

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) 🖾 COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) 📋						
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:							
FACILITY: Davis Concrete, Inc.			DISTRICT:				
DB.	A/Site Name: Clearwater Plant	Southwest					
AD	DRESS: 1670 Sunshine Drive	CONTACT PHO	NE:				
Clearwater, FL 72							
ARMS NO:		PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 7/21/2012				
1030521 001		1030521-001-AG	Renewal Date: 6				
			Test Date:	12/14/2000			
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Cement storage silo No. 1: 350 Barrel Capacity Silo, with a Stephens Manufacturing Company, Model SV-170 Baghouse. Materials are loaded into site-mix truck with emissions controlled by an enclosure							
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check □ only one box)					
1,	/25/12	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compliance; ☐ Significant Non-Compliance					
		PART I: General Review:					
1.	Permit File Review			⊠Yes □ No			
2.	Introduction and Entry			⊠Yes □ No			
	Comments: I met with Randy Davis who provided me with the maintenance logs, answered my questions and gave me a tour of the facility.						
3.	Is the Authorized Representative still Randy Davis? Comments:						
4.	Is the facility contact still Jeremy Davis?						
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]							
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check — appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)							
<u>Co</u> 1.	Compliance Demonstration						
2.	2. \(\subseteq \) Existing Facilities — (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test? \(\subseteq \) Yes \(\subseteq \) No						
3.	Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?						
4.	Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.	A.C.]	Yes No			
5.	5. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)						
6.	6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes No						
	7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,						

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]	☐ No			
8. Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then				
skip to question 9.)	☐ No			
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]	□ No			
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? Yes a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard?				
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.				
(check ☐ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Is this facility: 1) a ☒ stationary; 2) a ☐ relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, ☐ stationary and relocatable				
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check \(\sigma\) only one box.)				
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:				
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]	□ No			
listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]	☐ No			
2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons				
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet 4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons				
5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals% of all fuels				
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?				
[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.] \square Yes	\square No			
Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.) 1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)	□ No			
a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?	☐ No			
b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?	☐ No			
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below 2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation?	□ No			
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>				
(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control				
unconfined emissions	☐ No			
 a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	□ No			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?				

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u> (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of					
particulate matter from stock piles?					
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions (check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
Administrative Changes:					
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions 					
units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No					
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]					
1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? Yes No					
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration? \[\subseteq Yes \[\subseteq No \]					
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership					
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2]					
a) installation of any new process equipment?					
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most					
recent notification form? Yes No d) Change in ownership Yes No					
If any of the answers to $1a - 1d$ is <u>Yes</u> , a new registration form and appropriate fee should					
have been submitted 30 days prior to the change No					
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or					
limitation of the air general permit? 🗌 Yes 🔯 No					
If the answer is <u>Yes</u> , proceed to a) and b). a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department?					
b) Did the notification include:					
1. A description of and cause of noncompliance?					
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance?					
O&M Plan					
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M					
plan shall include, but is not limited to:					
(1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device; (2) Time to ble for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer.					
(2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;(3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;					
(4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the					
permit applicant; (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:					
a. When maintenance and observations were performed;					
b. What maintenance and observations were performed; andc. Who performed said maintenance and observations.					
d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.					
[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]					
Reviewed records for the months of <u>April 2011 – January 2012</u>					
Comments:					
The logs were complete and up-to-date. The yard was fairly clean and is swept "as needed".					

Exit Interview: I informed Randy that the facility appeared to be expires this year on 7/21/12.	e in compliance at this time. I also informed him that the permit
Shannon Ransom	
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
	_~4/2013
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

 $H: \label{lem:lem:hamma} H: \label{lem:hamma} W p docs \label{lem:hamma} A ir Compliance \label{lem:hamma} A Q I \label{lem:hamma} 1030521\ 001\ 80798. doc$

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06