

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INS	SPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		AINT/DISCOVER' OMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)	
	RS ID#: 1270016 DA			10:00 A.M.	DEPART: <u>10:45 A. M</u>	[
FA	CILITY NAME: OR	MOND BEACH READY-	MIX PLANT			
FA	FACILITY LOCATION: 350 W GRANADA BLVD					
		ORMOND BEACH	Н 32074			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SIGURD BO PHONE: (407)841-8409 Email: Bo, Sigurd [SBo@cemexusa.com] Mobile: (407)312-7119 CONTACT NAME: SIGURD BO PHONE: (407)841-8409 Email: Bo, Sigurd [SBo@cemexusa.com] Mobile: (407)312-7119 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/17/2009 / 7/17/2014 (end date)						
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
1. 1		resentative(s): SIGURD B	_		(check 🗹 box for each	•
	Is the Authorized Repr	resentative still SIGURD BO	O?		Xes	□No
3.	If different, did the facts the facility contacts If no, who is?:	ility provide an administrat till SIGURD BO?	tive update within 3	0 days?	Yes Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conduc	eting VE test(s) during toda ance authority notified at lea	y's inspection? ast 15 days in advar	 nce?	Yes Yes	⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-split silo (cement) compartment #1 w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	
	Date of last inspection: 10/17/2002 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
	onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigures emissions by:	ned	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	- X Yes	□ No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	_	□ No
	particulate matter from stock piles? b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?		∐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-split silo (cement) compartment #2 w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	•
Date of last inspection: 10/17/2002 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	e following: -	□ No□ No□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	□ No□ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-silo (flyash/slag) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	RT I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check ☑ box for each	
2.]	Date of last inspection: 10/17/2002 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\sum N/A \] c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No
PA	RT II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(ab a al- 📈	
Uno	confined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and oveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check v box for each	only one question)
	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiemissions by:	ned	
;	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	X Yes	□ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No
1	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes	☐ No
1	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 –CCB Plant-weigh hopper w/cartridge batcher vent subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART 1: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 10/17/2002 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹 only one
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	box for each question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control und emissions by:	confined
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary control emissions?	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	⊠ Yes □ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck	_
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section 5 – CCB Plant-truck loadout w/shroud & central dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	
Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by: Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	following:	 No No No No No No No No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑	only one
		box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	- ⊠ Yes - ⊠ Yes - ⊠ Yes	 No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared 1.3 MM gal propared 1.5 MM g	$\frac{\text{ane/yr}}{\text{ne/yr}} \le 1.00$?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	iption - X Yes	☐ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: Mointain the outhorized facility in good condition?	_	_
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- 🔼 Yes	∐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Yes	☐ No
	permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ✓ box for eac	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (stationary and relocatable	• ,
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.	Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificents 	y prior to changing location? Yes	☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busin c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	cation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□ No□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions uni a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pu If YES, what was the purpose?	it in that separate permit: urpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes	⊠ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long is co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check box for eac	only one h question)
 Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admits. 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 	on of the facility or any emissions units or ninistrative change at the facility? Yes	⊠ No □ No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subd. d. A change in ownership?	?? Yes stantially different? Yes	⋈ No⋈ No⋈ No⋈ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registrat 30 days prior to the change?	ion form and the appropriate fee submitted	
		☐ No
	Yes	☐ No
John Vigliotti		☐ No
John Vigliotti Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Yes	□ No
	10/20/2011	□ No

COMMENTS: Florida Department of Environmental Protection representative John Vigliotti Engineering Specialist met with Pierre Tellier, Account Manager of the Cemex Concrete Batch Plant Facility located at 350 W. Granada Boulevard, Ormond Beach 32174 in order to perform a level 2 compliance inspection of the Air Permit. The facility is subject to 62-296.413(2), F.A.C. (V.E. Test) and to 62-210, F.A.C. A Permit Review, Records, Reports and Physical Level 2.

Mr. Vigliotti introduced himself as a Florida Department of Environmental Protection Representative and informed Mr. Tellier that he was conducting a review of the permit, records, reports and do a Level 2 inspection, in an effort to assist the company with compliance assistance. Mr. Tellier replied that the permit was posted on the wall of the office.

Mr. Tellier commented that he was not aware of any of the records or reports and that Mr. Sigurd Bo, Cemex's Environmental Manager was the point of contact for those records and proceeded to give Mr. Vigliotti Mr. Bo's contact information. Mr. Vigliotti then requested permission to take photos and escort to witness the facility operation and Mr. Tellier consented. Mr. Vigliotti observed the following emission points; EU 001 Cement Bin Compartment 1, EU 002 Cement Bin Compartment 2, EU 003 Fly ash/Slag Silo, EU 004 Weigh Hopper . A laterTelephone conversation with Mr. Sigurd indicated that the facility utilizes Low-Sulfur Diesel and that the maximum production rate of the plant is 160 to 180 Yd3 per hour. Mr. Bo indicated that a NOI was submitted to install an EU-05 but the equipment has not been installed yet. Mr. Vigliotti made a request for additional facility records and they were received and reviewed on 10/21/2011. The permitted and observed limits as follows:

Permitted Maximum V.E's 20% (Method 9) 275,000 Gallons Observed 0% Total Fuel Usage (12 Mo. Continuous) 25,208 Gallons (Low Sulfur Diesel)

Please see site photos in Inspection file folder.

Based on the inspection and the cancellation of the required test, the facility was found to be in-compliance.