

## **HUMAN CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	COVERY (CI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY	NUAL (INS1, INS2)	<b>INSPECTION TYPE:</b>
FACILITY NAME: MARCEL'S CREMATIONS, INC.  FACILITY LOCATION: 2111 NW 139 Street, Bay 18  OPA LOCKA 33054  RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: DIANNE MCCLOUD PHONE:  CONTACT NAME: PHONE:  REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/28/2007 / 7/28/2012 (effective date) (end date)  PART II: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)  IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE  PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check papropriate box(es))  1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?————————————————————————————————————	NT NO:	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	INSPECTION (FUI)	
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS — Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?————————————————————————————————————	<u>I</u> DEPART: <u>11:00 AM</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:10 AM</u>	<u>6/16/2008</u>	AIRS ID#: 0251260 DAT
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS — Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?————————————————————————————————————			EL'S CREMATIONS, INC.	FACILITY NAME: MAR
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: DIANNE MCCLOUD  PHONE:  CONTACT NAME:  PHONE:  REMITTANCE YEAR:  ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/28/2007 / 7/28/2012 (end date)  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE  PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? □ Yes ☑ No. 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? □ Yes ☑ No. 3. In order to demonstrate individual source compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) □ Yes ☑ No. 4. In order to demonstrate individual source compliance were the remaining applicable standards testing completed within 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) □ Yes ☑ No. 3. Carbon Monoxide (CO) emissions equal to or below the requirements of 100 parts per million by volume, dry basis, corrected to 7% O₂ on an hourly average basis and tested according to EPA Method □ PHONE:  PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.  □ Yes ☑ No. 2. 1		ay 18	2111 NW 139 Street, Ba	FACILITY LOCATION:
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  □ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE  PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS — Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? ☐ Ves a visible emissions test conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? ☐ Ves ☐ No days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) ☐ Ves ☐ No days Diagnostic Head of the AGP Notification form submission? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) ☐ Ves ☐ No days Diagnostic Head of the AGP Notification form submission? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) ☐ Ves ☐ No days Diagnostic Head of the AGP Notification form submission? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) ☐ Ves ☐ No days Diagnostic Head of the AGP Notification form submission? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) ☐ Ves ☐ No days Diagnostic Head of CO) emissions equal to or below the requirements of 100 parts per million by volume, dry basis, corrected to 7% O₂ on an hourly average basis and tested according to EPA Method ☐ Very Diagnostic Head of CO) on the AGP Notification form submission? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) ☐ Ves ☐ No days Diagnostic Head of CO) emissions equal to or below the requirements of 100 parts per million by volume, dry basis, corrected to 7% O₂ on an hourly average basis and tested according to EPA Method			OPA LOCKA 33054	
PART II: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  □ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE  PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? ☐ Yes ☑ N  2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? ☐ Yes ☐ Nc  3. In order to demonstrate individual source compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) ☐ Yes ☐ Nc  4. In order to demonstrate individual source compliance were the remaining applicable standards testing completed within 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) ☐ Yes ☐ Nc  a) Carbon Monoxide (CO) emissions equal to or below the requirements of 100 parts per million by volume, dry basis, corrected to 7% O₂ on an hourly average basis and tested according to EPA Method ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	HONE:	PHONE:	DIANNE MCCLOUD	RESPONSIBLE OFFICIA
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE  PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?————————————————————————————————————	HONE:	PHONE:		CONTACT NAME:
IN COMPLIANCE			ENTITL	REMITTANCE YEAR:
IN COMPLIANCE				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	FICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	PLIANCE   SIGNIFICANT	☐ MINOR Non-COMF	IN COMPLIANCE
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?				
(Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)——————————————————————————————————	401, F.A.C.	<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.		
	PA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter  e emissions test conducted 60	s site visit according to EPA Metho	onable odor(s) detected?s test conducted during this individual source complian	<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate</li> <li>Were there any objection</li> <li>Was a visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>In order to demonst</li> </ol>
b) Oxygen test performed according to EPA Method 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	Yes No  PA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter  Yes No	s site visit according to EPA Methormone, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to each once were the remaining applicable fication form submission? (Rule 62 below the requirements of 100 par	onable odor(s) detected? s test conducted during this individual source compliar Notification form submission, F.A.C.) individual source compliar ays prior to the AGP Notifi CO) emissions equal to or b	<ol> <li>(check  appropriate</li> <li>Were there any obje</li> <li>Was a visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>In order to demonst days prior to the AC (Rule 62-296.401(5)</li> <li>In order to demonst completed within 6 a) Carbon Monoxid</li> </ol>
5. Was all emissions testing conducted with the source operating at the manufacturers recommended	Yes No PA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter  Yes No e emissions test conducted 60 rior to each anniversary date?  Yes No pplicable standards testing (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.)  Yes No of 100 parts per million by ed according to EPA Method  Yes No	s site visit according to EPA Methoron, and within 60 days prior to each on, and within 60 days prior to each once were the remaining applicable fication form submission? (Rule 62 below the requirements of 100 parly average basis and tested according to 43 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C qual to or below the requirements of 107% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according to E	onable odor(s) detected?s test conducted during this individual source compliar Notification form submission, F.A.C.)	(check ☑ appropriate  1. Were there any obje  2. Was a visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?  3. In order to demonst days prior to the AG (Rule 62-296.401(5)  4. In order to demonst completed within 6 a) Carbon Monoxic volume, dry basis, 6 10 (Ref.: Chapter 6) b) Oxygen test perior c) Particulate matte dry standard cubic for
capacity?	PA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter  Yes No  Per Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter  Yes No  Yes No	s site visit according to EPA Methodon, and within 60 days prior to each once were the remaining applicable fication form submission? (Rule 62 below the requirements of 100 parly average basis and tested according to 40 parly average basis and tested according to 60 parly average basis average basis and tested according to 60 parly average basis and te	onable odor(s) detected?s test conducted during this individual source compliar Notification form submission, F.A.C.)	(check ☑ appropriate  1. Were there any obje  2. Was a visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?  3. In order to demonst days prior to the AC (Rule 62-296.401(5)  4. In order to demonst completed within 6 a) Carbon Monoxic volume, dry basis, 6 10 (Ref.: Chapter 6 b) Oxygen test perior c) Particulate matte dry standard cubic if (Ref.: Chapter.62-2  5. Was all emissions to

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there <b>Continuous Emissions Monitoring System</b> (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record to primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber conducted accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	mbustion zone in
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the records the recor	cording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	oramg or such
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes □ No
2) Monitoring device	
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	
6) Adjustments	Yes No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: ( <b>check only one ☑ box</b> )	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ <b>1600°F</b> ?	☐Yes ☐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1400°F</b>	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than $1400^{\circ}$ F?	☐Yes ☐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	☐Yes ☐ No
,	
4. If constructed <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	ne
@ 1800° F?	⊠Yes □ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes ☐ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation	
process begins in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes ☐ No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	□Yes □ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	□Yes □ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	<b>_</b>
this location?	□Yes ⊠ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	⊠Yes ☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the dur	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes ☐ No
Transfer to the first transfer transfer to the first transfer tran	

PARTIE CRECIAL COMPUTIONS AND DEOCEDIE	DEC. D1. (2.40(.401 E.A.C.		
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUL</u> A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	<u>KES</u> – Kuie 62-296.401, r.A.C.		
1. Since the last inspection has there been			
a) installation of any new process equipment?			
b) alterations to existing process equipment with			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?			
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did			
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 6			
local program office?			
2. If a crematory unit has been modified to the exten	nt that a Department air construction permit		
was required, have all operators been retrained to	operate the modified unit? \BYes \BNo		
3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where			
required, has the owner submitted copies of all op			
a) submitted within the 15 day required window	following the training?		
	C/4 C/2000		
FRANK DELGADO	6/16/2008		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	6/2009		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		
	N ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES CONDUCTED THE SIXTY		
MINUTES VETEST. THIS WAS THE INITIAL COMPLICEMATORY ON SITE.	JANCE TEST FOR THIS FACILITY. THERE IS ONE (1) HUMAN		
MARUF MALLIK ACCOMPANIED ME IN THIS COMP	DI LANCE INCDECTION		
	AM. THE SECONDARY CHAMBER TEMPERATURE WAS		
GREATER THAN 1600 DEGREES F. THE PROCESS WI	EIGHT WAS 300 POUNDS.		

FIVE PEOPLE ARE CERTIFIED TO OPERATE THIS CREMATORIES. THE CERTIFICATES WERE AVAILABLE. ALSO THE TEMPERATURE GRAPH WAS OPERATIONAL.

WE DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE TEST. IN ORDER TO OBSERVE THE VE TEST WE HAD TO GO ON TOP OF THE FACILITY'S ROOF.

THE HOUSEKEEPING IS GOOD.

THERE ARE NO OBJECTIONABLE ODORS DETECTED INSIDE OR OUTSIDE THE FACILITY.