi me current carenda	ıı yeai :		1 es	\\O
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>ow</i>	nar/anarator for this w	nit during this site visit?		⊠ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>bw</i>				Yes	□No
<u>-</u>	ocess rate that is represe	mative of the normal rate?		□ 1es	NO
Rate:b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EDA Mothod 02			Yes	□No
				☐ i es	□NO
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				✓ v	□ Na
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	mance with the opacity	inmit? (See chart below)		⊠ Yes	∐No
OC Was a VIE 4 and a seed and her disc the		41		N	□ NT.
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins					∐No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		⊠ Yes	∐No
Rate:	EDANG 1 100				
b. Was the VE test conducted accord				Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	_				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
	VF Onac	ity Limits			
			Subnort	LOOO FII	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	t OOO EU	*.al
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modif	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed o	n or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
	1	1	I		

Emissions Unit Section 3 - Crusher - Diesel Engine

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	,
2.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		□No ⊠No
3.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983? ————————————————————————————————————		□No ⊠No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No

<u>3 - Crusher - Diesel Engine</u>

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? ————————————————————————————————————	l ng	□No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
su	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? \[\] N/A {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from	☐ Yes	□ No
	one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

<u>3 - Crusher - Diesel Engine</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	_		_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	□ `	Yes	□ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	\Box	. 7	
instructions?	· Ш	Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Vec	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	Ш	103	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
of design serubbing riquid now rate.			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	\Box	Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? 			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, 		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests:		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of 		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	NoNo
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNo

<u>3 - Crusher - Diesel Engine</u>

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not				
individually in compliance with emi						
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of						
	initial startup of the EU? N/A					
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the						
purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particulat	te matter (PM) emissions from				
one or more affected EUs.}						
	b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?					
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?					∐No	
23 Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?			☐ Yes	□No	
	23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?					
a. a device for the continuous measure		oss of the gas stream through the	a			
scrubber and the device has been						
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No	
{Note: The monitoring device m						
pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	-	nanaractarer to be accurate with				
and	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
b. a device for the continuous measur	ement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrul	ber and the	e		
device has been calibrated on an					☐No	
{Note: The monitoring device m	ust be certified by the r	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow i	ate.}					
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 9/24/10					—	
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s		U been tested within the past 5	years?	☐ Yes	⊠No	
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?				□ v	□ M.	
				∐ Yes	∐No	
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	r year?		☐ Yes	∐No	
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own	ner/onerator for this up	nit during this site visit?		⊠ Yes	□No	
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?					□No	
Rate:						
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?					□No	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.					_	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)					□No	
				N		
	26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?					
<u>*</u>	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		⊠ Yes	∐No	
	Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?					
				⊠ Yes	□No	
 c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u>% for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) X Yes					□No	
d. Did the VL test demonstrate compr	rance with the opacity i	mint: (See chart below).		Z Tes		
		ity Limits		000 777		
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	000 EU	,	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modifi		
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed on	or	
	20.7	to 4/22/2008	after 4/2			
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%		
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%		

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?		☐ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	Yes	⊠ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? \[\] N/A	Yes	⊠ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: (0)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ box for each o	only one question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	or	⊠No

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		∷No∴No∴No∴No∴No ? ∴No
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(ahaala 🎞	only one
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	(check ✓ box for each of	only one question)
Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠No
2. Does the owner or operator:a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	Yes	□No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	□No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□No
RELOCATABLE PLANT	=	
1. The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check ✓ box for each of	only one question)
 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 	5)]	□No
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	Yes Yes	□No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?	Yes	□No

CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check 🗹 only box for each ques	y one tion)
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation o operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of 	of the facility or any emissions units or strative change at the facility? Yes]No]No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substar d) A change in ownership?]No]No]No]No
Sherrill Culliver		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS:		