OWERTAL PROTECTION	
San Maria	
FLORIDA	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:       ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)       COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)         RE-INSPECTION (FUI)       ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 1190047 DATE: 05/18/2009       ARRIVE: 10:02am       DEPART: 11:42am         FACILITY NAME: PRO-CRETE PRECAST - WILDWOOD PLANT       FACILITY LOCATION:       1320 INDUSTRIAL PARK DRIVE         WILDWOOD       34785-       WILDWOOD       34785-         OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DAVID JACOBS       PHONE: (407)765-4208         CONTACT NAME:       Cesar Rodriguez, VP of Operations       PHONE: (407)580-9969         ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:       11/29/2007 / 11/29/2012       (end date)			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)         IN COMPLIANCE       MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE         SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE         PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check I appropriate box(es))			
Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
<ul> <li><u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)</li> <li>1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) ∑Yes □ No</li> </ul>
<b>New Facilities</b> – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?
<ul> <li>Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)</li> <li>3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes Yes No</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)</li> <li>4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □ No</li> </ul>

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i> )
<ul> <li>2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below.</i>)</li> <li>a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?</li></ul>
calendar year? C) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? C) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? C) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? C) Yes No C) Yes No
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:

Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	- 🗌 Yes 🕅 No
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	🗍 Yes 🕅 No

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Xes No
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
	emissions? Tyes No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
	re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Xes No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
	particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
)	use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? [Yes No

## PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?----- Description Yes No b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- Over the placement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?------ Over the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or

local program office?------ TYes No

Wendy D. Simmons

b

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

05/18/2009

Date of Inspection

05/18/2012

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** Pre-inspection research: This facility was initially registered in 11/29/07. Registration was received in October of 2007. I contacted Mr. David Jacobs on 4/13/09 and he confirmed the facility did begin operating 6 or 7 months ago (see conversation record attached). It appears no initial Visible Emissions (VE) testing was performed. The facility contacted Mr. Joseph P. Stine P.E. in Orlando, FL to conduct VE testing at this facility. Mr. David Jacobs sent email to inform me of this on 4/13/09. On 4/28/2009, Mr. Stine contacted me to schedule testing for the facility on 05/12/2009. Danielle Henry requested full fifteen-day notification via email. VE testing will be conducted on 05/18/2009. Inspection Findings: Pro Crete Precast, Inc. is a manufacturer of precast concrete lintels and sills, which they provide to general contractors, home builders, masons, and distributors. According to Mr. David Jacobs, facility began operating in late 2008. I witnessed all of their initial VE testing conducted on this day and watched at the end of silo loading to check for any potenital issues with their equipment. This facility has no truck drop point, does not own any trucks, and does not have a fuel tank on site so there is no fuel sulfur content tracking necessary. Mr. Joseph P. Stine is the company's Professional Engnieer and he contracted Grove Scientific to conduct the VE testing. Mr. Jacobs and Mr. Cesar Rodriguez answered checklist questions. The facility has paved parking areas and the silo equipment is located behind the process building. Photos were taken during my visit to the site and are attached to this report. I issued a Field Warning Notice (FWN) for late initial testing that should have been conducted within 30 days of start-up date. VE Testing was received on June 1, 2009.