

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389 Jennifer Carroll

Rick Scott

Governor

Secretary

Lt. Governor Herschel T. Vinyard Jr.

September 18, 2012

SENT VIA E-MAIL ray.mobley@famu.edu

Dr. Ray Mobley, DVM Florida A&M Research & Extension Service 4259 Bainbridge Highway Quincy, Florida 32352

Dear Dr. Mobley:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The Air Program identification number for this facility is 0390043. Your facility permit **expired on June 30, 2012**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of **In Compliance** for your facility. However, the below issues may require your attention.

- The permit has expired. Before resuming operation, please submit a renewal application and renew the entitlement to operate.
- The crematory unit may be in need of repair. Please review the Comments and Recommendations section of the attached inspection report.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at 850/245-2960 or by email at tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Clifford D. Wilson III, P.E.

Northwest District Branch Administrator

CW/tw

Enclosures

c: Dr. Glen Wright, Florida A&M (<u>glen.wright@famu.edu</u>)
Rick Bradburn, Mary Beth Curle, Carol Melton (FDEP, Pensacola)



ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>IN</u>	ISPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISC ARMS COMPLAIN	· / —				
ΑI	IRS ID#: 0390043 DA	TE: <u>7/31/2012</u>	ARRIVE: 1:30 P.M.	DEPART: 2	:30 P.M.			
FA	FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA A&M RESEARCH & EXTENSION CENTER							
FA	FACILITY LOCATION: 4259 Bainbridge Hwy							
		QUINCY 32352						
CO	WNER/AUTHORIZE Email: ray.mobley@: ONTACT NAME: Email: NTITLEMENT PERIO		M Pi	HONE: (850)412-5252 lobile: HONE: lobile: ating without Entitleme	nt!			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE								
		roductory MEETING oresentative(s): Dr. Glen Wrigh	<u>nt</u>	b	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
2.	Is the Authorized Repr If no, who is?:	resentative still RAY MOBLEY	Y?		⊠ Yes	□No		
3.	If different, did the fac Is the facility contact s If no, who is?: Glen V	cility provide an administrative still?Wright	update within 30 days?		☐ Yes ☑ Yes	□No □No		
4.	Will facility be conduc	eting VE test(s) during today's ance authority notified at least 1	inspection? 15 days in advance?		☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠No □No		

Emissions Unit Section

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each o	only one		
a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	⊠ Yes	□No		
b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	⊠ Yes	□No		
 Manufacturer's recommended capacity: 800	⊠ Yes	□No		
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠No ⊠No □No		
d. Date of last VE test: e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)?	_	□No □No		
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)		
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? a. Operating capacity during test?	☐ Yes	⊠No		
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.	Yes	□No □No		
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes		□No		
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? a. Operating capacity during test?	☐ Yes	⊠No		
YesNo c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo	Yes	□No		
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes in any one-hour)	□No		
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?				
If yes, what reason?	Yes	⊠No		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS			(check 🗹 only one	
			question)	
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	⊠No	
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10 (worst)	
2.	Continuous Monitoring Systems –			
a	Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠ Yes	□No	
b	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at $\boxtimes 1,800^1$ \square $1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	⊠ Yes	□No	
c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements	⊠ Yes	□No	
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations (3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on)	Yes	□No ⊠No	
	(4) Adjustments (5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices (6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠ Yes	□No □No □No	
	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No	
	(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatic control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	- 🛛 Yes	□No	
	exceeds 15% opacity?(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in		□No	
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	- 🛛 Yes	□No	
		(check 🗹	only one	
PA	ART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for each	question)	
1.	If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ————————————————————————————————————		□No	
2.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠ Yes	□No	
	b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremat process begins in the primary chamber?	tion Yes	□No	
		(check 🗹	only one	
PA	ART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS	box for each		
1.	Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate cor are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?		□No	
2.	Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	⊠ Yes ? ⊠ Yes	□No	

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	⊠ Yes	□No			
Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? If no, skip a. – b. a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?		□No			
		□No □No			
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)					
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE				
Facility Section (continued)					
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check ☑ box for each	2			
Administrative Changes:					
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representat associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions uni operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	ts or Yes	⊠No □No			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:					
a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership? If the any answer to 3a. – d. is Yes, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee	Yes Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No □No □No □No □No			
submitted 30 days prior to the change?	res	NO			
Tracy White 7/31/2012					
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection					
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Inspector					
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Insp	pection				
COMMENTS: I met with Dr. Glen Wright. Apparently the machine had not been operated for over a ye need of repair (Logic controller unit w/ temperature chart recorder was inoperable, burner malfunction). According to Department computer records, the last compliance test was on 7/21/10. Testing for 2011 is n unit does not appear to have been operated during that time period.					

Note In regard to the expired entitlement (permit), the facility has been notified and has been in contact with the District office for

this issue.

Recommendations:

- 1) The permit has expired. To avoid a non-compliant status and before resuming operation, please submit a renewal application and renew the entitlement to operate.
- 2) The crematory unit may be in need of repair:

"Rule 62-296.401(6)(e), Florida Administrative Code, provides: "all animal crematory units shall be maintained in proper working order in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications to ensure the integrity and efficiency of the equipment. If a crematory unit contains a defect that affects the integrity of the unit, the unit shall be taken out of service. No person shall use or permit the use of that unit until it has been repaired or adjusted. Repair records on all crematory units shall be maintained onsite for at least two (2) years."

3) If the unit is to resume operation, annual compliance testing is required.