

## **PRINTING OPERATIONS**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

NSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0330281 DATE: <u>2/8/08</u> ARRIVE: <u>1:45 PM</u> DEPART: <u>2:40 PM</u>				
FACILITY NAME: PENSACOLA NEWS JOURNAL				
FACILITY LOCATION: 101 EAST ROMANA STREET				
PENSACOLA 32502-5652				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Patrick T. Daugherty PHONE: (850)435-8506				
CONTACT NAME: Patrick T. Daugherty PHONE: (850)435-8626				
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/28/2007 / 6/28/2012 (effective date) (end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check				
PART II: ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))				

GENERIC EMISSIONS UNIT EXEMPTION CRITERIA – Rule 62-210.300 (3) (b)1., F.A.C.  1. Is the facility subject to any unit-specific applicable requirement?;	
PART III: AIR GENERAL PERMITS – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C.	
(check $\square$ appropriate box(es))	
GENERAL PROCEDURES - Determination of Eligibility - Rule 62-210.310(2)(a)1. and 2., F.A	C.
1. Does this facility emit or have the potential to emit:	
a) ten (10) tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?;	☐Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
b) twenty-five (25) tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?; or-	☐Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
<ul><li>c) one hundred (100) tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?</li><li>2. Has this facility:</li></ul>	□Yes □ No □ N/A
a) been collocated with, or relocated to such a facility as described in question #1. a), b), or	
c) above?;	□Yes □ No □ N/A
b) created such a facility in combination with any other collocated facilities, emission units, or	
pollutant-emitting activities, including any such facility, emission unit, or activity that is othe	
exempt from air permitting?	□Yes ⊠ No □ N/A
3. Does this facility contain:	.•
<ul> <li>a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit with the exce of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.30</li> </ul>	
or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.?;	
b) any emission units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air	
general permit and the air general permit of interest specifically allow the use of one another	
at the same facility?	Yes No N/A
	C
GENERAL PROCEDURES - Initial Registration/Re-registration - Rule 62-210.310(2)(b), F.A.  1. Has the owner or operator of this facility completed and submitted the proper registration form to	
Department for the specific air general permit to be used?;	
2. Does this facility have a current valid air general permit (entitlement to operate)?;	⊠Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
3. Has there been a change of ownership of all or part of the facility?;	□Yes ⊠ No □ N/A
4. Have there been any new administrative, construction, modification, or equipment changes that it	
a re-registration?	∐Yes ⊠ No ∐ N/A
PART III: AIR GENERAL PERMITS - Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C. (continued)	
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))	
GENERAL CONDITIONS - Rule 62-210.310(3), F.A.C.	
1. Does the air general permit registration form contain all current information regarding the	
facility?;	
2. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allow	ved
the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control	DVac D Na D NI/A
devices?;	□ 1 es □ N0 □ N/A
a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?;	⊠Yes □ No □ N/A
b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with	

2 of 4 Revised 09/14/07

	terms and conditions of the air general permit?;				
	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access				
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general				
	permit and Department rules?				
D. 1	WILL GERENAL GOVERNAL (ORDER A MINICIPEZ CORRESPONDA CONTROLLA CON				
	IV: <u>SPECIFIC</u> <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING CRITERIA</u> – Rule 62-210.310(4)(f), F.A.C.				
,	eck <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))				
	ECIFIC CONDITIONAL EXEMPTION REQUIREMENTS FROM TITLE V AIR PERMITTING				
1.	Does the facility have any other air general permits?;				
2.	Is this printing operation subject to any unit-specific applicable requirement?; $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square$ N/A				
Γ	Answer questions 3. a), b), & c), and 4. below if the facility uses the <u>mass balance approach</u> to calculate emissions.  If the <u>materials usage limitation approach</u> is used, skip questions 3. and 4. below and proceed to question 5.				
■ <u>M</u> a	ass Balance Approach				
	Does the facility emit:				
	a)eighty (80) tons or more of VOC's?;				
	b)eight (8) tons or more of any individual HAP?;				
	months?;				
4.	Does the facility rely upon add-on controls to meet any of the above limitations in a), b), or c)?;				
Ma	aterials <u>Usage</u> <u>Limitation</u> <u>Approach</u>				
5.	In any consecutive twelve (12) months, does the facility use less than:				
	a)thirteen hundred and thirty-three (1,333) gallons of materials containing hazardous air				
	pollutants (HAP's)?;				
an	d (choose only one category below, I thru VI, or VII).				
	IOperate only <u>heatset offset lithographic printing</u> lines and use less than 100,000 pounds of ink,				
	cleaning solvent, and fountain solution additives combined?; ☐Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A				
	IIOperate only <u>non-heatset offset lithographic printing</u> lines and use less than 14,250 gallons of				
	cleaning solvent and fountain solution additives combined?;   Yes No N/A				
	IIIOperate only <u>digital printing</u> lines and use less than 12,100 gallons of solvent based inks, clean-up solutions and other solvent-containing materials combined?;   Yes No N/A				
	IVOperate only screen or letterpress printing lines and use less than 14,250 gallons of solvent based				
	inks, clean-up solutions and other solvent-containing materials combined?;   Yes No N/A				
	•				
	IV: <u>SPECIFIC</u> <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING CRITERIA</u> – Rule 62-210.310(4)(f), F.A.C.				
(check <b>v</b> appropriate box(es))					
SP	ECIFIC CONDITIONAL EXEMPTION REQUIREMENTS FROM TITLE V AIR PERMITTING (continued)				
	V Operate only water-based or ultraviolet-cured material flexographic or rotogravure printing lines				
	and use less than 400,000 pounds of water-based inks, coatings and adhesives, combined?;   Yes   No   N/A				
	VIOperate only solvent-based material flexographic or rotogravure printing lines and use less				
	than 100,000 pounds of inks, dilution solvents, coatings, cleaning solutions and adhesives, combined?;   Yes N/A				
	or;				
	VII Operate any combination of heatset lithographic, non-heatset lithographic, digital, screen or letterpress,				
	rotogravure or flexographic printing lines and use no more than the most stringent of the material usage limitations				
	contained in sub-sub-subparagraphs 62-210.310(4)(f)2.b.(I) through (VI), F.A.C., for the type of printing lines at the				
	facility. For purposes of determining which limit is the most stringent, the pounds of materials used for heatset offset				
	lithographic lines and flexographic lines shall be converted to the equivalent gallons by dividing by 8.5 pounds per				
	gallon and shall be compared with the limits for non-heatset offset lithographic, digital, screen and letterpress lines, as applicable, for the type of printing lines at the facility. The most stringent limit shall apply to the total of all solvent-				
	containing material used?;				

3 of 4 Revised 09/14/07

(Refer to the chart & information below to identify the Printing Process combination(s) and to determine the most stringent limit for the combination(s) chosen.)

	PRINTING PROCESS	INDIVIDUAL PROCESS LIMITS (IPL)	STRINGENT LIMITS FOR COMBINATIONS (SLC)  (SLC = IPL* ÷ 8.5 lbs/gal.**)
#1	Heatset Offset Lithographic	100,000 lbs.*	11,765 gals.**
#2	Non-heatset Offset Lithographic	14,250 gals.	14,250 gals
#3	Digital	12,100 gals.	12,100 gals.
#4	Screen or Letterpress	14,250 gals.	14,250 gals
#5	Water-based or UV cured Rotogravure or Flexographic	400,000 lbs.*	47,059 gals.**
#6	Solvent-based Rotogravure or Flexographic	100,000 lbs*	11,765 gals**

(<u>Example</u>: If you were a printer and your combination printing processes included both <u>Printing Process</u> numbers **two** (2) and **five** (5), then the most stringent limit shall apply to the total of all solvent-containing material used. In this example, the individual <u>Stringent Limit for Combinations</u> (<u>SLC</u>) for each process is 14,250 gals. and 47,059 gals., respectively. Therefore, the most stringent limit for this combination would be 14, 250 gals.)

an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C	☐Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	
Carol Melton	2/11/08	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
/s/		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Ins	spection

6. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to

COMMENTS: Upon my arrival, Mr. Willie Tyson, Maintenance, was asked to take me to the press manager's office. However, the Press Manager, Dave Alcock could not be found. Per my request, Mr. Tyson directed me to the office of Patrick Daugherty, Print and Distribution Director. After several minutes, Mr. Daugherty and Martin Calhoun, Technology Director, arrived. They indicated that they did not know that a general permit had been registered for the facility. Mr. Daugherty indicated that they must have a copy but he did not know where it would be. I showed them a copy of the general permit. Together we discussed and reviewed the contents of the permit, the data given on the application form, and the record keeping requirements. I emphasized the material usage record keeping requirements on page 4 of the permit and asked if such records had been maintained. They indicated they did not know, and needed some time to determine if such records had been maintained. I explained that since the authorized representative noted in the application, Richard Ottensmeyer, no longer works for the company, they need to notify us of a new authorized representative. I explained that they appeared to be out of compliance with their permit, and they should take steps to get back into compliance. They made a copy of my field copy of the general permit. I told them that we would send them a letter noting our findings and that it might be a warning letter requesting a meeting to determine if violations had occurred. They indicated they understood and would contact their environmental person at headquarters to help determine how they can get into compliance.

4 of 4 Revised 09/14/07