

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANTS

COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐

RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO
AIRS ID#: 1010504 DATE: 3-24-10 ARRIVE: 9.50 DEPART: 11:30
AIRS ID#: 1010504 DATE: 3-24-10 ARRIVE: 9.50 DEPART: 11:30 FACILITY NAME: Preferred Materials Odessa Block Plant
FACILITY LOCATION: 12025 SR 54
Odessa FL 33556
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: PHONE:
CONTACT NAME: Darin Belka PHONE: (613) 920-8598
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12-15-12 / 12-15-67 (From)
(To) (From) ARMS UPDATED
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)
DISCONDITINGS MADIOD N. COMBILANCE DISCONDICANT NOS COMBILANCE
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☐ appropriate box(es))
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? ———————————————————————————————————
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?———————————————————————————————————
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?———————————————————————————————————
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check papropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?———————————————————————————————————
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? Yes No 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? Yes No 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? Yes No 4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)— Yes No a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? Yes No b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No 5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☐ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? ☐ Yes ☐ No 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? ☐ Yes ☐ No 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? ☐ Yes ☐ No 4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.) ☐ Yes ☐ No a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? ☐ Yes ☐ No b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? ☐ Yes ☐ No

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)		□ No
	🗀 1¢3 🕯	INU
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.310(5), F.A.C., Air General Permits)	<u>Г</u>	
2. Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?	🗀 Yes 🕻	■ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.310(5), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted within 365 d	· · · · · ·	_
(annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?	Yes L	⊸ No ∣
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after	_	_
test was completed?	Yes	■ No
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.		
(check ✓ appropriate box(es))		
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary (2) a relocatable (2); or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check (2) only one box.)	le 🗖	
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concreted ba	tching plants	,
or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:		
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	🔲 Yes 🗷	YNo
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:		,
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel		
2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline		
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas		ji ji
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane		
5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite		No
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain a log book or		
books to account for fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	Yes 🗆	No
Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.) 1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or		
stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication		No
at least one (1) business day prior to changing location? ?b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6))		No
to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?	- 🗆 Yes 🗖	No
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below 2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at		
least five (5) business days prior to relocation?	- 🗆 Yes 🗖	No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined
emissions by:
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
emissions?
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?
•
particulate matter from stock piles?
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.310(2), F.A.C.
A. New or Modified Process Equipment
1. Since the last inspection has there been
a) installation of any new process equipment?
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most
recent notification form?
d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or
local program office?
COMMENTS: Small scale vent with 14 small bags control emissions from scale (weigh hopper). Was not on registration but has been in place since '99 and was fested in 2009 and 2008. It was not fested in 2007 during the initial test. This facility was formerly Eu 006 of 1010326. At that time it also only had one Eu in ARMS.
Max Grondah/ 3-24-10
Inspector's Name Date of Inspection
Mal la 1/1 3-24-13
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Inspection
Approximate Date of Next Hispection

EPA VISIBLE EMISSION OBSERVATION FORM

VISIBLE E	MISSIC	DN C	BSER	VATIC	ON FORM
Method Used (Circle Method 9	One) 203A	203B	Other		
Company Name Pre	eferred M	laterial	s		. ,
Facility Name Odes				•	
Street Addiess 1201	9 SR 54				
^{Clly} Odessa			^{State} Flor	ida	^{Zip} 33556
Progess load Cem	1254		Unit #	Operating	Mode
Control Equipment	TENL		1	Operating	Mode
Describe Emission Poli	nt		****		
3160 B	AGHOD	5E		<u>.</u>	
Height of Emiss. Pt. Start ~ 50' Distance to Emiss. Pt. Start ~ / 50'	End ~ S	50' 50'	Height of Er Start — Direction to Start 320	50'	to Observer End ~ 50 ' Regrees) End 320 *
Vertical Angle to Obs. Start Distance and Direction Start	End /	0 on Point from	Start 32 n Emission Po	0	egrees) End 520°
Describe Emissions			ENG		
Start 124 Emission Color		_	End Water Dropk	et Plume	
Start MA	End		Attached _	Detac	hed None
Describe Plume Backg Start SKM	round		End	s K Y	
Background Color	End b	UE	Sky Conditio	ns 	end Clear
Start BLUE Wind Speed Start < 5		<u> </u>	Wind Direction	*	End 5
Ambient Temp.	End 76	•	Wet Bulb ter	np.	RH Percent
		ource Lov	out Sketch		
					Draw North Arrow
		1	;i Lo		
	(X	J otoset yat	lon Point		
		\sim	S		
	\wedge		~		FEET
<		Observer	s Position		Side View
	140)° (A)			Stack With Plume
	Sun Loca	atton Line		>	Sun 🕁
ongitude	L	attude			Declination
Additional Information	. 1	1		-1	
1ANK 1	t LINE TOA	1851	N 1.	L V	
<u> </u>	1 /0/	シ / M	IRUC	~	

Page	Or	
	, uge	. Tage

Contraction Date 32410 Time Zone EDT Start Time	6
1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
3 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
4 0 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 8 0 0 0 0 9 0 0 0 0 10 0 0 0 0 11 0 0 0 0 12 0 0 0 0 13 0 0 0 0 14 0 0 0 0 16 0 0 0 0	
5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
7 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 0 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 9 0 10 0 0 10 0 0 0 11 0 0 0 0	
9 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 10 0 0 0 0 11 0 0 0 0 0	
10 0 0 0 0 0 11 0 0 0 0 12 0 0 0 13 0 0 0 0 0 14 0 0 0 0 0 16 0 0 0 16 0 0 0 0 0 16 0 0 0 0	
11 0 0 0 0 0 12 0 0 13 0 0 0 0 14 0 0 0 0 0 16 Ø 0 0 0	
12 0 0 0 0 13 0 0 0 0 14 0 0 0 0 16 0 0 0 0	
13 0 0 0 0 14 0 0 0 0 16 0 0 0 0	
14 O O O O	
16 0 0 0 0	
16 0 0 0	
16 0 0 0 0	
17 0 0 0 0	
18 0 0 0 0	
19 0 0 0 0	
20 0 0 0 0	
21 0 0 0 0	
22 0 0 0 0	
23 0 0 0 0	
24 0 0 0 0	
25 0 0 0 0	
26 0 0 0 0	
27 0 0 0 0	
28 0 0 0 0	
29 0 0 0 0	
30 0 0 0 0	

Observer's Name (Print) Max Grondahl	
	Date 3/24/2010
Florida Department of Enviro	nmental Protection
Certified By Eastern Technical Associates	^{Date} Feb, 2010