

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0951318 DATE: <u>11/14/2007</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:50 AM</u> DEPART: <u>11:40 AM</u>
FACILITY NAME: VENEERSTONE	
FACILITY LOCATION: 2155 WEST LANDST	REET ROAD
ORLANDO 32809	
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: HUMBERTO LUPI	PHONE: (407)240-6444
CONTACT NAME: Alex Garcia	PHONE: (407)240-6444
REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITI	LEMENT PERIOD: 11/17/2007 / 11/17/2012 (effective date) (end date)
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (c	heck only one box)
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COM	IPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIRE (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u>EMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u>EMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during thi 62-297, F.A.C.)?	is site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during thi 62-297, F.A.C.)?	is site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions Were visible emissions tests conducted during thi 62-297, F.A.C.)? Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batcher controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible During visible emissions tests of the silo dust col at a rate that is representative of the normal silo le unless such rate is unachievable in practice? 	is site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions Were visible emissions tests conducted during thi 62-297, F.A.C.)? Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batcher controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible During visible emissions tests of the silo dust col at a rate that is representative of the normal silo le unless such rate is unachievable in practice? Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) or 	is site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions Were visible emissions tests conducted during thi 62-297, F.A.C.)? Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batcher controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible During visible emissions tests of the silo dust col at a rate that is representative of the normal silo le unless such rate is unachievable in practice? Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) of to this question is "Yes", then continue on to queskip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.) 	is site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during thi 62-297, F.A.C.)?	is site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during thi 62-297, F.A.C.)?	is site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during thi 62-297, F.A.C.)?	is site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during thi 62-297, F.A.C.)?	is site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C (continued)		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)		
1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the		
annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	es 🗌 No	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)		
2. Did this facility demonstrate:a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?	es 🗌 No	
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form	cs 🗀 No	
	es 🗌 No	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)		
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to	□ Na	
the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	es 🔲 No	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)		
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the		
test was completed?	es No	
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.		
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
 (check propriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable . 		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) 		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, 		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	es 🖾 No	
 Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check </i> nonly one box.) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	es 🗵 No	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d)</i> , <i>below.</i>)————————————————————————————————————	s 🔲 No	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	s	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d)</i> , <i>below.</i>)	s	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	s	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	s No s No s No s No	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	S No S No S No S No S No S No	
(check appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Zonly one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	S No S No S No S No S No S No	

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching pla emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking are 2) application of water or environmentally safe of emissions?	ant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: eas, stock piles, and yards? dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to reas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes No			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-				
Ilka Bundy	11/14/2007			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	11/14/2008			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
11/14/2007, to conduct the initial compliance test for this faci industry. Textured stone products, such as pavers and thin br batching is done inside the warehouse in molds to form the st The observed opacity for the white cement silo was 2.29%. 'dust out of the emission point. The Safety Manager stated he silo. Previously, this silo had problems emitting dust out of a baghouse. The issue was resolved. The Safety Manager stated	Garcia, Plant Manager, and Jeffrey Stiglet, Safety Manager, on cility. This facility produces architectural products for the building ricks are produced. This facility does not have trucks for batching. The tone products. The silo was full, therefore, the baghouse began blowing white cement e would create a maintenance plan to prevent the overloading of the a seal on top of the silo and from an improperly installed bag in the ted a maintenance plan would be created to prevent this from happening. This is slightly lower that the required rate of 25 tons per hour;			

however, the loading rate is representative for normal silo loading at this facility.

The observed opacity for the gray cement silo was zero percent. The loading rate was observed to be 29.4 tons per hour.