

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)		
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 1110134 DATE: <u>09/18/2009</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:15</u> DEPART: <u>11:30</u>		
FACILITY NAME: ST. LUCIE CREMATORY		
FACILITY LOCATION: 1101 South Hwy #1		
FT. PIERCE 34950		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: THOMAS CONWAY PHONE: (772)461-7000		
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/9/2007 / 9/9/2012 (effective date) (end date)		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
DADE W EDGERNIC DE CORRESPONDE DE CHIPE MENTEC D. L. CA 40C 401 E A C		
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
 Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? Was a visible emissions test conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
	⊠Yes ☐ No	
days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	⊠Yes □ No	
4. In order to demonstrate individual source compliance were the remaining applicable standards testing	 ⊠Yes □No	
a) Carbon Monoxide (CO) emissions equal to or below the requirements of 100 parts per million by volume, dry basis, corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hourly average basis and tested according to EPA Method		
10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No	
c) Particulate matter emissions test with results equal to or below the requirements of 0.080 grains per dry standard cubic foot (ft ³)of flue gas, corrected to 7% O ₂ and tested according to EPA Method 5	_	
5. Was all emissions testing conducted with the source operating at the manufacturers recommended	⊠Yes □ No	
6. Was CO & PM compliance demonstrated by submission of a test report for an identical crematory unit?		
8. Was the required test report filed with the Department as soon as practical, but no longer than 45 days after		
the test was completed?	∐Yes ∐ No	

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes ☐ No
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
a) Do temperature proces seem to be properly praced?	1 es No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the reconstruction of the recon	cording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes ☐ No
2) Monitoring device	⊠Yes ☐ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	⊠Yes ☐ No
6) Adjustments	
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠Yes ☐ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F ?	☐Yes ☐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F ?	Yes No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes No
sevenem, emmoer come assess zone according to the immunication of instructions.	
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tin	ne
@ 1800° F?	⊠Yes □ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes □ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic	n
process begins in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes □ No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	⊠Yes □ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	□Yes □ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
this location?	□Yes ⊠ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	Yes ☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes ☐ No
of the operator is employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment:	

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURA. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>RES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantial recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office? If a crematory unit has been modified to the extension was required, have all operators been retrained to In the case of new or modified equipment, where a required, has the owner submitted copies of all op a) submitted within the 15 day required window 	hout replacement?
Patricia Tampas	09/18/2009
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	09/18/2010
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: PT: No visible emmisions were seen. The digital recording and the paper graph read the same. The operator explained he had recently replaced the thermocouple after its failure signalled an alarm. No violations were observed.