

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	(CI)				
AIRS ID#: 7775440 DATE: <u>3/19/14</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:35 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>10:40 AM</u>				
FACILITY NAME: DIAMONDBACK MINE - RELOC CRUSHER					
FACILITY LOCATION: 9789 S MAGNOLIA AVE					
OCALA 34476					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DAVID SLAGA* Email: office@diamondbackhg.com CONTACT NAME: DAVID SLAGA* Email: office@diamondbackhg.com Email: office@diamondbackhg.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/22/2012 / 11/22/2017 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (352)622-2700 Mobile: (352)622-2700 Mobile: (352)390-7003					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)					
IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
DADT H. ONGITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DAVID SLAGA*?	YesNo				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still DAVID SLAGA*? If no, who is?:					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?					

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP - screener operation, RICE Diesel, 125 t/hr

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
Te ·	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		,
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Yes	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	No
	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	□No
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes Yes	□No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		_
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or		
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	∐ Yes	□No

<u>1 –NMMP - screener operation, RICE Diesel, 125 t/hr</u>

	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or	_	_
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	ica	
	solely by the suppression systems is not considered to be summed for purposes of this definition.		
10.	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	grinding finition storage out in the production fine:	Tes	
	[Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	v		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
7.0	CA C		
	answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart 000 so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If t	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	7771 (1 T771) (
11.	When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
10	TY (1 TY) (1 1 100 1 1 100 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1		
12.	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	∐No
TC			
IJ a	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
-			
12	Does the EII have a particulate matter contains quotam (equipment including analogues		
13.	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	□ V	
13.	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
		☐ Yes	□No
If a	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19	☐ Yes	□No
<i>If a</i> 14.	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Linitial Tests:	Yes	□No
<i>If a</i> 14.	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	_	
<i>If a</i> 14.	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	□ No
<i>If a</i>	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
<i>If a</i> 14.	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No
<i>If a</i> 14.	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
<i>If a</i>	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
<i>If a</i>	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
<i>If a</i> 14.	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
<i>If a</i> 14.	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
<i>If a</i> 14.	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
<i>If a</i> 14.	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
<i>If a</i> 14.	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
<i>If a</i> 14.	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
<i>If a</i> 14.	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
<i>If a</i> 14.	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No
<i>If a</i> 14.	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No

<u>1 –NMMP - screener operation, RICE Diesel, 125 t/hr</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

<u>1 –NMMP - screener operation, RICE Diesel, 125 t/hr</u>

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with em				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo	rmed on each vent conti	col device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU?			J/A Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh				
purpose of exhausting from a buildin	g air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}			_	
b. Was the EU found to be in comple				∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	om non-vent building op	penings less than or equal to 7%	opacity? Yes	□No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the EU?	·	Yes	∏No
If yes, does the owner/operator main				
a. a device for the continuous measu		oss of the gas stream through th	ne	
scrubber and the device has bee				
instructions?				□No
{Note: The monitoring device i				
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro	-	The state of the s		
and	- · · · · · - · j			
b. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet some	ibber and the	
device has been calibrated on a				□No
{Note: The monitoring device is				
of design scrubbing liquid flow		The state of the s		
	,			
24. When was the last VE test conduct	ed by the owner/opera	tor for this EU?		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	years? Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		1	<i>-</i>	_
i. has the EU been tested durin		endar years?	Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	rithin the current calenda	ar year?		□No
•		•		
25. Was a VE test conducted by the on	ner/operator for this u	nit during this site visit?	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?	Yes	□No
Rate:				
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ding to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high	est six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp			Yes	□No
•				
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins				□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?	Yes	□No
Rate:				
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ding to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	pliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)	Yes	☐No
	VE Onge	ritu I imita		
VE Opacity Limits				
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed, modi	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconstructed o	n or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%	
	-0/0	10/0	1 /0	1

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	☐ Yes ☐ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \[\] N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	☐ Yes ☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No Yes No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check only one
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	box for each question)
b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	☐ Yes ☐No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	or
 b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 	

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes
 Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device. Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	YesNo YesNo olies with all YesNo vartment, access he air general
 RELOCATABLE PLANT 1. The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and re NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following question) 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: 	
 a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing leb) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following 3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate air was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose? [YES, what was the purpose? [Note: crushing recycled asphalt pavement (rap) at an asphalt plant is considered retherefore must be authorized in the facility's air construction or operation permit.] b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at 	ocation? YesNo o. 62-210.900(6)] g relocation? YesNo on or air operation arate permit: YesNo routine and so
the permitted facility?	

Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the control of the	of the facility or authorized representa	box for each	only one question)
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocat operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor ad 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day	ion of the facility or any emissions unministrative change at the facility?		□No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is sud d) A change in ownership?	nt? bstantially different? ation form and the appropriate fee sub	Yes Yes Yes Yes mitted	No No No No
Patrick Farris	3/14/14		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Ins	pection	

COMMENTS: Facility's gate was closed, weeds were grown up around scales. The facility was not in operation and did not appear to have operated in some time.