

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ARMS COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	ARMS UPDATI					
AIRS ID#: 0810220 DATE: 11/01/2011 ARRIVE: 1020 DEPART: _ FACILITY NAME: BRADENTON BLOCK PLANT	1030					
FACILITY LOCATION: 1709 9TH ST E BRADENTON 34208-3509						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: BILLY BRASWELL Email: CONTACT NAME: BILLY BRASWELL Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/15/2007 / 12/15/2012 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (813)367-9780 Mobile: PHONE: (813)367-9780 Mobile:						
Facility Section						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one pox for each question)					
If no, who is?: If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still BILLY BRASWELL?	☐ Yes☐No☐ Yes☐No☐ Yes☐No					
If no, who is?: 4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No					

Emissions Unit Section 1 -Block Plant Cement Storage silo w/Baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 03/06/2009 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	☐ Yes	only one a question) No No No No No No No No No		
	k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	⊠ Yes	□ No		
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)		
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	☐ Yes	⊠ No		
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	☐ Yes	☐ No		
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	☐ Yes	□ No		
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate				
-	that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		pection.		
	f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	□ Vas			
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ and go to	∐ Yes h.	∐ No		
	 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate 	Yes	☐ No		
	duration?	Yes	□ No		
	3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minumediate. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which				
	from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?		□ No		
	2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute		NO		
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?		⊠ No □ No		
	 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. 				
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	Yes	□ No		

Facility Section (continued)

	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		□ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared 1.3 MM g		?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		□ No
GF	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each c	
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	□ Yes	□ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	□ No
	permit and Department rules?	- Yes	□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑			
1. Is the facility: stationary \(\subseteq \); relocatable \(\subseteq \); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \(\subseteq \) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the follow</i>)	box for each ving question 2.	·		
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)	Yes	□ No		
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.90 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? - 	0(6)]	□ No		
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation	(6)]			
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No				
If YES, what was the purpose?	60). L. 103			
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No		
	·			
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each			
 Administrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represer associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	units or 	□ No □ No		
a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?	Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNo		
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee so 30 days prior to the change?	ubmitted Yes	☐ No		
Nedin Bahtic 11/01/11				
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection				
Nedin Baltic N/A				
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next In	nspection			
COMMENTS: Note: All questions left unanswered do not apply. This facility is inactive. It was also shut down during last inspection conducted on 03/06/09. The gate vehicles on site. This facility is co-located with facility ID No. 0810064.	was closed and t	there were no		