NUMERIAL PROTECTION	
other Man	
FLORIDA	

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION 1	YPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FU						
AIRS ID#: 0850	150 DATE: <u>07/22/2009</u>	ARRIVE: <u>09:30</u>	DEPART: <u>11:20</u>				
FACILITY NAM	FACILITY NAME: OAK HAMMOCK CREMATORY						
FACILITY LOO	CATION: 16001 SW CAR	RIER ST					
	INDIANTOWN	34956					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: WILLIAM TAYLOR PHONE: (561)744-2030							
CONTACT NAM	ME: Charles Roi		PHONE: (772)597-1190				
ENTITLEMEN		/2013 d date)					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.							
PART II. TEST	ING/RECORDKEEPING REC	UIREMENTS _ Rule 62.296	401 F A C				
	ING/RECORDKEEPING RE(ppropriate box(es))	<u> DUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296	5.401, F.A.C.				
(check ☑ ar 1. Were ther	ppropriate box(es)) e any objectionable odor(s) detec			🗌 Yes 🖾 No			
(check ☑ aŋ 1. Were ther 2. Was a vis 62-297, F	ppropriate box(es)) e any objectionable odor(s) detectible emissions test conducted dur F.A.C.)?	cted? ring this site visit according to l	EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	□ Yes ⊠ No ⊠Yes □ No			
 (check ☑ aŋ 1. Were ther 2. Was a vis 62-297, F 3. In order to days prior 	ppropriate box(es)) re any objectionable odor(s) detectible emissions test conducted dur F.A.C.)?	cted? ring this site visit according to l compliance, was an annual visil ubmission, and within 60 days p	EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter ble emissions test conducted 60 prior to each anniversary date?	Yes D No			
 (check ☑ ap 1. Were ther 2. Was a vis 62-297, F 3. In order to days prior (Rule 62- 	ppropriate box(es)) re any objectionable odor(s) detectible emissions test conducted dur E.A.C.)?	cted? ring this site visit according to l compliance, was an annual visil ubmission, and within 60 days p	EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter ole emissions test conducted 60 prior to each anniversary date?				
 (check ☑ ap 1. Were ther 2. Was a vis 62-297, F 3. In order to days prior (Rule 62- 4. In order to completed 	ppropriate box(es)) re any objectionable odor(s) detectible emissions test conducted dur F.A.C.)?	cted? ring this site visit according to l compliance, was an annual visit ubmission, and within 60 days p compliance were the remaining P Notification form submissior	EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter oble emissions test conducted 60 prior to each anniversary date? applicable standards testing n? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.)	Yes □ No			
 (check ☑ ap 1. Were then 2. Was a vis 62-297, F 3. In order to days prior (Rule 62- 4. In order to completed a) Carbo volume, c 	ppropriate box(es)) re any objectionable odor(s) detectible emissions test conducted dur F.A.C.)?	cted? ring this site visit according to l compliance, was an annual visit ubmission, and within 60 days p compliance were the remaining P Notification form submissior al to or below the requirements an hourly average basis and test	EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter oble emissions test conducted 60 prior to each anniversary date? applicable standards testing n? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) of 100 parts per million by ted according to EPA Method	⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □No			
 (check ☑ aŋ 1. Were then 2. Was a vis 62-297, F 3. In order to days prior (Rule 62-4). In order to complete a) Carbor volume, c 10 (Ref.: b) Oxyge 	ppropriate box(es)) re any objectionable odor(s) detectible emissions test conducted dur F.A.C.)?	cted? ring this site visit according to l compliance, was an annual visit ubmission, and within 60 days p compliance were the remaining P Notification form submissior al to or below the requirements an hourly average basis and test PA Method 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62	EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter ole emissions test conducted 60 prior to each anniversary date? applicable standards testing n? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) of 100 parts per million by ted according to EPA Method	Yes □ No			
 (check ☑ aŋ 1. Were then 2. Was a vis 62-297, F 3. In order to days prior (Rule 62- 4. In order to completed a) Carbo volume, o 10 (Ref.: b) Oxyge c) Particu dry standa 	ppropriate box(es)) re any objectionable odor(s) detectible emissions test conducted dur c.A.C.)?	cted? ring this site visit according to l compliance, was an annual visit abmission, and within 60 days p compliance were the remaining P Notification form submission al to or below the requirements an hourly average basis and test PA Method 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62 esults equal to or below the req rrected to 7% O ₂ and tested acc	EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter oble emissions test conducted 60 prior to each anniversary date? applicable standards testing n? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) of 100 parts per million by ted according to EPA Method 	 ∑Yes □ No 			
 (check ☑ aŋ 1. Were then 2. Was a vis 62-297, F 3. In order to days prior (Rule 62- 4. In order to completed a) Carboi volume, of 10 (Ref.: b) Oxyge c) Particu dry standa (Ref.: Cha 	ppropriate box(es)) re any objectionable odor(s) detectible emissions test conducted dur 7.A.C.)?	cted? ring this site visit according to l compliance, was an annual visit abmission, and within 60 days p compliance were the remaining P Notification form submission al to or below the requirements an hourly average basis and test PA Method 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62 esults equal to or below the req rrected to 7% O ₂ and tested acc	EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter oble emissions test conducted 60 prior to each anniversary date? applicable standards testing n? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) of 100 parts per million by ted according to EPA Method 	 ∑Yes □ No 			
 (check ☑ aŋ 1. Were then 2. Was a vis 62-297, F 3. In order to days prior (Rule 62- 4. In order to completed a) Carbo volume, o 10 (Ref.: b) Oxyge c) Partice dry standa (Ref.: Cha 5. Was all en capacity? 	ppropriate box(es)) re any objectionable odor(s) detectible emissions test conducted dur F.A.C.)?	cted? ring this site visit according to l compliance, was an annual visil ubmission, and within 60 days p compliance were the remaining P Notification form submission al to or below the requirements an hourly average basis and test PA Method 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62 esults equal to or below the req rrected to 7% O ₂ and tested acc he source operating at the man	EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter oble emissions test conducted 60 prior to each anniversary date? applicable standards testing n? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) of 100 parts per million by ted according to EPA Method -297, F.A.C.)?	 Yes □ No 			
 (check ☑ aŋ 1. Were then 2. Was a vis 62-297, F 3. In order to days prion (Rule 62- 4. In order to completed a) Carbon volume, C 10 (Ref.: b) Oxyge c) Partice dry standa (Ref.: Chaises) 5. Was all en capacity? 6. Was CO & 7. Was the E 	ppropriate box(es)) re any objectionable odor(s) detectible emissions test conducted dur F.A.C.)?	cted? ring this site visit according to l compliance, was an annual visit ubmission, and within 60 days p compliance were the remaining P Notification form submission al to or below the requirements an hourly average basis and test PA Method 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62 esults equal to or below the req rrected to 7% O ₂ and tested acc he source operating at the many by submission of a test report for any prior to the date of the last for	EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter oble emissions test conducted 60 prior to each anniversary date? applicable standards testing n? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) of 100 parts per million by ted according to EPA Method 				

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record te	mperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber con	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the reco	ording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	
	🖾 Yes 🗌 No
2) Monitoring device	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one 🗹 box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	
	Yes 🗌 No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1400^{\circ}F$	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
	Yes No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes No
4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	<u>)</u>
@ 1800° F?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	🖾 Yes 🗌 No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation	L
	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
	Yes No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the dura	
	Yes No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL</u> <u>CONDITIONS</u> <u>AND</u> <u>PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process</u> <u>Equipment</u>

1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		_
recent notification form?	Yes	No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	Yes	No
2. If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent that a Department air construction permit		
was required, have all operators been retrained to operate the modified unit?	Yes	No
3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a Department air construction permit was		
required, has the owner submitted copies of all operator training certificates?	Yes	No
a) submitted within the 15 day required window following the training?	Yes	No

Patricia Tampas

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

07/22/2009

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

07/22/2010

Inspector's Signature

COMMENTS: PT witnessed the visual emissions test on this Matthews Power Pack II unit with a 90 pound adult female. The operator place the body into the crematory after the temperature in the secondary chamber reached 1675 degrees, and waited for the secondary chamber to climb back to 1600 degrees before he started the primary burners. The chart recorder was consistant to the digital reading for the temperatures on the secondary. There were no visible emissions during the test. Records were reviewed. The chart recorders showed consistant temperatures all 1600 degrees or greater, usually leveling about 1675 degrees as seen programed on the digital display as well. No violations were observed.