Statement HORON	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	L (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/I ARMS COMPL		(CI)	
AIRS ID#: 1010504 DATE: 2-9-1	2	ARRIVE: <u>12:10</u>		DEPART: <u>12:50</u>	
FACILITY NAME: ODESSA BL	OCK PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION: 12	025 SR 54				
OI	DESSA 33556-3434				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRE Email: hank.belcher@preferred CONTACT NAME: BRIAN MO Email: bmorton@preferredmate ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/2/3 (effect	Imaterials.com RTON erials.com	K BELCHER	Mobile: PHONE:	(800)372-1355 (352)279-0404 (800)331-3375 (813)920-8598	
	Fa	cility Section			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPL	IANCE STATUS (che	ck U only one boy	()		
IN COMPLIANCE] MINOR Non-COMPL	LIANCE SIC	GNIFICANT	Non-COMPLIANCE	
·					
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCT</u>1. Name(s) of facility representative		nt Manager		(check box for e	U only one each question)
Brief Notes:					
2 Is the Authorized Representative	still UANK BEI CUER	0.0			

2.	Is the Authorized Representative still HANK BELCHER?	Yes Yes	No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still BRIAN MORTON?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No

Emissions Unit Section <u>2 – CCB Plant-batcher/mixer w/batcher vent subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: <u>3/24/10</u> 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	D No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? X Yes 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	🗌 No
control emissions?	🗌 No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🗌 No
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	D No No

Emissions Unit Section

3 -CCB Plant-Silos#1,860&1336cu.ft.w/weigh hopr¢dustcoll subject to Reasonal	ole Precautio	ons
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
 Date of last inspection: <u>3/24/10</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by: 	ied	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		🗌 No
control emissions?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No

b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? ---- 🛛 Yes

a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ------ Yes

b. If tested: (_____)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ----- Yes

2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

	ļ	

No No

🗌 No

No No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(ah a ah TT	
	(check U box for each	•
	DOX IOI Each	question)
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	🛛 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 		🔀 No
 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air ge permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 		🛛 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🕅 Yes	No No No No No No No No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yr+MM gal proposition275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal proposition		0?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel cons for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check U box for each	
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		M N-
devices?	🗋 Yes	🖄 No
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?		🗌 No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check U box for each	
1. Is the facility: stationary 🖾; relocatable 🛄; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable 🗌 concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the fol</i>		- ·
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210] 	Yes	🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocatio c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.	n? Yes 900(6)]	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to reloca	tion? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:	on permit,	
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated If YES, what was the purpose?	usage)? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	TYes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes	No
CHANGES	(check U	
Administrative Changes:	box for each	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized repr	box for each esentative not	
Administrative Changes:	box for each esentative not ons units or	
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized reprassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emission operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each esentative not ons units or ty? Yes	question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized reprassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emission operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each esentative not ons units or ty? Yes	question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized reprassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emission operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facilities? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each esentative not ons units or ty? Yes Yes	a question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized reprassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emission operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each esentative not ons units or ty? Yes Yes	a question) □ No □ No ○ No ○ No
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized reprassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emission operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facilities. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each esentative not ons units or ty? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	a question) □ No □ No ○ No ○ No ○ No
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized reprassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emission operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each esentative not ons units or ty? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	a question) □ No □ No ○ No ○ No
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized reprassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissic operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facilit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each esentative not ons units or ty? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	a question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized reprassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissic operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facilit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each esentative not ons units or ty? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	a question) □ No □ No ○ No ○ No ○ No
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized reprassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emission operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facilities. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each esentative not ons units or ty? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	a question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized reprassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emission operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facilities. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each esentative not ons units or ty? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	a question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized reprassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissic operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each esentative not ons units or ty? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	a question)

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Matt Welborn of Arlington was tester on site. Due to timing of test, a proper sun angle was unavailable. I approved a position where both exhaust points could be seen. No visible emissions were observed from silo loading (cement) or from the batching process. This plant does not include a truck loadout since it is a block plant, but there is a shroud containing emissions of dust where the batcher drops into the block machine. Fuel is not used on site, so no fuel use records are required.

Inspector's Signature